

Clinical Finding Form (ClIFF)

Branch

Baseline

ICL66_Hearing Loss - Noise-Related

The IMM LD68 ClIFF is named Hearing Loss. However, it is based on noise-induced hearing loss. The data contained in the IMM ClIFF Hearing Loss is relevant to this ClIFF and should be used for the incidence for this ClIFF. Only spaceflight incidence data is applicable to this condition due to uniqueness of the spaceflight environment. LOE value of 3 (somewhat confident) is chosen for the incidence since it is based on spaceflight data from ISS and STS. Since the exploration vehicles may have different standards for ambient noise and a different noise environment than ISS and STS, an LOE value of 4 (confident) was not chosen. Best case/worst case percentages are based on terrestrial data. The BC/WC percentages are estimated as 65%/35% (Mattox, 1997). BC/WC percentages are given an LOE value of 3 (somewhat confident) based on terrestrial data.

INCIDENCE DATA INCLUDED IN THE MODEL

The model imports the following incidence information from the MEDPRAT Evidence Library Database: incidence data category, space adaption status, EVA status, incidence data type, incidence and occurrence distribution data and incidence data characteristics. Data category defines the type of data available for incidence calculations (i.e. raw data vs. adjusted data). Space adaptation identifies medical events that where onset occurs in the first 5-7 days of flight and does not reoccur. EVA-related incidence values identify medical events that occur only during an EVA. Incidence values types vary by data category and define the number of medical events per person-year (rates) or medical events per person at-risk (proportion) for each medical condition. Incidence values can be modified by crew characteristics such as gender sex and certain crew medical history characteristics. Modifying characteristics are noted below and incidence values are listed individually for each characteristic. Distributions are assigned to medical events incidence values and occurrences in the model. For each crewmember in a given trial, the incidence and number of occurrences of each medical condition are randomly selected from these probability distributions. Detailed descriptions of the distributions are included in the MEDPRAT Technical Description Document.

INCIDENCE DATA

SPACEFLIGHT LITERATURE

Previous ClIFF was seemingly updated in 2017 to include most up to date data of 4 events/33.48 person years that met the condition requirement of M-STs. More up to date data was not found during the literature review.

ANALOG LITERATURE

Analog data for Hearing Loss- Noise related pertaining to an analogous population is abundant, but a minimal amount of data is currently available that offers the granularity required to understand the incidence vs the prevalence. The most analogous data refers to sensorineural hearing loss incidence of noise related hearing loss within a population of USAF aviators (14.7/1000 person years). Noise related hearing loss is one of the largest subsets of sensorineural hearing loss, although it must be noted that another condition (ICL65 "Hearing Loss") includes sensorineural hearing loss as part of its case definition.

TERRESTRIAL LITERATURE

Terrestrial data found in this search lacks granularity between noise related hearing loss and age related hearing loss. The best estimates of incidence were found from a systematic review (Lie et al) although much of the primary source material utilized for the systematic review reported burden of disease as a unit rather than individual events/person years or overall prevalence. The best estimate given is between 7 and 21%.

OVERALL INCIDENCE SUMMARY

INCIDENCE

mean: 0.1194 and SD: 0.0599

INCIDENCE SUMMARY

Spaceflight Data: 4 events over 33.48 person-years of flight. Incidence rate: 0.1195 (95%CI: 0.038, 0.288). The Bayesian posterior distribution for the incidence rate given inflight data of 4 cases over 33.48 person-years under an uninformative prior is reflected by a Gamma(shape=3.973, rate=33.28) which has mean: 0.1194 and SD: 0.0599. Based on spaceflight data. LOE = 3. Only spaceflight incidence data is applicable to this condition due to uniqueness of the spaceflight environment. LOE value of 3 (somewhat confident) is chosen for the incidence since it is based on spaceflight data from ISS and STS. Since the exploration vehicles may have different standards for ambient noise and a different noise environment

than ISS and STS, an LOE value of 4 (confident) was not chosen.

