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# APOLLO 10 (MISSION F) SPACECRAFT OPERATIONAL 

ALTERNATE MISSION PLANS
VOLUME I - EARTH ORBITAL ALTERNATES
By David D. DeAtkine and Ronny H. Moore

## SUMMARY

The plans proposed in this report define alternate mission sequences that result from the following contingencies which could occur during Apollo 10 (Mission $F$ ).
l. A COI maneuver when the S-IVB fails late in its first burn and is followed by CSM separation and an SPS burn to orbit
2. A TLI NO-GO (no S-IVB reignition)
3. Premature or nonnominal TLI termination that results in an ellipse whose energy is such that an SPS midcourse $\Delta V$ of greater than approximately 4000 fps is required to achieve a circumlunar mission
4. Failure to eject the LM from the S-IVB in any of the above situations (COI requires the loss of the LM)

The proposed earth orbital alternate missions involve several different procedures. The sequence used is determined by the contingency situation itself and by such constraints as SPS and DPS $\triangle V$ capability, availability of the LM (for docked DPS burns, rendezvous, and APS burn to depletion), recovery requirements, radiation constraints, and RCS deorbit capability. Targeting objectives of the orbital maneuvers are presented together with the LM-active rendezvous objectives. Several typical alternate mission time lines have been generated to demonstrate the application of the objectives. The general types of mission plans presented are as follows: long-duration CSM-only missions (similar to Apollo 7), long-duration LM development missions, and long-duration combined operations missions (similar to Apolio 9).

## INTRODUCTION

This document presents the operational earth orbital alternate mission plans for Apollo 10 (Mission F) and provides Flight Control wi.th a comprehensive set of alternate missions to integrate into mission rules.

The document is restricted to earth orbital alternate missions which stem from either a nonnominal first or second S-IVB burn (or no S-IVB burn), which assume an operational CSM, and which consider LM availability and nonavailability. The general theme of the alternate mission planning philosophy is to maximize LM evaluation; thus, if possible, priority is given to tests of the LM and of its propulsion systems in Missions $F$ and $G$ duty cycles. Circumlunar and lunar orbital alternate mission plans are to be discussed in Volume II of the document entitled Apollo 10 (Mission F) Spacecraft Operational Alternate Mission Plans. Alternate lunar rendezvous plans are discussed in Volume III. This document supersedes the preliminary plan (ref. l). The study is restricted to the May launch window; however, the same general techniques are applicable to any monthly window.

ABBREVIATIONS

AGS abort guidance system
APS ascent propulsion system
CDH constant differential height
CM command module
COI contingency orbit insertion
CSI coelliptic sequence initiation
CSM command and service modules
DOI descent orbit insertion
DPS descent propulsion system
DTO detailed test objective
e.s.t. eastern standard time

| G\&N | guidance and navigation |
| :--- | :--- |
| G.m.t. | Greenwich mean time |
| g.e.t. | ground elapsed time |
| $h_{\text {a }}$ | apogee altitude above earth |
| $h_{p}$ | perigee altitude above earth |
| $\Delta h$ | height differential |
| LM | lunar module |
| LOI | lunar orbit insertion |
| MCC | midcourse correction |
| MSFN | Manned Space Flight Network |
| PGNCS | primary guidance and navigation control subsystem |
| RCS | reaction control system |
| RTACF | Real-Time Auxiliary Computing Facility |
| RTCC | Real-Time Computer Complex |
| SM | service module |
| SPS | service propulsion system |
| $t_{b}$ | burn time |
| TEI | transearth injection |
| TLI | translunar injection |
| TPF | terminal phase finalization |

## NOMINAL MISSION DESCRIPTION AND INPUT DATA

Apollo 10 (Mission $F$ ) is planned to be a CSM/LM combined operations and lunar orbital mission. The prime objectives are to satisfy a number of DTO's associated with CSM/LM cislunar and lunar operations, and to demonstrate crew/space vehicle/mission support facilities performance during a CSM/LM manned lunar mission. The mission will provide additional data for the lunar gravitational potential and for the MSFN state vector determination capability. A complete list of Apollo 10 (Mission $F$ ) test objectives is presented in reference 2.

