Investigating Novel Battery Cooling Strategies Using Phase-Change Materials Through Detailed 3D CFD Simulations

Kislaya Srivastava, Tristan Burton

Convergent Science Inc., Northville, MI 48167





CONVERGE CFD for Battery Simulations

- CONVERGE CFD software is well-suited for battery simulations of 3D coupled flow, heat transfer, and chemistry in complex geometries, with autonomous mesh generation to reduce total time-to-solution
- CONVERGE contains the SAGE detailed chemical kinetics solver, parallelized efficiently for fast run-times and adopted to model battery vent gas combustion and thermal runaway chemistry inside the solid
- Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) automatically resolves the flow, diffusion of vent gas, flame front and thermal runaway front propagation at low computational expense
- Wide variety of other physics-based 3D modeling capabilities that have been utilized for battery simulations









Thermal Runaway Propagation and Vent Gas Simulations



 An+E reaction
 Experiment Model

 5
 4

 4

 3

 1

 0
 150

 200
 250

 300
 350

 400
 450

Thermal runaway mechanism fitting tools

700,000 *40 cases 600,000 500,000 400,000 300,000 200,000 100,000 200 100 300 400 500 600 700 Time (seconds)

Statistical analysis of thermal runaway propagation in battery packs

Coupled thermal runaway and vent gas modeling frameworks

Capturing Battery Thermal Runaway and Venting Phenomena using Detailed 3D CFD Solutions Kislaya Srivastava et.al, 2022 NASA Aerospace Battery Workshop



Phase-Change Materials : An Overview

- Heat storage device : Absorbs heat during melting, releases heat during solidification
 - Temperature regulators
- Passive cooling approach : Lightweight, compact, efficient, consistent, sustainable
- Versatile : Variety of PCMs with broad spectrum of transition temperatures
 - Selection based on optimal battery operating temperature
- Drawbacks : Low conductivity, possible leakages, possible structural failures at high temperatures
- Conductivity/heat transfer can be enhanced using fins



PCMs with CFD : Solidification and Melting Model

- Solid-liquid phase change modeled using the enthalpyporosity method
- Porosity of PCM :
 - 1 : Solid, *T* < *T*_*solidus*
 - 0: Liquid, *T* > *T_liquidus*
 - Between 0 and 1 : Mushy zone with liquid volume fraction, *T_solidus* < *T* < *T_liquidus*
- Liquid flow modeled as laminar and incompressible Newtonian fluid
- Boussinesq approximation to model buoyancy effect
- Transient 3D conjugate heat transfer simulations of PCM battery cooling investigated



PCM : Paraffin wax (melting range : 314-317K)



Battery Equivalent Circuit Model

- Lumped-parameter model for electrothermal response
 - Simplistic and computationally inexpensive, empirical
 - Lin. et. al., " A lumped-parameter electro-thermal model for cylindrical batteries"
- Represent battery as an electrical network and calculate heat source based on current (charging or discharging)
 - Inputs : battery capacity, initial SOC, current profile, 1D/2D data tables



Terminal voltage:

$$V_T = V_{OCV} - IR_S - \sum_{i=1}^n V_{RC,i}$$
$$\frac{dV_{RC,i}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{R_i C_i} V_{RC,i} + \frac{1}{C_i} I$$
$$\frac{dSOC}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_{bat}} I \qquad Q = I(V_0)$$

age

2 off









BEC for battery heat release under a discharge cycle PCM : Paraffin wax (melting range : 314-317K)

PCM Simulations : Results (1/2)





BEC for battery heat release under a low C-rate charge cycle PCM : Paraffin wax (melting range : 314-317K)

PCM Simulations : Results (2/2)



Temperatures within the PCM material



Temperature regulation (warming) during cooldown : Latent heat released during solidification



PCM Material Selection

	Paraffin	RT50	N-Eicosane	Lauric acid
Temp_liquidus (K)	317.15	324.15	310.50	321.35
Temp_solidus (K)	314.15	318.15	306.50	316.65
Specific Heat Capacity (K/Kg.K)	2000	2950	1926	2180
Latent heat capacity (J/kg)	255000	168000	248000	187210
Density (Kg/m3)	880	880	910	940
Conductivity (W/mK)	0.13	0.19	0.423	0.16





Addressing PCM Drawbacks : Low Conductivity

• Composites

• Addition of fins





Fluid Dynamics Within PCMs





Fluid Dynamics Within PCMs : Natural Convection (1/2)





