

Gravity Well Neurovestibular Disturbance CLIFF Peer Review

1. *Strength of evidence presented in CLIFF in modeling the characteristics and mission impact of this medical condition within the spaceflight environment?*

Score: 2

Grading Scale:

4 - *Confident*

3 - *Somewhat confident*

2 – *Neutral*

1 – *Somewhat unconfident*

0 – *Not confident*

2. How confident are you that these data accurately represent the spaceflight environment?	Tables 1, 2 Composite Incidence and Level of Confidence	Table 3, 4 BC/WC Loss of Crew and probability	Table 4 - Clinical Phase 1, 2 durations Table 5 – CP 2 Loss of Crew	Table 4 – RTDC % Table 6 – RTDC Loss of Crew	Table 4 – Loss of Crew Life % Table 7 – Loss of Crew Life, Loss of Crew	Table 8 – TI
Score	2	1	2	3	3	3

Comments and limitations:

1. General comments. This CLIFF characterizes entry sensorimotor/neurovestibular disturbances in a “gravity well” defined as the return to partial gravitational field after having spent time in microgravity. This could include landing on the lunar or the Martian surface after orbiting or directly approaching these bodies. Sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbances are the collection of physiologic changes that occur when reacclimating to a positive gravitational field from a microgravity environment. Based on prior low earth orbit missions with a terrestrial return, it is usually a self-limited condition, mild in severity for shorter missions, that may include dizziness, disorientation, postural instability, and ocular abnormalities. This description, however, is derived from the experience with return to 1 g conditions, primarily with shuttle flights. None of the 12 Apollo astronauts experienced such symptoms upon entering the 1/6 g environment on the lunar surface while nearly two-thirds of astronauts returning to earth experienced some type of neurovestibular disturbance. Based on these differences, the strength of the gravitational field appears to have an important influence on the incidence. The best case is considered to be a gravity well sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbance that results in mild balance deficits or orientation difficulty, but does not prevent the operation of machinery or piloting. The worst case is a gravity

Gravity Well Neurovestibular Disturbance CLIFF Peer Review

well sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbance that results in moderate or severe balance deficits and orientation difficulty, and prevents the operation of machinery or piloting. Since the gravity transition will be from microgravity to 1/6 g or 3/8 g instead of 1 g, the editor anticipates that all EMS events will be best case scenarios.

2. Incidence. The incidence of gravity well sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbance (specifically defined as readaptation occurring on return to a partial gravitational field from microgravity) has been estimated based on the Apollo moon landings. There is no other analog that can be used to compute incidence. There were 0 documented EMS events among the 12 astronauts who have walked on the moon compared to nearly two-thirds of astronauts returning to earth who experienced some type of neurovestibular disturbance. It is unknown what the effect of the 3/8 g Martian gravitational field will be on the incidence of neurovestibular disturbances. The editor states that all episodes of this condition will be mild; thus, the best-case probability is 100%. As a result, all worst-case data is listed as zero. The clinical phase durations are based on astronaut re-entry data that have shown a return to normal stability levels by 8 days after landing. RTDC and Loss of crew life probabilities are estimated to be zero which is a reasonable assumption. The probability density curve that was derived appears to be a reasonable representation of the data presented.

3. Clinical phase data. I am in agreement with the clinical phase 1 duration entered in this CLIFF. This data was compiled by a team of expert clinicians, and I was involved in the discussions leading to the calculation of the clinical phase duration. CP2 was supported by terrestrial evidence.

4. Task impairment. The analysis was performed and reviewed by a separate team of expert clinicians. I am aware of the methods that were used but did not directly participate in the discussions.

5. Dependence on mission phase or duration of mission. This medical condition is dependent on mission phase as it only occurs when there is re-entry from microgravity to a positive gravity field such as landing on the lunar or Martian surface.

6. Limitations

- There is very limited data to support this CLIFF. Only 12 astronauts have experienced a microgravity to lunar gravity transition and none of them reported sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbances.
- There may have been under-reporting of symptoms related to neurovestibular disturbances among the Apollo astronauts. In addition, the Apollo astronauts who walked on the moon did not undergo neurovestibular testing when they landed on the moon.
- There is no data to support the incidence of sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbances upon entering the Martian 3/8 gravitational field. It is possible that the incidence will be somewhere between the Apollo moon landing experience and that of shuttle astronauts.
- At some future point, it would be advisable to have separate incidences for Martian and lunar landings, given the difference in gravitational fields.
- The assumption that there will be no worst case is questionable. However, it is not currently known whether there will be any critical piloting tasks needed to safely land a spacecraft on the lunar or Martian surfaces. While there were no reported neurovestibular problems during Apollo missions, the data set is small and future critical tasks will be dependent on spacecraft design.
- As a result of the current BC/WC probabilities, all entries for worst case are zero.

Gravity Well Neurovestibular Disturbance CLIFF Peer Review

- The incidence calculates the probability of having sensorimotor neurovestibular disturbances for each crewmember onboard. However, the consequences of these disturbances depend on the individual crew responsibilities. For example, there would be more potential mission impact if the pilot or commander of the landing vehicle became ill than if a crewmember without mission-critical responsibilities became ill.

Reviewed by:

David C. Hilmers