The AS-505/CSM-106/LM-4 space vehicle is to be launched within a window which opens on May 18, 1969, and which closes May 25, 1969. The nominal Apollo 10 mission description given in reference 3 was designed for a particular launch and injection opportunity; namely, May 18, $72^{\circ}$ launch azimuth, $11^{\mathrm{h}} 48^{\mathrm{m}}$ e.s.t., and injection on the first opportunity.

Input data used in the preparation of this document were obtained from the following sources.


DEFINITIONS, GROUND RULES, AND ASSUMPTIONS

Because the mission and spacecraft constraints documents (refs. 4 and 5) do not contain all necessary ground rules and assumptions for earth orbital alternate mission plans, it is necessary to document the basic guidelines used to plan the alternate missions that are described.

## Definitions

Several terms which are used in this report are defined as follows.

1. Alternate mission - A mission with a reduced number of preplanned nominal test objectives to which the crew can revert in situations for which continuation of the nominal mission is not possible but for which crew safety is not in jeopardy
2. Abort - Any situation in which crew safety requires immediate action toward termination of the mission and safe crew return without consideration for further accomplishment of mission objectives
3. Semisynchronous orbit - An elliptic orbit with a 12-hour period which, therefore, has two perigee passes per day; the perigee positions are fixed relative to the earth, $180^{\circ}$ apart in longitude

Ground Rules and Assumptions

1. Alternate mission planning will be consistent with current spacecraft, crew, and operational constraints.
2. No additional RTCC processors will be necessary. No additional real-time requirements are currently defined, but if they occur, they will be considered for incorporation in the RTACF.
3. Coverage by MSFN for all SPS and LM maneuvers is desirable. Coverage for all large LM maneuvers is mandatory.
4. LM testing in earth orbit has priority over a CSM-only lunar mission.
5. If return to a low earth orbit with rendezvous is not possible, LM testing in a high ellipse is preferable to LM testing in a low earth orbit.
6. Deorbit from all alternate missions will be planned such that recovery lighting constraints are met whenever possible.
7. Only water landings are planned.
8. It is assumed to be desirable to stay in orbit after a nonnominal TLI or not TLI for a full-duration mission (approximately 10 days) rather than to abort the mission.
9. In all alternate missions, the nominal mission time line is followed whenever possible.
10. Radiation hazards do not prohibit one pass through perigee in a hich ellipse ( $h_{a}>4000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. ) with crewmen in the LM. No radiation hazard exists for crewmen in the $C M$ for these types of orbits.
11. No additional crew training will be required for alternate missions.
12. RCS deorbit capability is maintained for all alternate missions.
13. A high-ellipse phasing maneuver, when required, will occur as near as possible to the nominal MCC-l time.
14. No shifting of the line of apsides or the line of nodes is attempted in a phasing maneuver for a partial TLI high-ellipse alternate; only a change in orbital period is made.
15. The docked DPS, simulated LOI, and phasing maneuvers will achieve, in combination, a $100-$ by $400-\mathrm{n}$. mi. altitude ellipse prior to a rendezvous sequence. When no rendezvous is possible, these burns are used to achieve a semisynchronous orbit.
16. The May 17 launch date has been used in this study. Since the finalization of input data to this document, the opening day of the May launch window has been moved to May 18; however, the techniques described in this document generally are applicable to any day of the May window, with very minor changes in mission event times.
17. The first SPS maneuver after the last docked DPS maneuver will be at least 40 seconds in duration.

## ALTERNATE MISSION PLANS

The alternate missions are summarized in the flow chart and in table $I$ as a function of the type and the time of failure.

