<u>15205 I</u>	<u>REGOLITH</u>	BRECCIA,	GLASS-COATED	<u>ST. 2</u>	<u> 337.3 q</u>
----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------	--------------	--------------------

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>: 15205 has the characteristics of a breccia formed from an exceptionally immature regolith, but is unique among Apollo 15 regolith samples: it is gray, not brown, is tough, and consists almost entirely of Apollo 15 KREEP basalt fragments with some mare basalt fragments (especially pyroxene-phyric). While it contains green glass as clods and impact glasses, mature regolith components such as agglutinates are rare to absent, and the clast size is much larger than for mature regoliths (Fig. 1). The finest matrix appears to be glass and tiny mineral and lithic fragments. The rock has a distinct fabric.

Glass coats most surfaces (Fig. 1) and is vesicular. These surfaces were not all exposed on the Moon and the glass surfaces penetrated a fracture system in the host boulder. The rock is angular with orthogonal joints and more than one penetrative fracture system. Zap pits are present on several surfaces, with most on one surface.

15205 was chipped from a boulder at St. 2 (Fig. 2 and Fig. 15105-2); 15206 was chipped from the same boulder. The boulder is anomalously large for the area and appears to have been thrown in less than 1 m.y. ago from the north or north-west and rolled to the southern end of its own crater.

<u>PETROLOGY</u>: 15205 is a tough breccia with light-colored basaltic lithic clasts (KREEP basalt) dominant (Fig. 1c). It was described by Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) as a regolith breccia, but it is not similar to other Apollo 15 regolith breccias: it has a I_s/FeO of 0 (McKay <u>et al</u>., 1984). According to McKay and Wentworth (1983) it has little intergranular porosity, agglutinates and glass spheres are rare, but shock features are common. Nagle (1982a) found that 15205 had lineation and other characteristics expected for rocks produced by subcrater lithification. Nagle (1982b) tabulated grain size distribution, rounding, and packing data for one thin section.

Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) concluded that 15205 was a layered, lithified regolith breccia. They described most of its characteristics as inferred from macroscopic descriptions and inspection of a series of thin sections (see Fig. 13). They found it to consist of about 75% clasts (~40% lithic, ~15% glass, and ~20% mineral fragments) from 10 microns to 1 cm diameter, set in a finer-grained, clastic matrix of glass and mineral particles (Fig. 3). Reaction between clasts and matrix is not present, and much of the glass shows no devitrification. Takeda <u>et al</u>. (1980) found a similar matrix. They noted that most pyroxene fragments are derived from KREEP basalts, and that none contain exsolution lamellae. Lithic clasts exhibit a wide range of granulation and shock effects which preceded accumulation. Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) also found a distinct fabric marked by a consistent alignment of clasts in thin section.

15205



Fig. 1a





Fig. 1c

Figure 1. Macroscopic views of 15205. a) freshly broken interior piece showing large pale lithic clasts, fractures, and blocky nature. S-71-46350; b) opposite view from a), showing exposed vesicular, planar glass. S-71-46341; c) close up of fractured face of ,0, showing large KREEP basalt clasts, solid matrix, and pieces chipped off. S-77-22162



÷

Figure 2. Locations of 15205 and 15206 on the boulder from which they were taken, post-sampling, pre-turning-over of the boulder. AS15-86-11559



Figure 3. Photomicrographs of 15205. All transmitted light, widths about 1.25 mm. a) 15205,4. general matrix view showing predominance of material larger than 10 microns; b) 15205,4. clast of KREEP basalt (top) and brown, perlitic brown glass with vesicular rind; c) 15205,4. matrix and small clast of mare(?) basalt of uncertain affinity; d) 15205,110. glass coat, vesicular, banded, and with inclusions.

15205



Fig. 3c



Fig.3d

Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) found that Apollo 15 KREEP basalt clasts make up about 20% of the sample, and pyroxene-phyric mare basalts a similar amount, while other, olivine-bearing mare basalts make up about 1% of the rock. Inspection of other thin sections and the bulk chemistry of the rock suggests that overall 15205 may contain much less mare basalt (which appears to be locally concentrated) and much more KREEP basalt than suggested by Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974).

Dymek et al. (1974) divided the feldspathic basalts (Apollo 15 KREEP basalts) into five textural groups: subophitic to intersertal; intersertal; porphyritic intersertal; "ladder structure"; and variolitic. They described each type and provided mineral analyses (Fig. 4) which show them to be similar to other described Apollo 15 KREEP basalts. Takeda et al. (1980) also briefly described KREEP basalt fragments, with mineral compositions given. Dymek et al. (1974) divided the pyroxenephyric basalts (quartz-normative mare) into three textural aphanitic groundmass; ~10 micron groundmass; ~25 micron groups: groundmass. They described each type and provided mineral analyses (Fig. 5) which show them to be very similar to the typical pyroxene-phyric mare basalts found at the Apollo 15 site. The few other mare basalt fragments include olivine-bearing varieties and may be similar to some of the olivine-normative mare basalts found at the site, as suggested by their mineral compositions (Fig. 6). Conspicuously absent are highlands lithologies; a few fragments of "moderately recrystallized metaclastic rock" are present.