TABLE 1. INCIDENCE MODEL

Incidence Details

Incidence Type

General

Segment

All

Env. Exposure

NA

Sex

Any

Crowns

Any

Contacts

Any

Pregnancy

Any

Incidence Distribution

Occurrence Dist

Poisson

Incidence Dist.

Gamma

Mean

0.119381

SD

0.059893

Gamma

(Shape): 3.973

(Rate): 33.28

TABLE 2. INCIDENCE LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC)

Citation	Source	LOC Value
Lie, et al. 2016	Terrestrial	2
Limardo, et al. 2015	Spaceflight	0
Saile L, et al. 2017	Spaceflight	3
Orsello, et al. 2013	Analog	0
Helper, et al. 2010	Terrestrial	0
Clark, 2001	Spaceflight	1
Kadem, 2018	Spaceflight	0
Buckey, et al. 2001	Spaceflight	1

Incidence and best case/worst case percentages are based on spaceflight data. LOE = 3 (somewhat confident)

CREWMEMBER SELECTION/PREVENTION

DISQUALIFYING CONDITIONS

Refer to Medical Evaluation Documents (MED) Volume A, Medical Standards for ISS Crewmembers, International Space Station Program, Rev 3.4 (2016).

PREFLIGHT PREVENTATIVE MEASURES

Hearing tests are performed pre-flight.

BEST CASE SCENARIO DEFINITION

A Mission Significant Threshold Shift (M-STs) that resolves within 30 days and does not impair communication.

WORSE CASE SCENARIO DEFINITION

A M-STs that lasts greater than 30 days or that impairs communication.

CONDITIONS INCLUDED, BUT NOT EXPLICITLY STATED (CNES)

None

BEST CASE/WORST CASE PROBABILITY COMMENTS

Mattox, 197 Natural history of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. The Annals of otology, rhinology, and laryngology. Jul-Aug 1997;86. 65% of cases recover completely to functional hearing levels spontaneously.

TABLE 3. BEST/WORST CASE PROBABILITY LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC)

Citation	Source	LOC Value
Saile, et al. 2017	Spaceflight	3

LOE value of 3 (somewhat confident) is chosen for the incidence since it is based on spaceflight data from ISS and STS. Since the exploration vehicles may have different standards for ambient noise and a different noise environment than ISS and STS, an LOE value of 4 (confident) was not chosen.

TABLE 4. CLIFF TREATMENT & OUTCOME TABLE BY CLINICAL PHASE

Case Scenario	Composite Incidence	Condition Probability %	CP1: Diagnosis		CP2: Treatment			CP3: Condition End State				
			TI%	Duration	TI%	Duration		TI%	RTDC	LOCL		
			Preset	Preset	Preset	Min	Max	Preset	Min%	Max%	Min%	Max%
Treated Best Case (TBC)	mean: 0.1194 and SD: 0.0599	65 - 65	100	1.12	6.77651	0	720	0	0	0	0	0
Treated Worst Case (TWC)		35 - 35	100	1.45	6.77651	720	720	0	0	0	0	0
Untreated Best Case (UTBC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.12	29.7901	0	720	0	0	0	0	0
Untreated Worst Case (UTWC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.45	29.7901	720	720	29.7901	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4 KEY

Composite Incidence: The same composite incidence will be used for both TBC and TWC. For EVA conditions: events per EVA (events/EVA). For space adaptation conditions: events per person (events/person). For non-spaceflight conditions: events per person year (events/py).

Condition Probability: The probability that CLIFF condition progresses to a worst case scenario. Best case scenario: 100%-Worst Case.

Clinical Phase General Comments:

- TI% = Task Impairment %. Task Impairment is the % of tasks that the crewmember is incapable of performing without impairment secondary to the medical condition.
- Durations are defined in hours.
- Removal to Definitive Care (RTDC): The probability the entire crew aborts the mission and returns to earth. This is considered as a condition end state result if any of the following criteria are met: 1) the potential for LOCL, 2) potential for significant permanent task impairment, or 3) potential for intractable pain.
- Loss of Crew Life (LOCL): The probability of death of affected crewmember due to medical condition.