Alternate Mission 1 (CSM-only Low Earth Orbit)
Alternate mission 1 assumes that the LM cannot be ejected and assumes either an SPS COI or an S-IVB failure to achieve a $25000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. apogee TLI burn. The sequence of events is as follows.

1. SPS phasing burn to assure MSFN tracking for simulated LOI at near nominal g.e.t.
2. Simulated LOI that results in a $100-$ by $400-\mathrm{n}$. mi. altitude orbit
3. Further MCC's to achieve the desired end of mission ellipse ( $90-$ by $240-\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. altitude) and to complete SPS lunar mission duty cycle

4. Approximately a 10 -day mission; landing in $150^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ Pacific recovery area

## Alternate Mission 2 (CSM-only Semisynchronous)

The alternate mission 2 plan assumes that the S-IVB fails during the second burn with $h_{a} \geq 25000 \mathrm{n}$. mi., and that the LM cannot be extracted. The sequence of events is as follows.

1. SPS phasing maneuver to assure simulated LOI burn tracking
2. Simulated SPS LOI expended primarily out of plane; in-plane component used to place CSM in a semisynchronous orbit
3. SPS phasing maneuver to place a later perigee over the $165^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ Pacific recovery zone

Alternate Mission 3 (CSM/LM Earth Orbit
Combined Operations with SPS Deboost)
The alternate mission 3 plan assumes that the TLI maneuver did not occur or that the TLI apogee does not exceed 4000 n . mi. and that the LM was ejected. The maneuver sequence is as follows.

1. If necessary, SPS maneuver to raise apogee for lifetime requirements
2. LOI maneuver to raise (or lower) apogee to 400 n . mi. expending remainder out of plane
3. Simulated DOI (in docked configuration)
4. Simulated powered descent in docked configuration, using profile shown in figure 1
5. SPS maneuver to circularize orbit at $150 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . ;$ maneuver is at least 40 seconds in duration
6. LM-active rendezvous (description in section on rendezvous)
7. Undocked and unmanned APS burn to depletion (AGS controlled); targeted as in Apollo 9
8. Further SPS maneuvers to achieve desired end-of-mission ellipse (90- by $240-\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. orbit) and complete lunar mission duty cycle
9. Approximately a lo-day mission with landing planned for the $150^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ area

> Alternate Mission 4 (CSM/LM Earth Orbit Combined Operations with DPS/SPS Deboost)

The alternate mission 4 plan assumes that the S-IVB fails during the TLI with $4000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} .<\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}} \leq 10000 \mathrm{n}$. mi. and that the LM is successfully extracted. In this situation, the DPS and SPS, in combination, are required to return the CSM/LM to a low earth orbit without the CSM rescue capability being sacrificed. The sequence of events is as follows.
l. SPS phasing maneuver to assure MSFN tracking for next powered descent simulation burn
2. DPS DOI in docked configuration
3. DPS simulated powered descent in docked configuration; used to lower apogee to approximately 4000 n . mi. (remainder expended out of plane) while crew is protected from radiation belts encountered at altitudes between 400 to 2000 n . mi. The throttle profile is presented in figure 1 .
4. SPS phasing (simuiated MCC) maneuver to insure MSFN tracking for LOI
5. SPS TOI which lowers apogee to $400 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. ; remainder of $\triangle \mathrm{V}$ is expended out of plane
6. SPS maneuver to circularize at 150-n. mi. altitude; maneuver at least 40 seconds in duration
7. LM-active rendezvous
8. Undocked and unmanned APS burn to depletion; targeted as in Apollo 9
9. SPS maneuvers to complete lunar mission time line and to achieve nominal end of mission $90-$ by $240-\mathrm{n}$. mi. altitude orbit
10. Approximately a lo-day mission with landing in the $150^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ Pacific area

## Alternate Mission 5 (CSM/LM Semisynchronous Orbit)

The alternate mission 5 plan assumes that the SPS and DPS in combination cannot place the CSM/LM in low earth orbit without the sacrifice of LM rescue capability and that the SPS propellant is not sufficient for a CSM/LM circumlunar mission; that is, the resultant TLI apogee is such that $50000>h_{a} \geq 10000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sequence of events is as follows.