Dymek et al. (1974) described and analyzed glass, which ranges from the glass coat and fracture surface glass, to brown veins, to variously-colored alkalic, high-alumina basalt glasses and layers, to the Apollo 15 green glass which exists as aggregates, and to sparse glasses of mare basalt composition. Conspicuously rare are highlands glasses. Some examples of the compositional range are shown in Figures 7 and 8, which show the several The glass coat forms a distinct cluster, distinct groups. similar to but not the same as the brown glass veins, and also distinct from bulk rock compositions (Tables 1, 2). The coating is dark green-brown and contains abundant spherical vesicles (Fig. 3d) up to 1 cm across. It is flow-banded and the contact between the breccia and the coat is sharp with a 100 micron-wide The coat was not produced by in situ melting. πt reaction zone. is distinct from local soil compositions and must predate emplacement of the boulder at its present location. Thin (50-200 micron) brown-glass veins cut the layering and are at least 2 cm They are sharp and contain mineral particles and vesicles. lonq. They are uniform in composition and different from the glass coat; they were probably injected along microfractures. Yellow to pale-green glass veins are also present. Alkalic, highalumina (KREEP) basalt glass is most abundant among glass These glasses fragments, and is white, yellow, brown, or purple. are anglular to subrounded, and range from homogeneous nondevitrified to agglutinate-like layers. The compositions show a range



Ċ

, 2. ----2

Figure 4. Compositions of plagioclases and pyroxenes in KREEP basalt clasts (Dymek et al., 1974).



Figure 5. Compositions of pyroxene-phyric (quartz-normative) basalt clasts (Dymek et al., 1974).



Figure 6. Compositions of plagioclases and pyroxenes in mare basalt clasts in 15205 (Dymek <u>et al</u>., 1974).



Figure 7. Compositions of glasses in 15205 on catatom ol-px-feldspar-qz diagram (Dymek <u>et al</u>., 1974).



Figure 8. Compositions of glasses in 15205 on FeO vs. MgO diagram; symbols as in Figure 7. (Dymek <u>et al</u>., 1974).

TABLE 15205-1. Part of glass data of Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) (see original for minor element data and ranges.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Si02	48.4	47.3	47.5	51.4	45.6	50.5	44.6	47.7
TiO2	1.82	1.60	1.49	1.94	0.43	1.77	0.23	1.67
A1203	12.6	14.2	10.9	15.9	7.4	16.3	27.4	9.4
FeO	15.1	14.1	17.0	10.4	19.6	9.6	4.5	20.1
Mao	10.3	10.3	10.9	7.7	17.5	8.3	4.8	9.8
CaO	9.9	10.8	10.1	10.2	8.3	10.5	16.6	10.4
Na 20	0.54	0.50	0.47	0.88	0.15	0.77	0.24	0.36
K20	0.25	0.22	0.13	0.52	0.02	0.61	0.03	0.07
P205	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.56	0.03	0.52	0.05	0.06
Cr ppm	3000	2600	3100	900	3800	1800	750	3800
Mn ppm	1600	1400	1800	700	2100	1100	1600	1200

References for Table 15205-2

References and methods:

- Keith et al. (1972); gamma ray spectroscopy
 Rancitelli et al. (1972); gamma ray spectroscopy
 Willis et al. (1972); XRF
 Korotev (1984 unpublished); INAA
 Allen et al. (1973); leach and RNAA
 Baedecker et al. (1973); RNAA
 Reed and Jovanovic (1972); NAA
 Moore et al. (1973); combustion, gas chromatography

TABLE 15	205-2.	Bulk	rock	chemical	analyses
----------	--------	------	------	----------	----------



 \sim

, ---

distinct from other glass types and their average is similar to that of Apollo 15 KREEP basalts. Green-glass fragments are spheres or sphere fragments, occurring singly and in aggregates, and are the common Apollo 15 volcanic glass (Table 1) and include devitrified varieties. A few contain vesicles; a few contain euhedral phenocrysts (Fo79-82) similar to the experimentally determined liquidus composition. The chemical variation of clear green glasses is outside of analytical error and consistent with removal of about 5% liquidus olivine. Glass in partly crystallized spheres including that with olivine phenocrysts is more evolved, suggesting that the phenocrysts do not reflect processes occurring during ascent and eruption. The green glass aggregates occur as clasts (clods) up to 1 cm long; the matrix of the clods is also green glass. The green glass clods also contain a few fragments of plagioclase, pyroxene, and pyroxene-phyric basalt. Glass with mare basalt compositions occurs as bright yellow to orange fragments (and as melts at the edge of mare basalt clasts) but are rare. A single angular white fragment with the composition of gabbroic anorthosite was identified, and rare plagioclase glass (An₈₁₋₈₈) is present.

