### Addressing Challenging Thermal Runaway Simulation Requirements through Detailed 3D CFD Models

Kislaya Srivastava, Tristan Burton

Convergent Science Inc., Northville, MI, USA

Alexander Fandakov, Lorenz von Roemer, Marc Sens, Marcus Woebke IAV GmbH, Berlin, Germany







# Detailed 3D Battery Simulations Using CONVERGE

EPOT PHI 2

0.0022

0.002 0.0018 0.0016 0.0014 0.0012 0.001 0.0008 0.0006 0.0004 0.0004

- Air/liquid/immersion cooling, including phase-change materials
  - Electrothermal response using lumped equivalent circuit model or 3D coupled potential solver with electrochemistry
- Thermal runaway mechanism calibration tools
  - ARC Data: Specifying mechanism kinetic parameters
  - DSC Data: Constructing multi-reaction mechanisms



- Thermal runaway propagation: SAGE detailed chemical kinetics solver
  - Arrhenius-based chemical reaction mechanisms





- Thermal runaway vent gas ignition and combustion
  - Vent gas ignition, battery pack fires/explosion, fire suppression, solid ejecta, and deposition











### Additional Multi-Physics Simulations Using CONVERGE



Coupled thermal runaway and venting propagation within a PHEV battery pack module with liquid cold plate cooling (multi-stream simulation)



Blast plate heat transfer studies due to solid ejecta during thermal runaway



Vent gas ignition due to ejected hot particles

Solid particle ejecta deposition within battery packs



U, Magnitude 0, Magnitude 0,

Battery fire extinguishers

Battery pack swelling (Abaqus coupling)

iltude eformation Scale Factor: +5.000e+00



\* Ren, D., Liu, X., Feng, X., Lu, L. Ouyang, M., Li, J., and He, X., "Model-based thermal runaway prediction of lithium-ion batteries from kinetics analysis of cell components," Applied Energy, 228, 633-644, 2018.

## Thermal Runaway Mechanisms in CONVERGE

binder (Cat-B)

#### **REN TR MECHANISM**

- 6 reactions, NMC battery chemistry •
  - Ren et al., 2018 \*

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{x} &= A_{x} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{a,x}}{RT}\right) \cdot f_{x}(c_{x}) \\ c_{x} &= 1 - \int \kappa_{x} dt \\ f_{x}(c_{x}) &= c_{x}^{n_{x}} \quad Q_{x} = m_{x} \cdot \Delta H_{x} \cdot \kappa_{x} \\ c_{SEI} &= 1 - \int \kappa_{SEI} dt \\ c_{An-E} &= c_{Cat-An} = 1 - \int (\kappa_{An-E} + \kappa_{Cat-An}) dt \\ c_{An-B} &= c_{Cat-B} = 1 - \int \left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \cdot \kappa_{An-B} + \kappa_{Cat-B}\right) dt \\ c_{Cat} &= 1 - \int \kappa_{Cat} dt \\ Q_{gen} &= Q_{SEI} + Q_{An-E} + Q_{An-B} + Q_{Cat-An} + Q_{Cat-B} + Q_{cat} \end{split}$$



EV-ARC validation of an NMC pouch cell



### Selecting Suitable Thermal Runaway Mechanisms



A.W. Golubkov et. al., "Thermal-runaway experiments on consumer Li-ion batteries with metal-oxide and olivin-type cathodes"

$$\kappa_{x} = A_{x} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{a,x}}{RT}\right) \cdot f_{x}(c_{x})$$
$$f_{x}(c_{x}) = c_{x}^{n_{x}}$$
$$Q_{x} = m_{x} \cdot \Delta H_{x} \cdot \kappa_{x}$$



### Fighting Thermal Runaway Propagation

- Collaborative work between IAV GmbH and Convergent Science Inc.
- Addressing the ever-increasing risk for Thermal Runaway Propagation
  - Evaluation across different development targets : Cell chemistry, Cell format, Cell2Battery configuration, Thermal management, Venting system
- Assess mitigation technologies and develop novel methodologies
- Thermal management operation strategy optimization : Predictive 3D simulation approaches
- Work published at the 45<sup>th</sup> International Vienna Motor Symposium

Sens, M., Fandakov, A., Mueller, K., von Roemer, L., Woebke, M., Tourlonias, P., Mueller, T., Burton, T., Srivastava, K., and Senecal, P.K., "From Thermal Runaway to No Thermal Propagation," 45th International Vienna Motor Symposium, Vienna, Austria, Apr 24–26, 2024. https://doi.org/10.62626/wznd-tsm7