1. SPS phasing maneuver (to place a later perigee over a MSFN site)
2. SPS LOI, targeted to make orbit semisynchronous, with remainder of $\Delta V$ expended out of plane
3. SPS phasing maneuver, if necessary, to adjust the semisynchronous orbit
4. Docked DPS DOI
5. Docked DPS powered descent simulation
$a_{50} 000-n$. mi. limit based upon preliminary estimates of $\Delta V$ reserve requirement.
6. SIS phasing maneuver to place later perigee over $165^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ rucovr., zone; burn at lonst 40 seconds in duration
7. SPS maneuver to establish semisynchronous orbit with perigee ovi. recovery zone
8. Approximately a $10-$ day mission, with direct return from seriisynchronous orbit to $765^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ recovery zone
a tyfical mission events summary for each of the alternate missions is given in table II.

TARGETING OF ORBITAL MANEUVERS

In-plane and out-of-plane targeting for orbital maneuvers was indicated in the preceding mission descriptions. While the docked DPS maneuver and the LOI maneuver are being performed, appreciable out-rif-plane $\Delta V$ may be available. The resultant $\Delta V$ may be used to advance or retard the line of nodes, a procedure which may be used to shift the groundtrack not only to cover the rendezvous sequence better but also to optimize deorbit maneuver tracking. To insure maximum tracking during the rendezvous sequence, the TPI maneuver will be planned to occur during a revolution which has a descending node of approximately $40^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. The time of occurrence of such a revolution (and, therefore, the location of TPI and all other maneuver points) may be influenced by a nodal shift. If no problem exists in tracking, the node may be advanced with one maneuver and retarded with another, with a resultant minimal shift in the line of nodes. The most desirable situation for deorbit occurs when two successive opportunities for the intended recovery zone are available. It is highly desirable to have land-based tracking for the first opportunity. Out-of-plane thrusting in the previously discussed maneuvers may be used to provide both situations.

## LM-ACTIVE RENDEZVOUS FOR ALTERNATES 3 AND 4

We objective of the IM-active rendezvous (alternates 3 and 4) is to simalste as closely as possible in earth orbit the nominal $G$ mission lunar rendozvous from the $C D H$ maneuver through the terminal phase. The situation is simulated by matching the vehicle-to-vehicle line-ofsight elevation angles (which yield a standard terminal phase) from CDH through IIF. To design a comparable earth orbital simulation, the lunar rindeavolu profile described in reference 3 was used as a guide. At
the same time, however, consideration of flight crew training and ground support workloads dictated that the rendezvous be kept as simple as possible and yet achieve the conditions previously described. The result of the considerations is a profile which resembles the C mission rendezvous profile, for which the Apollo 10 (Mission F) prime crew has trained.