Both glass and lithic fragments suggest that a typical highland region was not an important contributor to the 15205 "soil". Dymek <u>et al</u>. (1974) concluded that feldspathic basalt (KREEP) fragments and glass equivalents together compose about 30% of sample 15205; in light of other observations and the bulk rock chemistry it is likely that the percentage is considerably higher.

Wilshire and Moore (1974) briefly discussed the planar glass on 15205. The glass veneers orthogonal fracture surfaces, are quite thin, and have thin spokes projecting out of the rock surface. The spikes suggest that the boulder was separated from a larger rock mass, which was cut by the orthogonal fractures, while the glass was still molten.

CHEMISTRY: Analyses of bulk material of 15205 are listed in Table 2. The rare earths are plotted in Figure 9. Most analyses seem to be of nearly pure Apollo 15 KREEP basalt; that of Korotev (1984 unpublished) contains more mare component. The coarse size of the clasts and heterogeneous nature of the population distribution suggest that considerable sampling problems could arise for bulk rock analyses, especially for small splits. The consistent gamma ray data, which are for the total rock and also similar to those for 15206, suggest the A15 KREEP basalt is the dominant chemical component, consistent with most other analyses. Korotev's (1984 unpublished) data was determined on a small (less than 1/2 g) chip compared with that of Willis et al. (1972) (nearly 2 g). Willis et al. (1972) noted the high incompatible element abundances and the high SiO2 content. The rare earth pattern is that of KREEP, and Reed and Jovanovic (1972) noted that halogens and other elements were strikingly similar to those in Apollo 14 soils. Schonfeld (1975) used a mixing model to infer 84 ± 2% of Apollo 15 KREEP basalt in 15205. Baedecker et



LEGEND: SPECIFIC 0.00, 114

Figure 9. Rare earths in 15205 bulk rock (Korotev, 1984 unpublished).

233

al. (1973) used their data to infer a very low upper limit for siderophiles in A15 KREEP basalts, similar to mare basalts; they appear to be unaware of the mare basalts in 15205 in considering an origin for the rock as Imbrium ejeta.

Anderson and Hinthorne (1973) used an ion microprobe to determine the concentrations of Ba and rare earths in Y-Zr phosphate, whitlockite, and zircon, presumably derived from KREEP basalt clasts. These minerals have pronounced negative Eu anomalies and flat trivalent rare earth patterns.

STABLE ISOTOPES: Epstein and Taylor (1972) determined δ O¹8 values of 5.92 parts per mil and 6.07 parts per mil for gray matrix and black glass samples respectively. These are typical lunar values.

<u>RADIOGENIC ISOTOPES AND GEOCHRONOLOGY</u>: Anderson and Hinthorne (1973) used an ion microprobe to determine Pb isotopic ratios and Th/U ratios in zircon in 15205, determining an age of 4.01 \pm 0.11 b.y. for the zircon. They did not specifically discuss the data.

RARE GASES, COSMOGENIC NUCLIDES, TRACKS, CRATERING, AND EXPOSURE: Drozd <u>et al</u>. (1976) made noble gas analyses of whole rock samples, primarily in pursuit of information on the excess xenon present in some lunar samples. They tabulated Kr and Xe isotopic data and tabulated summarized results. The excess xenon factor of 1.5 ± 0.2 is of low magnitude, easily understood in terms of <u>in situ</u> U and Pu decay. They determined an ⁸¹Kr exposure age of 169 ± 7 m.y., which is rigorously an upper limit to the present configuration of the boulder. The high ¹³¹Xe/¹²⁶Xe and the long exposure suggest a complex, multistage exposure history, as also suggested by the much shorter track and microcratering ages (below).

Schaeffer <u>et al</u>. (1976) used a laser probe to analyse He, Ne, and Ar on exposed surfaces, comparing the spall zones of 100-micron craters with intercrater surfaces. Ne is of solar origin, but the irregular 40 Ar and high 40 Ar/ 36 Ar (cf. solar) suggest a non-surface correlated origin for most 40 Ar. The two spall zones had 1/3 and 1/2 the "normal" content of all three gases, but one host had very low gases, possibly a result of a recent splash glass.