Clinical Phase 1: Initial Assessment and Diagnosis:

- Covers only the initial assessment and diagnosis of the affected crewmember to define his or her medical condition. While the affected crewmember is being assessed, he or she is not able to perform any assigned tasks, thus Task Impairment (TI) during this phase is considered 100%. In the untreated case, there is no diagnosis performed, therefore Task Impairment and Duration will always be " not applicable."

Clinical Phase 2: Stabilization, Treatment, and Convalescence:

- The affected crewmember is receiving any appropriate initial or follow-on treatment for his or her medical condition to allow the crewmember to recover as much as he or she is able to recover in the spaceflight environment. Clinical phase 2 also encompasses relapses or recurrences of the same original medical condition in Clinical Phase 1. The duration of Clinical Phase 2 is expressed as minimum and maximum hours.

Clinical Phase 3: Condition End State:

- Reached once the affected crewmember has recovered from the medical condition as much as he or she is able to recover in the spaceflight environment amongst treated and untreated best and worst case scenarios. This may or may not be recovery from the given medical condition to the full extent possible. If this "recovered" state results in Removal to Definitive Care (RTDC) or Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) this will be noted in the condition end state results.

SCENARIO OVERVIEW COMMENTS

Noise-related hearing loss in the acute setting tends to be transient in nature. Permanent hearing loss tends to originate from chronic, repetitive high levels of noise exposure. Blast exposures tend to be the most common cause of acute, single-episode-based noise related hearing loss (though this is potentially confounded by the presence of a significant pressure wave for this ICL, as this in addition to the audible noise from the blast). The best space-related data we have does not show evidence of profound, permanent hearing loss in astronauts to date; however, RTDC risk from terrestrial data is still worth noting. LOCL is also not expected in this ICL.

TREATED BEST CASE (TBC) SCENARIO COMMENTS

The majority of studies that examine noise induced hearing loss are not centered around a single exposure event. Derivation of the durations mandated inclusion of a smaller study that has pre and post exposure audiograms (Yassi et al 1993). RTDC and LOCL not expected.

TREATED WORST CASE SCENARIO (TWC) COMMENTS

Noise-related hearing loss can come from chronic exposure or, in the acute setting, from a blast injury. Distinguishing "treated" versus "untreated" in this ICL is difficult and arguably not applicable, as profound hearing loss requires detailed audiology evaluation, fitting for hearing aids, and in some cases cochlear implants. Mrena (2004) discussed hyperbaric and normobaric oxygen treatment for soldiers; though they did not further investigate the effects of treatment in this single study

UNTREATED BEST CASE (UTBC) SCENARIO COMMENTS

Untreated best case is similar to treated best case as there was no efficacious treatment found in the literature search for this condition beyond prevention or mitigation of further damage.

UNTREATED WORST CASE (UTWC) SCENARIO COMMENTS

Untreated worst case scenarios can range in duration from 30 days to permanent disability. The underlying cause may also help determine duration (E.g. healing of a TM perforation versus true SNHL). Though LOCL is not expected, a wide and significant range of patients may experience significant impairment from noise-related hearing loss

TABLE 5. CLINICAL PHASE II DURATION LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC)

Citation	Source	LOC Value
N/A	N/A	4

Based on best case and worst case definitions. LOE = 4 (confident).

TABLE 6. RTDC LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC)

Citation	Source	LOC Value
N/A	N/A	4

RTDC surrogate in profound hearing loss. Profound hearing loss due to noise is not expected on spaceflight missions unless there is significant failure of the noise-controls designed into the spaceflight vehicles.

TABLE 7. LOCL LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC)

Citation	Source	LOC Value
N/A	N/A	4

LOCL is not expected due to noise induced hearing loss.