To assure a safe perigee for all rendezvous maneuvers and still retain SM/CM RCS hybrid deorbit capability, a $150-\mathrm{n}$. mi. altitude circular orbit was chosen as the base orbit for the rendezvous. The rendezvous sequence is begun by an SM RCS 5 -fps radial separation maneuver. The burn is directed radially upward, and the LM moves below and in front of the CSM in an equiperiod orbit. Approximately 45 minutes or one-half orbit later, the LM performs a DPS phasing maneuver which provides the desired $\Delta h$ and phase angle at the CDH point slightly over an orbit later. This burn places the LM in a 198- by l39-n. mi. altitude orbit and is somewhat comparable to the phasing burn in the nominal Apollo 10 (Mission $F$ ) sequence of events. The CSI maneuver is computed on board; however, because the phasing maneuver provided the proper CDH offset, the CSI maneuver nominally will be zero. If the maneuver is performed, it would occur half an orbital period (approximately 45 min ) before CDH near apogee. The maneuver CDH is a near-horizontal, retrograde burn with the DPS which places the LM in a l39-n. mi. circular orbit. This burn yields a constant $\Delta \mathrm{h}$ of approximately ll n. mi. below the CSM. A maximum separation range of 170 n . mi. is achieved; this distance is considered sufficient for rendezvous radar and VFF ranging tests. The separation range results in the time between CDH and TPI being approximately 110 minutes. However, the time can be changed to achieve MSFN coverage for CDH. The time between CDH and TPI is sufficient to allow another CSI CDH sequence to adjust the coelliptic approach. If it is required, the final CSI-2 would occur 25 minutes after CDH-l and the accompanying CDH-2 would occur 45 minutes later, which would leave approximately 40 minutes between CDH-2 and TPI. The DPS will be staged some time (probably 30 min ) prior to TPI. The maneuver TPI is based on lighting conditions such that sunrise occurs at a range of approximately 2.5 n . mi. For earth orbit profiles, TPI is executed 25 minutes prior to daylight and on a LM-to-CSM elevation angle of $26.6^{\circ}$. The CSM travel angle from TPI to theoretical TPF is $130^{\circ}$.

Coverage by MSFN is desirable for all maneuvers; however, for all launch opportunities, this coverage may not be possible. Coverage of the phasing and CDH burn and adequate tracking between CDH and TPI were assumed mandatory and will be provided by initiation of the rendezvous sequence during the proper revolution over the United States. In most cases, adequate tracking will exist between the other rendezvous maneuvers.

A detailed sequence of events for a typical alternate 3 earth rendezvous is shown in table III. A typical earth rendezvous relative motion and its bar chart are shown in figures 2 and 3, respectively. The CSM rescue procedures are essentially limited to mirror-image naneuvers for CDH and TPI.

Two other possibilities exist in situations for which the planned earth orbit rendezvous cannot be completed because of one or more inoperative propulsive systems or because of inoperative G\&N systems. The possibilities are as follows.
l. An all-APS rendezvous may be scheduled if the DPS fails. The half-loaded APS has enough $\Delta V$ capability to perform both the DPS phasing and the CDH-l maneuvers. The rendezvous lasts approximately 4.5 hours, which is within the time limit of the APS power supply. The remaining $\Delta V$ will be sufficient to perform a long APS burn to depletion. The only difference from the planned rendezvous is the burn durations that result from use of the APS. The relative motion is the same as figure 2.
2. If both the DPS and APS fail or if the PGNCS or AGS guidance systems are inoperative, capability still exists to perform a large football rendezvous. The normal minifootball is first performed with a 5 -fps radially-downward maneuver by the SM RCS that places the CSM and LM in equiperiod orbits. Just prior to the quarter-orbit point, the descent stage is jettisoned, and approximately 45 minutes after the minifootball separation, the LM RCS is used to place the LM on a large relative motion football with a 5l.9-second, 80-fps $\Delta V$ maneuver (AFS interconnect assumed open), performed ratially upward. The maneuver results in a maximum separation range of $45.5 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. , which is sufficient to perform rendezvous radar and VHF ranging checks. The LM performs $T P I$ ( $\Delta V \simeq 24 \mathrm{fps}$ ) approximately 70 minutes after the RCS phasing maneuver at the normal $26.6^{\circ}$ elevation angle. From that point on, the terminal phase is a nominal approach. Relative motion for this RCS-only rendezvous is shown in figure 4. The rendezvous, if performed, would be planned such that normal terminal phase lighting is achieved; TPI would occur 25 minutes before daylight.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The data presented and procedures described in this document represent the operational earth orbital alternate mission plans for the Apollo 10 (Mission F) lunar orbital mission. The purpose of the document has been to define, primarily qualitatively rather than quantitatively, the alternate mission procedures. Because of the large
amount of data that would be necessary to cover completely the launch window and corresponding alternate mission situations, the data presented are meant merely to be representative of the range of maneuvers which could be used. The RTCC and RTACF procedures and processors will be used to compute the maneuvers in real time, and real-time maneuver targeting rather than preflight generated data will be relied upon for the alternate missions.