Keith <u>et al</u>. (1972) and Rancitelli <u>et al</u>. (1972) reported disintegration count data for cosmogenic radionuclides. They both found that ²²Na was at equilibrium but that ²⁶Al was at one-half or one-third of saturation values, indicating a less-than-1-m.y. exposure. The low ²⁶Al is not an artifact of composition (as confirmed by data for soil beneath the boulder and by the Yokoyama <u>et al</u>., 1974, analysis of the data). These cosmogenic nuclide data are similar to those for 15206. Fruchter <u>et al</u>. (1978) made new determinations and found ²⁶Al to be 50% saturated and ⁵³Mn to be 58% saturated, corresponding with exposure of 0.7 ± 0.1 m.y.and 4.5 ± 0.5 m.y. respectively. These ages are not consistent with each other nor with the Drozd et al. (1976) rare gas age, leading to the conclusion that 15205 was shielded at a depth of approximately 1 m for time period long with respect to the half-life of ⁵³Mn. An exposure history consistent with ²⁶Al age of 0.7 m.y., ⁵³Mn age of 4.5 m.y., and ⁸¹Kr age of 169 m.y. requires the boulder to have been buried just below the surface for 200 m.y., then ejected by a small event to its present position where it has remained for less than 100,000 years, consistent with the solar flare track and microcrater ages (below). Bhandari (1977) also produced ²⁶Al data for different depths (0-0.140 g/cm² and 1.5-1.64 g/cm²) for an exterior surface. He deduced an exposure age of less than or equal to 0.1 m.y., similar to other studies, from the unsaturated ²⁶Al.

Schneider <u>et al</u>. (1973) derived a solar flare track age of about 3×10^4 years, which was revised following new calibrations to 7.9 x 10⁴ years (Fechtig <u>et al</u>., 1974). These studies outline the depth dependance of solar flare tracks in the glass studied.

Schneider et al. (1973) reported cumulative crater number densities for a statistically significant number of craters (Fig. 10). The specimens were from the top corner of the boulder, and counting was done at several magnifications. The population is in production. The distribution is bimodal. These results have been discussed by Brownlee et al. (1973), Fechtig et al. (1974), and Horz et al. (1975, 1977) because of their implications for Brownlee et al. (1973) noted that the the micrometeoroid flux. bimodal distribution suggested two different source areas for micrometeoroid mass regimes. Hartung and Storzer (1974) continued the work with a study of the microcrater density and solar flare particle track exposure age measurements for the population, using iron-group solar flare tracks to yield exposure ages for host surface and 56 microcraters. (Figs. 11, 12). Thev found individual microcrater exposure ages indicating an increasing microcrater production rate (flux) over the last 10,000 years (they suggested Comet Encke as the reason). This rate is higher than the present day production rate estimated from satellite and Apollo window data (Fig. 12), and Hartung and Storzer (1974) suspected that a systematic error existed in the analysis of solar flare particle tracks. However, this systematic error would not change the pattern of increasing micrometeoroid flux towards the present. According to Horz et al. (1975), the data for the last 3000 years are in good agreement with the present day flux. Zook <u>et al</u>. (1976) suggested that the Hartung and Storzer result should be inverted: probably solar activity fluctuates more.

<u>PHYSICAL PROPERTIES</u>: Adams and McCord (1972) measured the diffuse reflectance spectra (0.35 - 2.5 microns), and from the pyroxene bands deduced that 15205 had one of the least calcic pyroxenes among Apollo 15 rocks, which is in accordance with petrographic observations. Charette and Adams (1977) obtained



Figure 10. Size frequency data for microcraters on 15205 and for 15286. (15205 data from Schneider et al., 1973; diagram from Brownlee et al., 1973).



MEASURED SOLAR FLARE TRACK DENSITY (107 cm-2) AT 10 µm DEPTH

Figure 11. Distribution of microcraters according to measured solar flare track density at a depth of about 10 microns below the surface of a microcrater pit (Hartung and Storzer, 1974).



Figure 12. Exposure age data for individual microcraters on 15205 indicating a decreasing average microcrater production rate with time in the past (Hartung and Storzer, 1974).

similar spectra and distinguished the sample (although KREEP) from poikilitic (= low-K Fra Mauro, Apollo 16, 17) rocks on spectral characteristics.

PROCESSING AND SUBDIVISIONS: A small chip (,2) was knocked off (location uncertain) and was used to make thin sections ,3 through ,7. Subsequently the rock was sawn parallel to two faces and the slabs (which have exterior glass) further dissected (Fig. 13). Most allocations have been made from these subdivisions. In 1977 ,0 was further subdivided to produce a few small pieces (,96 - ,102, total less than 15 g) (e.g., Fig. 1c) so that interior pieces could be obtained. One chip was partly used to make thin section ,122. A small chip of glass coat was also removed to make thin section ,110. ,0 is now 139.8 g; no other pieces larger than 25 g exist.



B1 WORK ORIENTATION (LRL "MUG" PHOTOGRAPHY)

Figure 13. Sawing of 15205 into slablets, 1972. Circled numbers show locations of thin sections cut from these slablets. Other thin sections were also cut.