CAPABILITY RESOURCE TABLE (CRT) OVERVIEW

Overview Loud sounds are inescapable in many terrestrial conditions but are particularly prevalent in spaceflight. Noise-induced hearing loss occurs after exposure to sound in an environment that is loud enough to initiate ototoxic pathways. Noise-induced hearing loss can occur after a single exposure (typically over 140 decibels (dB)) or over time with multiple loud exposures (largely above 85 dB).^{1, 2} Noise-induced hearing loss may be both temporary, resolves after a few or days or weeks, or permanent. Loud sounds damage the sensory hair cells of the inner ear by intense sound pressure which subsequently activates molecular pathways resulting in oxidative stress damage and localized hypercalcemia.³ These pathways ultimately lead to cell apoptosis resulting in sensorineural hearing loss. The severity of hearing loss is categorized by audiometry and is described as either: mild-- a loss of 26-40 decibels (dB), moderate-- a loss of 41-60 dB, severe-- a loss of 61-80 dB, or profound-- a loss of 80 dB or greater.⁴ A 'sudden' sensorineural hearing loss must occur within 72 hours and consist of a decline in hearing of 30 dB affecting three or more sequential frequencies.⁵⁻⁷ A sudden sensorineural hearing loss can be extremely debilitating as it is associated with tinnitus, vertigo, inability to communicate and the incapacity to localize sound. The United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) defines a standard threshold shift, or STS as "a change in hearing threshold, relative to the baseline audiogram for that employee, of an average of 10 decibels (dB) or more at 2000, 3000, and 4000 hertz (Hz) in one or both ears."⁸ NASA has adopted this definition and made it mission specific (M-STs) by using preflight audiometry (performed in a clinic) and comparing it to inflight and post flight hearing thresholds. The best-case scenario is a mild temporary hearing loss while the worst-case scenario is a severe or profound permanent hearing loss. Although it may be difficult to differentiate between a hearing loss that is reversible or non-reversible at the time of presentation, the worst-case condition would result in almost immediate significant task-impairment. Of note, related conditions to hearing loss are all separate CRT conditions including hearing loss (not noise-related), cerumen impaction, otitis externa, otitis media, barotrauma. CNES: None Diagnostic Scope and Resourcing In the best case, diagnosis will be made by means of history, physical exam, and inflight audiometry assessment. Inflight audiometry not only serves to quantify the severity of hearing loss but can also differentiate between the type of hearing loss by quantifying Air- and bone conduction thresholds. Furthering the differential workup of unspecified hearing loss, the latest iteration of the inflight audiometric test (KUDUwave™) can even perform tympanograms. Since crewmembers have immediate access to this technology, there is no need to resource a tuning fork to conduct Weber and Rinne tests. Given the benign nature of this condition, ground communications are not resourced for the best case. Diagnostics in the worst case do not change except for having access to ground communications as significant debility to the crewmember is expected in this scenario. Clinical Phase 1 Duration and Rationale This version of IMPACT presumes physician level knowledge, skill, and ability will be present on the mission. The CRT working group SME consensus duration is as follows: CP1 BC (Treated or Untreated): 1.12 hours This is the longest reasonable time to complete the task for an experienced clinician (adjusted for being in the spaceflight environment) 1) to remove a crewmember from duties 2) obtain a history 3) examine the patient and 4) conduct and interpret an inflight audiometry test. CP1 WC (Treated or Untreated): 1.45 hours This is the longest reasonable time to complete the task for an experienced clinician (adjusted for being in the spaceflight environment) This is the longest reasonable time to complete the task for an experienced clinician (adjusted for being in the spaceflight environment) 1) to remove a crewmember from duties 2) obtain a history 3) examine the patient 4) conduct and interpret an inflight audiometry test 5) set up for ground communications. The highest scope of practice code used in this CRT which includes interpretation of diagnosis, performing diagnostic tests and performing treatment would be a four: this is at intern/PA/NP level. Treatment Scope and Resourcing In the best-case scenario, treatment is limited to observation and preventing reoccurrence of the offending event(s). Preflight, crewmembers are fitted by an audiometry team for silicone custom molded earplugs and active noise reducing headphones that are part of their required spaceflight kit. Accordingly, CRT group consensus was to not require further resources for noise prevention to treat this condition. Similar to other forms of sudden sensorineural hearing loss, treatment guidelines pertaining to the worst-case scenario state that clinicians can consider initiating a 10-day course of corticosteroids as initial therapy with patients who experience sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss within two weeks of symptom onset.⁵ Steroids are associated with several risks including suppression of hypothalamic pituitary-adrenal axis, aseptic necrosis of the hip and hyperglycemia and thus would be avoided in the best-case scenario. The typical recommend course for steroids is one daily dose for 10 days, followed by a taper over a similar period. Although treatment for sudden sensorineural hearing loss in terrestrial conditions can include intratympanic steroid injections, inflight this is not feasible given lack of expertise (requires otolaryngologic training) and an inflight otologic microscope. Communications and Support Needs In the best-case scenario, diagnosis and course of treatment is uncomplicated and likely within the scope of care of onboard crew members. In the worst-case condition, ground communications are necessary given the sudden impairment of the affected crewmember and the need to ensure an accurate diagnosis.