TABLE II.- TYPICAL F ALTERNATE MISSION EVENTS

| Mission event | Time of initiation hr:min:sec, g.e.t. | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta V \\ & \mathrm{fps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b} \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{a} / h_{p}, \\ & \text { n. mi. } \end{aligned}$ | Engjue | Position of initiation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude, } \\ \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | Longitude, deg | Altitude, n. mi. |
| Insertion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 00:11:22 | -- | -- | 103/101 | S-IVB | 32.7 | $-5^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot 3$ | 103 |
| Apogee adjust maneuver | 05:58:41.4 | 55.2 | 5.3 | 130/102 | SPS | 29.5 | -165.0 | 102 |
| LOI simulation | $72: 30: 30.4$ | 3699.7 | 293.6 | 402/102 | SPS | 32.0 | $-78.1$ | 102 |
| Circularization | $74: 16: 12.4$ | 837.1 | 53.7 | 150/150 | SPS | 13.5 | $-54.7$ | 1.50 |
| Deorbit shaping | 144:36:53.0 | 516 | 30.9 | 240/91 | SPS | 16.5 | $-59.3$ | 150 |
| Deorbit | $238: 58: 42.0$ | 323.4 | 18.3 | 235/-2 | SPS | $-16.5$ | 122.1 | 1.92 |
| Touchdewn | $239: 27: 13$ | -- | - | -- | -- | 32.2 | -150.0 | 0 |




| Mission events | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time of } \\ \text { initiation } \\ \text { hr:min:sec, } \\ \text { g.e.t. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{V}, \\ & \mathrm{fps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b} \\ & \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}}, \\ & \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Latitude, deg | Longitude, deg | Altitude, n. mi. |
| TLI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 02:31:36 | -- | -- | 29 605/97 | S-IVB | -27.1 | 128.6 | 102 |
| First phasing maneuver | 19:40:0]. | 79.4 | 7.4 | 31 259/95 | SPS | -27.6 | -130.6 | 95 |
| LOI simulation | 75:01:45 | 3699.7 | 288.6 | 21 729/92 | SPS | -27.3 | 118. | 92 |
| Second phasing maneuver | 98:59:20 | 88.2 | 5.7 | 20 705/92 | SPS | -27.2 | 118.3 | 92 |
| Final semisynchronization | 180:44:38 | 89.9 | 5.7 | 21 750/92 | SPS | -26.7 | -165.8 | 92 |
| Deorbit | 231:48:00 | 47.6 | 3.0 | 21 750/20 | SPS | 26.8 | 105.1 | 21750 |
| Touchdown | 237:59:30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -26.8 | -165.0 | 0 |

${ }^{a_{\text {Premature }}}$ cutoff.
TABLE II.- TYPICAL F ALTERIATE MISSION EVENTS - Continued
(c) Alternate 3