Fluid Dynamics Within PCMs : Natural Convection (2/2)



13









Fin Design Improvements : Helical Fin











Fin Design Improvements : Double Helical Fin (1/2)





Fin Design Improvements : Double Helical Fin (2/2)





Incorporating 3D Effects : Short-Circuit Model

- Time or Temperature (ISC device) controlled short-circuit event, independent shape/size (for heat release)
- Resistance R_SC : User input









PCM/Fin Assembly Response to Short-Circuit Location



18



Non-Uniform Battery Heating







Coupled Electric Potential Solver : A 3D Analysis (1/2)

Coupled Electric Potential Solver : A 3D Analysis (2/2)

Thermal Runaway Mitigation with PCMs

- Impact of "PCM/Graphite matrix on thermal runaway propagation in a module : *G.H. Kim et. al., 212*th ECS, Washington, DC, Oct, 2007
- Thermal runaway reaction model utilized for a predictive analysis
- Are TR simulation results reliable? : Reproducibility and repeatability

Thermal Runaway Propagation Analysis: Chemistry

- Thermal runaway reaction kinetics
 - Hatchard-Kim mechanism : 4 Reactions, LCO battery chemistry

SEI decomposition (sei)

$$R_{sei}(T, c_{sei}) = A_{sei} \exp\left[-\frac{E_{a,sei}}{RT}\right] c_{sei}^{m_{sei}}$$

Anode and electrolyte (ne)

$$R_{ne}(T, c_e, c_{neg}, t_{sei}) = A_{ne} \exp\left[-\frac{t_{sei}}{t_{sei,ref}}\right] c_{neg}^{m_{ne,n}} \exp\left[-\frac{E_{a,ne}}{RT}\right]$$

Cathode and electrolyte (pe)

$$R_{pe}(T, \alpha, c_e) = A_{pe} \alpha^{m_{pe,p_1}} (1 - \alpha)^{m_{pe,p_2}} \exp \left[-\frac{L_{a,pe}}{RT} \right]$$

Electrolyte decomposition (e)

$$R_e(T, c_e) = A_e \exp\left[-\frac{E_{a,e}}{RT}\right] c_e^{m_e}$$

- Calibrated reaction mechanisms required to reproduce experimental behavior
 - Reliant on experimental DSC data

• A comprehensive species-based reaction mechanism for thermal runaway chemistry? :

Thermal Runaway Propagation Analysis: Statistics

730

730

cpave: 730

 $c_{pSD}: 0$

649.1

336.9

 ΔT_{ave} : 385.5

 ΔT_{SD} : 156.3

Raw data from the ARC experiment

4.74E5

2.46E5

Have: 2.29E5

H_{SD}: 1.73E4

	Exotherm onset Temp¹ <i>T_{exo}(℃)</i>	Thermal runaway onset Temp ² <i>T_{TR}</i> (°C)	Max Temp ³ T _{max} (°C)	TR delay time (min)
Batt 1	118.17	211.36	365.38	204.33
Batt 2	97.73	210.06	716.45	720.5
Batt 3	67.38	199.98	345.13	1308.96
Batt 4	123.83	220.94	456.48	121.52
Batt 5	77.69	201.14	384.15	897.43
Batt 6	77.44	195.36	392.72	802.80
Batt 7	76.89	228.62	390.33	1584.35
Batt 8	77.54	200.65	726.68	911.74
Batt 9	77.47	204.19	414.42	1044.03

 $A (\min^{-1})$

2.00E9

4.10E8

9.00E8

4.50E7

3.77E7

1.06E8

2.99E7

7.40E7

Aave: 3.49E8

A_{SD}: 6.95E8

8.24E4

8.36E4

Eave: 8.58E4

E_{SD}: 5.80E3

TEMPERATURE

Standard deviation

Batt 8

Batt 9

Average

PCMs as Fire Retardants

- Possible use of PCMs as flame retardants
- CONVERGE has established surface chemistry capabilities that can be utilized
- But what ignites the gases?

Self ignition of vent gases after exit

Vent gas ignition due to hot ejecta

Thermal Interactions Between Solid Ejecta and PCM

• Lagrangian solid particle wall film modeling approach for deposition of solid ejecta during thermal runaway and associated heat transfer to walls

Aluminum plate

Solid with PCM thermal properties

THANK YOU! CONVERGECFD.COM

Kislaya Srivastava, Principal Engineer, Convergent Science Inc. **kislaya.srivastava@convergecfd.com**

