1 2 3 4 5			PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION, THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION, AND THE
6		NATIC	ONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS,
7			REGARDING THE MANAGEMENT OF NASA ASSETS NATIONWIDE
8 9			THE MANAGEMENT OF NASA ASSETS NATIONWIDE
10			
11	PREA	MBLE	
12			
13	RECI	TALS	
14			
15	STIPL	JLATIO	NS
16 17	I.	Applic	cability
18	1.	Applic A.	Participating Centers
19		д. В.	Exclusion of National Historic Landmarks (NHLs)
20		В.	
21	II.	Roles	and Responsibilities
22		A.	NASA
23		В.	Consulting Parties
24		C.	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
25			
26	III.	Projec	ct Types
27		А.	Repair and Maintenance
28		В.	Interior Modification
29		C.	Exterior Modification within Asset Envelope
30		D.	New Construction
31		E.	Other Ground Disturbing Activities
32		F.	Mothballing
33		G.	Demolition
34 25		H.	Outgrant Transfer
35 36		I.	Transier
30 37	IV.	Plann	ing-Level Surveys and Property Management Tools
38	IV.	A.	Built Environment
39		д. В.	Archaeology
40		Β.	, a chaochogy
41	V.	Incorr	poration of Historic Preservation in Center Planning
42		Α.	Center Master Plan (CMP)
43		В.	Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plans (ICRMPs)
44		C.	Heritage Zone Design Guidelines

45		
46	VI.	Individual Project Review – Initial Review
47		A. Initial Screening for Programmatic Allowance Applicability
48		B. Identification of Affected Properties
49		
50	VII.	Individual Project Review – Projects Outside of Heritage Zones
51		A. Determination of Effect
52		B. Initiate Consultation
53		C. No Adverse Effects
54		D. Adverse Effects
55		
56	VIII.	Individual Project Review – Exterior Modification and New Construction Inside Heritage
57	Zones	,
58		A. Determination of Effect
59		B. Initiate Consultation
60		C. No Adverse Effects
61		D. Adverse Effects
62		
63	IX.	Individual Project Review – All Other Projects Inside Heritage Zones
64		A. Determination of Effect
65		B. Initiate Consultation
66		C. No Adverse Effects
67		D. Adverse Effects
68		
69	Х.	Individual Project Review – Consultation
70		A. Notification Letter
71		B. Resolving Objections
72		
73	XI.	Treatment Measures
74	<i>y</i> (11	A. Programmatic Mitigation
75		B. Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan
76		C. Recordation of Category 1 Assets
77		D. Archaeology Mitigation Plan
78		
79		IISTRATIVE CLAUSES
80	, 0	
81	XII.	General Provisions
82	XIII.	NPA Monitoring Period
83	XIV.	Annual Reporting
84	XV.	Training
85	XVI.	Qualifications for Survey and Evaluation
86	XVII.	Inadvertent Discoveries
87	XVIII.	
01	/ \ V III.	

- 88 XIX. Adoptability
- 89 XX. Anti-Deficiency Act
- 90 XXI. Handling of Sensitive Information
- 91 XXII. Duration
- 92 XXIII. Dispute Resolution
- 93 XXIV. Amendments
- 94 XXV. Termination
- 95 96 SIGNATURES
- 90 97
- 98 APPENDICES
- 99
- 100 APPENDIX A Acronyms
- 101 APPENDIX B NASA Centers
- 102 APPENDIX C NASA FCCS Class Codes
- 103 APPENDIX D NHL PA
- 104 APPENDIX E Definitions
- 105 APPENDIX F Indian Tribes Invited to Consult on the NPA
- 106 APPENDIX G NPA Consulting Parties
- 107 APPENDIX H Participating Centers
- 108 APPENDIX I Programmatic Allowances
- 109 APPENDIX J Asset Categorization
- 110 APPENDIX K Individual Project Review Flow Charts
- 111 APPENDIX L NASA Center Annual Report Outline
- 112 APPENDIX M Heritage Zones
- 113 APPENDIX N Center Asset List
- 114

## Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

445	
115	PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
116	
117	THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION,
118	THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
119	
120	NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS,
121	
122	THE MANAGEMENT OF NASA ASSETS NATIONWIDE
123	
124	
125	PREAMBLE
126	National Association and One of Astronomic testing (NAOA) On the second time stitution
127	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Centers are active research institutions
128	that have developed around Highly Technical or Scientific Facilities (HTSF) and as such the
129	design, construction, and physical appearance of NASA Centers are determined largely by
130	functional needs. The challenges facing agencies like NASA were acknowledged in the 1991
131	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) publication Balancing Historic Preservation
132	Needs with the Operations of Highly Technical or Scientific Facilities, prepared in response to a
133	Congressional request seeking counsel on "how a balance could be struck between the
134	preservation of physical reminders of the scientific legacy of the United States and the ongoing
135	operation and upgrading of scientific and technical research facilities." The ACHP publication
136	aimed to demonstrate how historic HTSF could be managed consistent with the National
137	Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), in particular Section 106, if the respective parties—
138	preservation regulators and agency resource managers—understood and accounted for one
139	another's goals. A major theme in this publication was the acknowledgement that modification is
140	expected and necessary to maintain active use of HTSF. As an agency dedicated to the fields of
141 142	aeronautics research, human exploration and operations, science, and space technology, NASA
142	must utilize its built assets in a manner that is often at odds with traditional historic preservation approaches. NASA routinely modifies, upgrades, reconfigures, cannibalizes, and replaces its
143	resources. In the case of HTSF, modification that enables continued use is a Character-Defining
145	Feature (CDF), and it is the active use of the asset that ensures its preservation.
145	realure (CDF), and it is the active use of the asset that ensures its preservation.
140	The purpose of this Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) is to create a process by which
148	NASA can meet its responsibilities to manage its U.S. real property assets under Sections 106
149	and 110 of the NHPA in a manner that accommodates NASA's mission and addresses the
150	unique challenges of historic HTSF. The need for a tailored process became more acute in
151	2015, when the Office of Management and Budget released the <i>National Strategy for the</i>
152	Efficient Use of Real Property and the companion policy Reduce the Footprint, which requires
153	federal agencies to aggressively dispose of surplus properties, make more efficient use of the
154	Government's real property assets, and reduce the total square footage of their domestic office
155	and warehouse inventory relative to an established baseline.
156	
157	The NPA has been developed as a management approach that fosters more meaningful
158	integration of historic properties into NASA's already robust project planning and cultural
159	resources management infrastructure. It strengthens the Center master planning process,
160	reinforces the value of cultural resources survey and evaluation, and enhances public access to
161	and understanding of NASA's history as conveyed through the built environment. While focused
162	on HTSF, the NPA addresses the full range of built and archaeological resources under NASA's
400	state and the

163 stewardship.

164	RECITALS
165 166 167 168 169 170	WHEREAS, NASA undertakes activities in the areas of aeronautics research, human exploration and operations, science, and space technology for the peaceful benefit of all mankind, and these activities depend upon the maintenance and continued use of HTSF at its Centers across the country (Appendix B – NASA Centers) in state-of-the-art condition; and
171 172 173 174	WHEREAS, NASA Centers are active research institutions that have developed around HTSF, and the design, construction, and physical appearance of HTSF are determined largely by functional needs and not by aesthetics; and
175 176 177 178 179	WHEREAS, the unique and specialized purpose of NASA Centers, and their HTSF character and uses, require a management approach that provides flexibility to the agency in meeting its responsibilities under Sections 106 and 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (54 U.S.C. §§ 300101 et seq.); and
180 181 182 183 184 185	WHEREAS, NASA recognizes the potential for its built resources to be exceptionally important under Criteria Consideration G (CCG) of the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and, in consultation with the ACHP, the National Conference of Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO), and other consulting parties, finalized a probability model on September 30, 2022 to identify such resources (Resource Significance Framework [RSF] Model); and
186 187 188 189 190	WHEREAS, the RSF Model is built upon NASA's real property Facility Classification Coding System (FCCS), developed in 2010, which serves as the agency framework for identifying, categorizing, and analyzing the agency's real property inventory by categorizing all real property Assets based upon their function, and the results are maintained in the agency Real Property Management System (RPMS); and
191 192 193 194	WHEREAS, the findings of the RSF support NASA's use of FCCS to prioritize Assets for NRHP evaluation; and
194 195 196 197 198 199	WHEREAS, NASA recognizes that there are discrete areas at some, but not all, NASA Centers that possess a shared design, architectural style, or cohesive theme distinct from the predominant HTSF utilitarian character (Heritage Zones) (Appendix M – Heritage Zones), and that measures should be taken to preserve these areas; and
200 201 202 203	WHEREAS, NASA has identified [TBD] Heritage Zones at Participating Centers (Appendix H – Participating Centers) in consultation with the ACHP, NCSHPO, and other consulting parties; and
204 205 206 207	WHEREAS, to meet agency needs and federal real property directives, NASA is applying a strategy for an agency-wide, mission-driven, and affordable real property portfolio based upon an evaluation of mission relevance, future need, sustainment costs, and condition of each of its Assets to determine whether to sustain, invest, outgrant, or divest; and
208 209 210 211 212	<b>WHEREAS,</b> NASA has determined that these property management activities constitute undertakings requiring review pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800, the regulations implementing Section 106; and