| Mission event | Time of initiation, hr:min:sec, g.e.t. | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta V \\ & \text { fps } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b} \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{a} / h_{p}, \\ & \text { n. mi. } \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude, } \\ \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | Longitude, deg | Altitude, n. mi. |
| Insertion | 00:11:22 | -- | -- | 103/102 | S-IVB | 32.7 | -54.3 | 103 |
| Apogee adjust maneuver | 05:58:41 | 55.2 | 7.9 | 130/102 | SPS | 29.5 | -164.9 | 102 |
| LOI simulation | 72:30:30 | 3699.7 | 440.5 | 402/102 | SPS | 31.9 | $-78.1$ | 102 |
| DOI simulation | $96: 30: 30$ | 33.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 12.5 \text { at } 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | 403/102 | DPS | -29.2 | 43.9 | 337 |
| PD simulation | 97:32:21 | 2019.0 | ${ }^{1} 437.0$ | 400/102 | DPS | 29.7 | -90.1 | 102 |
| Circularization | 100:28:11 | 833.9 | 63.4 | 150/150 | SPS | 27.4 | 170.3 | 150 |
| Separation | 118:00:49 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 151/150 | +X RCS | -20.8 | 165.8 | 150 |
| Phasing | 113:45:27 | 178.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 7.2 \text { at } \mathrm{FrP}^{r} \end{aligned}$ | 198/139 | DPS | 21.3 | -26.6 | 151 |
| CSI | 119:43:32 | $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ | 0 | 198/139 | +X RCS | 4.1 | 177.3 | 198 |
| CDH | 120:28:44 | 103.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 6.8 \text { at } 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | 139/138 | DPS | -3 | $-7.3$ | 139 |
| TPI | 122:18:15 | 24.1 | 15.3 | 152/138 | +X RCS | -31.5 | 46.5 | 139 |
| TPF | 122:49:40 | 32.9 | 42.2 | 150/149 | -Z RCS | 17.4 | 160.6 | 150 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See figure 1 for throttle profile.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Nominally zero.


| (c) Alternate 3 - Concluded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission event | Time of initiation, hr:min:sec, g.e.t. | $\Delta V$, fps | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b}, \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}, \\ & \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude, } \\ \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | Longitude, deg | Altitude, n. mi. |
| APS burn to depletion | 126:08:11 | 3721.2 | 228.2 | 3443/150 | APS | 29.0 | -164.0 | 150 |
| Deorbit shaping maneuver | 146:34:57 | 519.9 | 23.8 | 242/91 | SPS | -6.2 | 115.2 | 149 |
| Deorbit | 238:30:15 | 323.3 | 14.1 | 235/-2 | SPS | 149.3 | 5.1 | 192 |
| Touchdown | 239:01:12 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 32.2 | -150.0 | 0 |

TABLE II.- TYPICAL F ALTERNATE MISSIOI EVENTS - Continued

| (d) Alternate 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission event | Time of initiation, hr:min:sec, g.e.t. | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta V \\ & f \mathrm{ps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{a} / h_{p}, \\ & \text { n. mi. } \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude, } \\ \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | Longitude, deg | Altitude, n. mi. |
| TLI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 02:31:36 | -- | -- | 8927/99 | S-IVB | -27.1 | 128.6 | 102 |
| First phasing maneuver | 07:28:13 | 35.3 | 5.0 | 8805/79 | SPS | $-27.5$ | 54.3 | 99 |
| DOI simulation | 50:27:00 | 23.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 12.5 \text { at } 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | 8807/101 | DPS | 6.0 | -8.9 | 5417 |
| PD simulation | 51:27:09 | 2019.0 | $\mathrm{b}_{437.0}$ | 4099/100 | DPS | -26.7 | 113.8 | 100 |
| Second phasing maneuver | 54:19:35.7 | 426.8 | 51.8 | 3467/99 | SPS | $-26.5$ | 70.8 | 99 |
| LOI simulation | 75:22:50 | 3316.1 | 335.6 | 400/99 | SPS | -25.5 | 115.3 | 99 |
| Circularization | 99:29:59 | 837.1 | 68.6 | 150/150 | SPS | 20.4 | -61.5 | 150 |
| Separation | 119:36:23 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 151/150 | $\begin{gathered} +X R C S \\ (C S M) \end{gathered}$ | $-23.6$ | 760.2 | 150 |
| Phasing | 120:21:23 | 178.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 7.2 \text { at FTP } \end{aligned}$ | 198/139 | DPS | 23.6 | $-32.2$ | 151 |
| CSI | 121:19:24 | $c_{0}$ | 0 | 198/139 | +X RCS | $-24.3$ | 200.6 | 198 |
| CDH | 122:04:24 | 103.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 6.8 \text { at } 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | 139/138 | DPS | -0.8 | -12.0 | 139 |