### The Path to No Thermal Runaway Propagation

### Extensive single cell and cell assembly testing within IAV test facilities

- Different cell chemistries, cell assembly setups, TR initiation methods, propagation mitigation measures
- Measurement data to help validate TR and TRP simulation approaches
- Understanding TRP process at critical conditions with different mitigation technologies



### Simulation methodology development and validation using CONVERGE

- Chemistry-based TR mechanism calibration for different cell chemistries
- Validation with single cell characterizations
- Transfer to cell assembly models and validation with assembly measurements
- Consideration of thermal management, pack configuration, vent gases, gas combustion



### Investigation of new TRP mitigation technologies through detailed 3D CFD

- Deployment of validated TR mechanisms and cell assembly models
- Virtual assessment of different technologies for slowing down or mitigating TRP : Foams, compression pads, oil, PCMs, etc.





# Single Cell Testing









тс	HWS / CH test	NP test	
1	cell top, next to center		
2	cell bottom, centered		
3	side at 1/4 height		
4	side at 1/2 height		
5	side at 3/4 height		
6	on heating pad	on bottom holder	
7	above heating pad	on top holder	

Heating pad (Heat-wait-seek / Constant heating) Cell holder (Nail penetration)

- Cell chemistry and triggering method comparison
  - NMC811, LFP, SIB : 18650 Cylindrical format
  - Constant heating (CH), Heat-Wait-Seek(HWS), Nail Penetration
- Cell tests conducted by IAV in an autoclave under an inert (N<sub>2</sub>) atmosphere



©Convergent Science. All Rights Reserved



H<sub>2</sub>O

CO2

EMC

0.71025

### Single Cell Testing : Results







NMC811 CH

NMC811 Nail





# Single Cell Calibration Setup

•



- NMC811 constant heating experiment chosen for REN mechanism calibration
- Thermocouples 3, 4, 5 selected as reliable for calibration process
- Solid-only CONVERGE 3D simulation
- Thermal properties included
  - 18650 NMC811 cell
  - Heating pad
  - Clamps
- Solid-solid CHT interfaces between parts
- Outer walls: Convection temperature BC
  - Heat loss to surroundings
  - HTC calibrated to match initial battery warm-up behavior
  - First venting observed around 340 s



Calibrated HTC = 29  $W/m^2K$ 



# **Evaporative Cooling**

- 130°C Ren et al. case
  - Vaporization of the electrolyte is endothermic (not in the mechanism)
    - 91°C dimethyl carbonate (DMC)
    - 110°C ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC)
    - 248°C ethylene carbonate (EC)

• Use an energy sink to account for vaporization



\* Ostanek, J.K., Li, W., Mukherjee, P.P., Crompton, K.R., and Hacker, C., *"Simulating onset and evolution of thermal runaway in Li-ion cells using a coupled thermal and venting model,"* Applied Energy, 268, 114972, 2020 <sup>+</sup> Parhizi, M., Crompton, K., and Ostanek, J., *"Probing the Role of Venting and Evaporative Cooling in Thermal Runaway for Small Format Li-Ion Cells."*, ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, 2021

 Evaporative cooling: Heat sink activated at experimentally observed first venting event (~340 s)



- Calibrated energy sink in agreement with literature
  - Total energy sink applied : -450 J
  - Mass of free electrolyte in 18650 : ~ 0.5-1.2 g (\*Ostanek et al., <sup>+</sup>Parhizi et al.)
  - Heat of vaporization of electrolyte : -418 J/g (\*Parhizi et al.,)



### **REN Mechanism Calibration**



Calibrated REN TR Mechanism



Expected reaction order: SEI  $\rightarrow$  An-E/Cat-An  $\rightarrow$  An-B/Cat-B  $\rightarrow$  Cat



### Calibrated REN Mechanism Validation



Heat-Wait-Seek



Nail Penetration



### Alternative Battery Chemistries: LFP/SIB

#### Lithium Iron Phosphate (LFP) Chemistry

#### Sodium-Ion Battery (SIB)



Calibrated Hatchard-Kim TR mechanism



Calibrated multi-step TR mechanisms



### Further Improvement: Mass Loss during TR

• 64.7% cell weight loss

45 -40 -(6) 35 -30 -25 -20 -

- Mainly solid ejecta
- Effect on battery cooldown after TR event
- Dynamic reduction of battery thermal mass ( ρ.C<sub>ρ</sub>)
  - Coupled to An-B, Cat-B, and Cat decomposition (latestage) reactions

690

700

710

Time (s)







### TRP Cell Assembly Testing







Inter-cell Element (Insulating Foam)