213 WHEREAS, NASA integrates the consideration of historic properties into its facilities planning at 214 both the agency and the Center level so that opportunities to avoid and minimize adverse 215 effects may be considered in advance of such activities, such as modification and reuse by 216 NASA, lease to an outside entity, and transfer to another federal agency, and placing the Asset 217 on standby or mothball status; and 218 219 WHEREAS, the decision to sustain, invest, outgrant, or divest Assets is substantially 220 determined years in advance of the action being carried out through decisions made during the 221 Center master planning process, and NASA has developed this NPA in order to consider 222 feasible alternatives to adverse effects at these early planning stages during the development of 223 the Center Master Plan (CMP); and 224 225 WHEREAS, NASA has developed the NPA to streamline the Section 106 process so that its 226 limited resources may be used to maximum public benefit while meeting its responsibilities 227 under the NHPA; and 228 229 WHEREAS, the NPA will apply to multiple individual but similar undertakings occurring at NASA 230 Centers across multiple states, such that the use of a programmatic agreement is appropriate to 231 complete the Section 106 process (36 CFR § 800.14(b)(1)(i)); and 232 233 WHEREAS, the NPA does not invalidate NASA's other programmatic agreements or any other 234 of its Section 106 agreements, including project-specific memoranda of agreement (MOAs); and 235 236 WHEREAS, NASA consulted with the ACHP, the NCSHPO, and State Historic Preservation 237 Officers (SHPOs) pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800 in the development of this NPA; and 238 239 WHEREAS, NASA invited federally recognized Indian Tribes (Tribes) (Appendix F – Indian 240 Tribes Invited to Consult on the NPA) and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) with a 241 demonstrated interest in NASA undertakings at its Centers nationwide to consult on the 242 development of this NPA pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800; and 243 244 WHEREAS, NASA invited the National Trust for Historic Preservation (NTHP) and the National 245 Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (NATHPO) to consult (Appendix G – NPA 246 Consulting Parties) on the development of this NPA pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800; and 247 248 WHEREAS, NASA provided opportunities for public review and comment through formal public 249 notice in the Federal Register [TBD], and through the NASA Environmental Management 250 Division (EMD) Cultural Resources Management web page. 251 252 NOW, THEREFORE, NASA, ACHP, and NCSHPO agree that all NASA undertakings to which 253 the NPA applies shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations in order to 254 take into account the effect of the undertakings on historic properties. 255 256 257