[^0]TABLE II.- TYPICAL F ALTERNATE MISSION EVENTS - Continued

| Mission event | Time of initiation, hr:min:sec, g.e.t. | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta V, \\ & \mathrm{fps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b}, \\ & \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{a} / h_{p} \\ & n_{0} . \operatorname{mi} \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Latitude, deg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Longitude } \\ \text { deg } \end{gathered}$ | Altitude, n. mi. |
| TPI | 123:54:51 | 23.9 | 15.3 | 152/139 | +X RCS | -31.5 | 40.5 | i39 |
| TPF | 124:25:31 | 32.9 | 42.2 | 150/150 | $-2 \mathrm{RCS}$ | 15.0 | 156.2 | 150 |
| APS burn to depletion | 127:49:59 | 3721.2 | 228.2 | $3443 / 150$ | APS | 29.8 | $-1 \epsilon 3.9$ | 150 |
| Deorbit shaping | 142:23:14 | 523.3 | 24.1 | 241/91 | SPS | 6.0 | $-134.3$ | 150 |
| Deorbit | 235:13:22 | 323.4 | 18.3 | 235/-2 | SPS | -32.2 | 123.1 | 192 |
| Touchdown | 235:42:12 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6.0 | -150.0 | 0 |

t'able il.- typjcal f alternate mission events - Conciuded

| (e) Alternate 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission event | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time of } \\ & \text { initiation, } \\ & \text { hr:min:sec, } \\ & \text { g.e.t. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta v, \\ & \text { fps } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{b}, \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h_{a} / h_{p}, \\ & \text { n. mi. } \end{aligned}$ | Engine | Position of initiation |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Latiむude, deg | Longitude ders | $\begin{gathered} \text { Altitude, } \\ \text { n. mi. } \end{gathered}$ |
| TLI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 02:31:36 | -- | -- | 29 606/97 | S-IVB | -27.1 | 128.6 | 102 |
| First phasing maneuver | 19:16:01 | 79.4 | 11.3 | 31 259/95 | SPS | -27.5 | -130.6 | 97 |
| LOI simulation | 75:01:45 | 3699.7 | 439.4 | 21 729/92 | SPS | -27.3 | 118.5 | 92 |
| DOI simulation | 98:01:45 | 33.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { at } 10 \% \\ & 12.5 \text { at } 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | 21 731/92 | DPS | 10.0 | 4.1 | 9313 |
| PD simulation | 98:59:20 | 2019.0 | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 437.0$ | 21 750/92 | DPS | -27.2 | 118.3 | 92 |
| APS burn to depletion | 104:58:43 | 3902.6 | 228.2 | 21 750/13 897 | APS | 26.8 | -152.1 | 21750 |
| Second phasing maneuver | 122:58:28 | 796.0 | 40.0 | 20 705/92 | SPS | -27.1 | 117.7 | 92 |
| Final semisynchronization | 213:43:44 | 89.9 | 4.3 | 21 750/92 | SPS | -26.6 | -156.5 | 92 |
| Deorbit | 213:48:00 | 47.6 | 2.4 | 21 750/20 | SPS | 26.8 | 105.2 | 21750 |
| Touchdown | 237:59:30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -26.8 | -165.0 | 0 |

$a_{\text {Premature }}$ cutoff.
$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Pitch }}$ measured counter clockwise from direction of motion. $b_{\text {Nominally zero. }}$

Figure 1.- DPS throttle profile for F mission earth orbital alternate.

Figure 2. - Relative motion for F mission earth orjital alternate rendezvous.

Figure 3. - Tracking summary and events for $F$ mission earth orbit rendezvous.
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[^0]:    Premature cutoff.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See figure 1 for throttle profile.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Nominally zero.