- Extensive experimental campaign with 7-Cell module assembly focusing on
  - Understanding TRP process at critical conditions with different mitigation technologies to slow down or stop propagation
  - Generation of measurement data for validating TRP simulation approaches
- TR trigger : Nail penetration of the center cell





# **TRP Model Validation**

- NMC811 TRP simulations with validated TR mechanism
- Dedicated modeling simplifications applicable for balancing between accuracy and computation effort (ex. Gas reactions, oil boiling) •
- Good representation of TRP characteristics and overall test results
- Max. TRP duration error ~10%, equal number of TR events



1.0

-0.8

-0.6

-0.4

0.2

-0.0

**Femperatures** 

150 175

norm. HR cells





### Path Towards No Propagation : Designing Safer Packs

*Air + Liquid Plate Cooled EV Battery Pack Module* 









 $| \land \lor$ 



### Cylindrical Cell EV Module : Inter-Cell Material



**Properties of Technical Solution** 

Foam	
Conductivity	Reference
Density	Reference
Ср	Reference
Thermal Barrier	
Conductivity	NNN
Density	N N N
Ср	$\rightarrow$
PCM	
Conductivity	Ы
Density	Ы
Cn	7



### Cylindrical Cell EV Module : Immersion Cooling



Properties of Technical Solution	
Foam	
Conductivity	Reference
Density	Reference
Ср	Reference
Oil w/o flow at 50 °C	
Conductivity	NN NN
Density	Ы
Ср	7
Oil w/o flow at 30 °C	
Conductivity	ИИ
Density	И
Ср	7
Oil flow at 30 °C	
Conductivity	NN NN
Density	Ы
Ср	7



## TR Mechanism Generation/Fitting: DSC Data Fit Tool

#### • Generate/fit TR reaction parameters based on provided reaction format and parameter bounds

		Differential scanning calorimetry data 📃 🗖
	DSC Data Fit	
Chemistry Tools	Inputs	Peak 1 Peak 2 Peak 3 + Predicted scan rate [2]
	DSC data: /pwork/ksrivast/CSI/DanP/TRKinetics/test	Activation energy (Ea) 257962.4 298993.0 274968.4 J/mol - 0.6 - + Predicted scan rate [5]
Mechanism Merge	✓ Fit	Frequency factor (A) 7.094968 6.209506 7.137027 1/s
😿 Mech Files Cleanu	Parameter boundaries: /pwork/ksrivast/CSI/DanP/	Reaction order (n) 3.396474 1.899945 4.899520
	Difficulty: 1	Reaction order (m) 0 0 0 - Scan rate [2]
Burrogate Biender	Attempts: 20	Reaction order (p) 0 0 0 - Scan rate [5]
Sensitivity Convert		Enthaloy (dH) 52 11791 59 17741 101 3433 /mgd 🗳 0.4
Pathway Flux Anal	Prediction	- Scan rate [15]
Ca Mashanian	Coefficients:	RMSE: 8.0518e-06
C3 Mechanism	Output Folder: /pwork/ksrivast/Test	Total evaluations: 1982
<sup>TR</sup> fit DSC Data Fit	Results	Export to file
		200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550
		Temperature (°C)
		Celsius O Kelvin
		<b>♦</b> OK



### NMC Cathode Composition: Effect on TR Propagation



## Cathode	reaction inputs :	Wang2021	
<pre># reaction1</pre>			
2.80E15	Acatl		<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
1.68E5	Ecat1		<pre># Activation energy (J/mol)</pre>
145000	Hcat1		<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
0.6	ncat1		<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
1.0	ccat10		# Initial concentration (-)
<pre># reaction2</pre>	<u>•</u>		
5.50E13	Acat2		<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
1.60E5	Ecat2		<pre># Activation energy (J/mol)</pre>
24000	Hcat2		<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
1.3	ncat2		<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
1.0	ccat20		<pre># Initial concentration (-)</pre>
<pre># reaction3</pre>	3		
4.70E10	Acat3		<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
1.69E5	Ecat3		<pre># Activation energy (J/mol)</pre>
109000	Hcat3		<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
1.0	ncat3		<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
1.0	ccat30		<pre># Initial concentration (-)</pre>
# cathode c	content		
512.48	mcat		<pre># Specific content (kg/m^3)</pre>