258			STIPULATIONS		
259 260	NASA will ensure the following stipulations are carried out for any undertaking for which thi				
261 262					
263 264	I.	APPL	CABILITY		
265 266		Α.	Participating Centers		
267 268 269 270 271		H – P 106 re	s to which the NPA applies shall be referred to as Participating Centers (Appendix rticipating Centers). Participating Centers shall use this NPA to satisfy Section quirements for Projects. Procedures for Centers opting in to the NPA after its on are provided in Stipulation XIX (Adoptability).		
272 273		В.	Exclusion of National Historic Landmarks (NHLs)		
274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282		amon State (NHL confin NHL, respe Area	PA shall not apply to NHLs governed by the 1989 <i>Programmatic Agreement the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Conference of distoric Preservation Officers, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation</i> PA) (Appendix E – NHL PA), so long as that agreement remains in effect. Projects and entirely to individual NHLs, and occurring entirely within the boundaries of an hall be managed under the NHL PA and NASA shall have no requirements with t to those Projects under the NPA. Procedures for when an NHL falls within the Potential Effects (APE) of a Project reviewed under this NPA are provided in tion X.A (Notification Letter).		
283 284 285	II.	ROLE	S AND RESPONSIBILITIES		
286 287		Α.	NASA		
288 289			1. <u>NASA Headquarters (HQ)</u>		
290			a. Agency Official		
291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300			The Agency Official fulfills the roles specified in 36 CFR § 800.2(a). They are the final decisionmaker for the agency with respect to Section 106. The Agency Official may be called to represent NASA in NPA implementation in cases of government-to-government consultation with federally recognized Tribes. The Agency Official shall be NASA's decisionmaker on amendments or disputes arising from the NPA. The Agency Official may delegate their responsibilities under the NPA to a Center Director or to the Federal Preservation Officer (FPO).		
301 302			b. Federal Preservation Officer		
303 304 305 306			NASA's Cultural Resource Management Program is directed by the agency's FPO. The FPO provides guidance to the Cultural Resource Managers (CRMs) at each Center. The FPO is responsible for the development and implementation of the NPA for the agency, including the		

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023 307 development of NPA programmatic mitigation, and NPA monitoring and 308 reporting. The FPO shall serve as the liaison between NASA, the ACHP, 309 and the NCSHPO for the purposes of NPA implementation. The FPO may also be called to resolve disputes at the Center level. 310 311 312 C. **Project Personnel** 313 314 Project personnel at the HQ level are loosely defined as personnel who 315 oversee projects defined as undertakings, including master planning, 316 Construction of Facilities (CoF), and Operations and Maintenance (O&M) 317 program managers. 318 319 2. **NASA Centers** 320 321 Center Directors a. 322 323 In cases where the Agency Official has delegated such authority, Center 324 Directors will fulfill roles specified in 36 CFR § 800.2(a). 325 326 b. **Center Cultural Resource Managers** 327 328 The Center CRM is responsible for implementing NASA Cultural 329 Resource Management Program activities at the Centers, and for reviewing NASA Projects under the NPA and for carrying out the terms of 330 331 the NPA at the Center level. The Center CRM will participate in Center master planning efforts, coordinate with Center CoF program managers 332 333 and facilities project managers on the requirements of the NPA, and complete the NASA Center Annual Report. The CRM is the primary point-334 of-contact between NASA and Center Consulting Parties, including the 335 336 relevant SHPO and Tribes. The Center CRM shall take the lead in ensuring that Gate-to-Gate (G2G) Surveys are carried out consistent with 337 338 the terms of the NPA. The Center CRM is also responsible for ensuring that NRHP evaluations are carried out by personnel meeting the SOI 339 Professional Qualification Standards, and for entering, updating, and 340 341 maintaining accurate data, including Asset NRHP evaluation status in relevant databases. 342 343 344 C. **Project Personnel** 345 346 Project personnel at the Center level are defined as personnel 347 responsible for planning and executing projects defined as undertakings, 348 including Center master planners, CoF program/project managers 349 (FPMs), and CoF maintenance managers. 350 351

NEMCON TASK ORDER 80KSC020D0023

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

352 B. Consulting Parties 353

 1. NPA Consulting Parties

The consulting parties to the NPA are the ACHP, NCSHPO, NATHPO, the NTHP, and the federally recognized Tribes that elected to participate (Appendix F – Indian Tribes Invited to Consult on the NPA). The NPA Consulting Parties shall consult with respect to the major provisions of the NPA but shall not participate in consultation at the Center level, unless specifically called to do so under the terms of the NPA.

2. <u>Center Consulting Parties</u>

Center Consulting Parties are consulting parties with a demonstrated interest in historic properties at a Participating Center, with which NASA shall consult under the applicable terms of the NPA. Center Consulting Parties shall include the respective SHPO for the state in which the Participating Center is located, Center Consulting Tribes per Stipulation II.B.3, and other parties consistent with 36 CFR § 800.2. The Center CRM will maintain a list of Center Consulting Parties and will ensure that they are identified in the Center's Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan (ICRMP).