IMM CLIFF RECONCILIATION COMMENTS

The IMM LD68 Cliff is named Hearing Loss. However, it is based on noise-induced hearing loss. The data contained in the IMM Cliff Hearing Loss is relevant to this Cliff and should be used for this Cliff.

LIMITATIONS SUMMARY

Spaceflight data is limited primarily to ISS and STS vehicles. The ambient noise levels in Artemis vehicles may differ. There is considerable overlap with noise-related hearing loss that leads to sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL). Under-reporting for this condition could have been significant during past space missions due to lack of testing and subtle noise-related hearing loss that may not have been apparent to the crew. This may result in underestimation of the incidence.

TABLE 8. TASK IMPAIRMENT CALCULATION BASED ON AFFECTED HUMAN SYSTEMS TASK CATEGORIES (HSTC)

Clinical Phase 2: Treatment												
	Affected HSTCs											
	Cognitive - Simple	Cognitive - Complex	Communications	Inter-personal Skills	Vision	Hearing	Cardio-pulmonary	Dentition	GI	GU		
Treated Best Case (TBC)						44						
Untreated Best Case (UTBC)						44						
Treated Worst Case (TWC)		664	113	89		44						
Untreated Worst Case (UTWC)		664	113	89		44						
	Affected HSTCs (Continued)								TI Calculation		TI Score	
	Hand (Dom)	Hand (Any)	Upper Extremity	Lower Extremity	Ambul & Standing (g)	Translation & Stabilization (microgravity)	Don/Doff Equipment	Pressure Ops	Total Tasks Impaired By Condition	Total Human System Category Tasks Full Mission	TI Decimal	TI %
Treated Best Case (TBC)								211	255	3763	0.0677651	6.77651
Untreated Best Case (UTBC)								211	255	3763	0.0677651	6.77651
Treated Worst Case (TWC)								211	1121	3763	0.297901	29.7901
Untreated Worst Case (UTWC)								211	1121	3763	0.297901	29.7901
Clinical Phase 3: Condition End State												
	Affected HSTCs											
	Cognitive - Simple	Cognitive - Complex	Communications	Inter-personal Skills	Vision	Hearing	Cardio-pulmonary	Dentition	GI	GU		
Treated Best Case (TBC)												
Untreated Best Case (UTBC)												
Treated Worst Case (TWC)												
Untreated Worst Case (UTWC)		664	113	89		44						
	Affected HSTCs (Continued)								TI Calculation		TI Score	

	Hand (Dom)	Hand (Any)	Upper Extremity	Lower Extremity	Ambul & Standing (g)	Translation & Stabilization (microgravity)	Don/Doff Equipment	Pressure Ops	Total Tasks Impaired By Condition	Total Human System Category Tasks Full Mission	T1 Decimal	T1 %
Treated Best Case (TBC)									0	3763	0	0
Untreated Best Case (UTBC)									0	3763	0	0
Treated Worst Case (TWC)									0	3763	0	0
Untreated Worst Case (UTWC)								211	1121	3763	0.297901	29.7901