	## Cathode reac	tion inputs : Wang2021	
_	<pre># reaction1</pre>		
_	7.10E22	Acatl	<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
_	2.58E5	Ecat1	<pre># Activation energy (J/mol)</pre>
_	52000	Hcat1	<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
_	3.4	ncat1	<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
_	1.0	ccat10	<pre># Initial concentration (-</pre>
_	<pre># reaction2</pre>		
_	7.10E22	Acat2	<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
_	2.75E5	Ecat2	<pre># Activation energy (J/mol</pre>
_	101000	Hcat2	<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
_	4.9	ncat2	<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
	1.0	ccat20	<pre># Initial concentration (-</pre>
	<pre># reaction3</pre>		
	6.20E19	Acat3	<pre># Frequency factor (1/s)</pre>
	2.99E5	Ecat3	<pre># Activation energy (J/mol</pre>
·	59000	Hcat3	<pre># Reaction enthalpy (J/kg)</pre>
_	1.9	ncat3	<pre># Reaction order (-)</pre>
	1.0	ccat30	<pre># Initial concentration (-</pre>
	<pre># cathode conte</pre>	nt	
	512.48	mcat	<pre># Specific content (kg/m^3)</pre>



Journal of Power Sources, 2021



### Species-based TR Mechanisms

#### Chemical Thermal Runaway Modeling of Lithium-Ion Batteries for Prediction of Heat and Gas Generation

Niklas Weber,\* Sebastian Schuhmann, Jens Tübke, and Hermann Nirschl

Description	Chemical equation	Reaction Enthalpy [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	Reference
Anode main reaction	$2 \text{LiC}_6 + \text{C}_3 \text{H}_4 \text{O}_3 - > \text{Li}_2 \text{CO}_3 + \text{C}_2 \text{H}_4 + 2 \text{C}_6$	-281.4	[7]
LiF formation	$\mathrm{Li_2CO_3} + \mathrm{PF_{5^-}} > 2\mathrm{LiF} + \mathrm{POF_3} + \mathrm{CO_2}$	-77.1	[7,44,45]
Li <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> formation	$\rm{Li}_2\rm{CO}_3 \rightarrow \rm{Li}_2\rm{O} + \rm{CO}_2$	222.6	[7,46]
Cathode, full oxidation	$5MO_2 + C_3H_4O_{3-} > 5MO + 3CO_2 + 2H_2O$	-201.5	[23, 32]
Cathode, partial oxidation	$5 MO_2 + 3C_3H_4O_3-> 5 MO + 6CO + 4H_2 + 3CO_2 + 2H_2O$	-105.5	[23, 32]
Salt decomposition	$LiPF_6 - > LiF + PF_5$	84.27	[45]
Solvent decomposition	$n C_3 H_4 O_3 - > (CH_2 CH_2 O)_n + n CO_2$		
Solvent evaporation	$C_3 H_4 O_3(I) -> C_3 H_4 O_3(g)$	60.8	[7]
HF formation	$POF_3 + 3H_2O- > 3HF + H_3PO_4$	-123.4	[44,47]
Water–gas shift	$CO + H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2 + H_2$	-41.2	[44]

$$r_i = k_i \prod_j x_j^{a_{j,i}}$$
  $k_i = A_i \exp\left(-\frac{E_i}{RT}\right)$   $\frac{dx_j}{dt} = \sum_i \nu_{i,j} r_i$ 

A comprehensive species-based reaction mechanism for thermal runaway chemistry? :





23

 $\bullet$ 



### Ambient Gas Composition Impacting Propagation



First sparks resulting from nail penetration visible



Thermal runaway with sparks and flames



Ignition of large amounts of air/venting gas mixture



Diffusive combustion of air/venting gas mixture



Thermal runaway with sparks and flames





- Fundamentally different behaviour between N<sub>2</sub> and Air as ambient gas
- Vent gas combustion with air ambient gas leads to full propagation within 10s



### Battery Vent Gas Ignition and Combustion



*Spark ignited vent gas combustion inside a battery pack* 



Self ignition of vent gases after exit



*Vent gas ignition due to hot ejecta* 



### Gas-phase dynamics

With N2 environment : No TRP, No combustion event With AIR environment : Quick TRP, Violent combustion event

Experimental behavior reproduced using CONVERGE simulations Simplified approach to model vent gas combustion : Species sourcing

- N2 environment : Hot vent gas species at venting temperatures
- AIR environment : Hot combustion products at burned gas temperatures (evaluated using zero-D CONVERGE simulations)



# THANK YOU! CONVERGECFD.COM

in f У

Kislaya Srivastava, Principal Research Engineer, Convergent Science Inc. **kislaya.srivastava@convergecfd.com** 

Dr. Alexander Fandakov, Team Manager | Battery & E-Traction Research & Technology, IAV GmbH alexander.fandakov@iav.de