TABLE 8 KEY

Human System Task Category (HSTC) Definitions: Cognitive-Simple: Tasks requiring simple cognitive involvement (low levels of attention, following simple checklists, and not requiring extensive decision making) in conjunction with inputs from other systems. Cognitive-Complex: Tasks requiring complex cognitive involvement (requiring constant attention, frequent interpretation of outside stimuli to inform real-time situations) in conjunction with inputs from other systems. Communication: Tasks requiring primarily communication, via hearing and speaking. Interpersonal Skills: Tasks requiring interpersonal, social interaction between crew including complex technical tasks that require extended crew interaction. Vision: Tasks in which visual information is required. Hearing: Tasks in which primarily auditory information is required, excluding communication, e.g. hearing alarms, medical auscultation, OOHAs. Cardiopulmonary: Tasks requiring greater-than-baseline cardiopulmonary effort, e.g. physically strenuous activities. Dentition: Tasks requiring use of teeth for mastication. GI (Gastrointestinal): Tasks requiring use of the alimentary system, e.g. solids/liquids consumption and solids excretion. GU (Genitourinary): Tasks requiring use of the male/female genitourinary systems. Hand (Dominant): Tasks performed using primarily the hand, requiring use of the dominant hand (piloting, medical procedures, dental procedures). Hand (Any): Tasks performed using primarily the hand(s), with no preference for dominance, with equivalent bilateral effectiveness. Upper Extremity: Tasks requiring specific use of the upper extremities, excluding hands. Lower Extremity: Tasks requiring specific use of the lower extremities (excluding ambulation and standing in the presence of gravity), e.g. using exercise equipment. Ambulation and Standing (g): Tasks requiring use of both lower extremities to accomplish ambulation or standing in the presence of gravity. Translation and Stabilization (microgravity): Tasks requiring use of either the upper or lower extremities to accomplish translational movement and stabilization (securing oneself to remain stationary) in the setting of microgravity. Don/Doff Equipment: Tasks requiring the donning of personal equipment (including pressure suit, exercise harness, EVA equipment, etc). Pressure Ops: EVA/contingency tasks performed while pressurized in a suit.

Criteria for Assignment of Conditions to HSTCs (any of the following):

- 1) Is affected crew member physically incapable of performing the human system task category, without impairment, with the condition?
- 2) Would the treatment (e.g. medications) impair the crewmember's ability to perform the human system task category? (Does not apply to UTx)
- 3) Does the condition have a high likelihood of causing a significant aeromedical contraindication with an associated human system task category?
- 4) Would performing tasks in an associated task category worsen the condition? (If so, it is affected).
- 5) Does pain associated with the condition impair any further human system categories?

TASK IMPAIRMENT COMMENTS

No comments.

EVIDENCE COLLECTION PROCESS

Mesh terms that most closely resembled the best-case and worst-case scenarios, and associated Conditions Included but Not Explicitly Stated (CNES), were utilized to build incidence, duration, RTDC, and LOCL searches by best- and worst-case.

Incidence:

Databases searched for spaceflight and analog incidence data included: PubMed, Google Scholar, NASA Technical Reports Server (NTRS) public search, Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), and Barratt MR, Baker E, Pool SL. Principles of Clinical Medicine for Space Flight. New York, NY: Springer; 2019. 2nd Edition. Databases searched for terrestrial incidence included: DynaMed, PubMed, and Google Scholar.

Duration, RTDC, LOCL:

Databases searched for duration, RTDC, and LOCL included: Dynamed, Cochrane, PubMed, and Google Scholar. Note, that if duration, RTDC, or LOCL data was found in a DynaMed search, the other search engines were not included. Relevant articles were selected and reviewed. If applicable, the article was included in the CLIFF.

LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE (LOC) SCORING

Articles obtained to update the evidence behind this CLIFF were scored by ExMC editors, based on their applicability to the Exploration Spaceflight environment using the following grading scale:

- 4 – Confident
- 3 – Somewhat confident
- 2 – Neutral
- 1 – Somewhat unconfident
- 0 – Not confident

The following considerations factor into the grading scale above:

- How closely does the paper describe the medical condition as defined by the IMPACT 1.0 Condition List (relevance)?
- How closely does the population included resemble the astronaut population?
- Quality of the paper (number of subjects, methods, etc.)
- How much does the editor trust the source of the evidence?
- How closely does the editor think that the data represents the exploration spaceflight environment?
- Other considerations, at the discretion of the editor, supported in the comments for LOE scoring.

REFERENCES

Reference

1. Saile L, Boley L, Kerstman E. Integration RWS ISS STS Observed Incidence. 2017. 2. Mattox, 197 Natural history of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. The Annals of otology, rhinology, and laryngology. Jul-Aug 1997;86.
3. Ding T, Yan A, Liu K. What is noise-induced hearing loss? Br J Hosp Med (Lond). 2019;80:525-529.
4. Daniel E. Noise and Hearing Loss: A Review. Journal of School Health. 2007;77:225-231.
5. Cunningham LL, Tucci DL. Hearing Loss in Adults. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2465-2473.
6. Nieman CL, Oh ES. Hearing Loss. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173:itc81-itc96.
7. Chandrasekhar SS, Tsai Do BS, Schwartz SR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Sudden Hearing Loss (Update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019;161:S1-s45.
8. Michels TC, Duffy MT, Rogers DJ. Hearing Loss in Adults: Differential Diagnosis and Treatment. Am Fam Physician. 2019;100:98-108.
9. Nieman CL, Reed NS, Lin FR. Otolaryngology for the Internist: Hearing Loss. Med Clin North Am. 2018;102:977-992.
10. 1904.10 - Recording criteria for cases involving occupational hearing loss.: United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; 2019.

ACRONYMS

ACRONYM	PHRASE
AAOMS	American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons
AMA	American Medical Association
ARCL	All Remaining Conditions List
ARS	Acute Radiation Sickness
BHP	Behavioral Health and Performance
CAC	Coronary Artery Calcium
CHS	Crew Health and Safety
CL	Condition List
ClIFF	Clinical Finding Form
CMO	Crew Medical Officer
COMFORT	Clinical Outcome Metrics for Optimization of Robust Training
CP1	Clinical Phase 1
CP2	Clinical Phase 2
CP3	Clinical Phase 3
CRL	Clinical Resource Level
CRT	Capability Resource Table
CST	Clinical Science Team
DCS	Decompression Syndrome
DRM	Design Reference Mission
ED	Emergency Medicine Doctor
EL	Evidence Library
EMCL	Exploration Medical Condition List

EMT	Emergency Medical Technician
EVA	Extravehicular Activity
EXMC	Exploration Medical Capability
HRP	Human Research Program
ICD	International Classification of Disease
ICL 1.0	IMPACT 1.0 Medical Conditions List
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
IMCL	iMED Condition List
iMED	Integrated Medical Evidence Database
IMM	Integrated Medical Model
IMPACT-MD	Impact Medical Database
IP	Incidence Proportion
IR	Incidence Rate
ISS	International Space Station
IV	Intravenous
JSC	Johnson Space Center
LEO	Low-Earth orbit
LEVA	Lunar Extravehicular Activity
LOCL	Loss of Crew Life
LOM	Loss of Mission
LSAH	Lifetime Surveillance of Astronaut Health
LSO	Lunar Surface Operations
MCL	Master Condition List
MEVA	Medical Extravehicular Activity
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
N/A	Not Applicable
NP	Nurse Practitioner
OBGYN	Obstetrics and Gynecology
OR	Occurrence Rate
PA	Physician Assistant
PDQ	Pain Disability Questionnaire
PFCL	Proposed Future Conditions List
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PTRS	Project Technical Requirements Specification
PRL	Pharmaceutical Resource Level
QTL	Quality Time Lost
RCL	Removed Conditions List
REP	Rochester Epidemiology Study
RTDC	Removal to Definitive Care
SANS	Spaceflight-Associated Neuro-ocular Syndrome
SAS	Space Adaptation Syndrome
SEVA	Spaceflight Extravehicular Activity
SME	Subject Matter Expert

SoP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPE	Solar Particle Event
TBD	To Be Determined
TI	Task Impairment