3. <u>Center Consulting Tribes</u>

Center Consulting Tribes have a demonstrated religious or cultural interest in historic and cultural properties at a Participating Center, especially as it relates to ground disturbance. Center Consulting Tribes, as keepers of traditional knowledge, shall be consulted regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of historic properties during NPA implementation. Federally recognized Center Consulting Tribes may, at any time during NPA implementation, request government-to-government consultation with NASA.

## C. Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

386At any time, any of the parties described in Stipulations II.A and II.B may request ACHP387involvement or comment on the implementation of the NPA in general or on Projects388governed by the procedures set forth in the NPA. Such a request for ACHP involvement389shall be in writing, copying all Signatories, the relevant SHPO, and Center Consulting390Parties. The ACHP may elect to participate in Project consultation at the request of a391Signatory or NASA.

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

## 394 III. PROJECT TYPES

NASA's Participating Centers shall apply the NPA based upon one or more of the Project typesdescribed below.

398 399 **A**.

395

## A. Repair and Maintenance

400 401 Repair and Maintenance activities are those carried out on a routine or regular basis to 402 sustain an Asset for its continued use. NASA defines "repair" as facility work required to 403 restore a facility or component to a condition substantially equivalent to its originally 404 intended and designed capacity, efficiency, or capability. It includes the substantially 405 equivalent replacements of utility systems and collateral equipment necessitated by 406 incipient or actual breakdown. It includes restoration of function, usually after failure. 407 "Maintenance" is defined as the recurring day-to-day work required to preserve facilities 408 (buildings, structures, grounds, utility systems, and collateral equipment) in such 409 condition that they may be used for their designated purpose over an intended service 410 life. Maintenance minimizes or corrects wear and tear and, thereby, forestalls major 411 repairs. Facilities maintenance includes preventive maintenance, predictive testing and 412 inspection, grounds care, programmed maintenance, repair, trouble calls, replacement of obsolete items, and service requests. Facilities maintenance does not include new 413 414 work, work on noncollateral equipment, or maintenance performed in the Central Plant 415 by plant operations personnel. 416

417 Repair and Maintenance Projects are generally localized, small-scale and in-kind actions 418 affecting an Asset, but may also include larger-scale activities affecting a substantial 419 portion of an Asset carried out in response to sudden damage (e.g., weather, accidental) 420 or structural and/or material failure. Repair and Maintenance Projects should not alter 421 the physical appearance of an Asset or diminish its historical integrity. Repair and 422 Maintenance includes, but is not limited to: exterior painting; repair of windows and 423 doors, including caulking and weather stripping; repair of roof cladding and sheathing, 424 flashing, gutters, soffits, and downspouts with no change in roof pitch or configuration; 425 routine road maintenance, repair, and resurfacing where work is confined to previously maintained surfaces; and repair and upgrades to water systems such as water wells, 426 427 cooling water systems, potable water systems, storm sewers, waste water treatment 428 systems, plant drainage, and plumbing within existing corridors. 429

## B. Interior Modification

Interior Modification is any activity physically affecting an Asset or the contents therein and occurring entirely within the building envelope. Interior Modifications have no impact on the exterior features of an Asset and require no ground disturbance. Interior modification includes but is not limited to reconfiguring floor plans; replacing mechanical systems; removing equipment, furniture, and other personal property; renovating bathrooms and kitchens; and seismic retrofits.

437 438

430

431 432

433

434 435

**C** 

## C. Exterior Modification Within Asset Envelope

Exterior Modification within Asset Envelope includes changes to the exterior of an Asset that do not extend beyond the existing Asset Envelope, do not result in additional square footage horizontally or vertically, and do not require ground disturbance beyond the existing footprint. Exterior Modification within Asset Envelope includes, but is not limited to: removal and replacement of exterior materials (e.g., roof sheathing); changes in fenestration (e.g., creating a new window opening or door); removal, replacement, or addition of exterior features (e.g., window replacement, addition of solar shades, removal of entrance canopy, addition of a vent); application of new materials to exterior walls (e.g., synthetic stucco); and replacement of utility lines within an existing utility corridor. 

## D. New Construction

New Construction includes changes to the exterior of an Asset outside of the existing envelope and utility corridors, resulting in additional square footage horizontally or vertically, an increase in interior space, or greater overall mass and/or size (i.e., additions). It also includes construction of entirely new built Assets. New Construction includes but is not limited to: raising a roof, adding rooms or stories, and enclosing exterior spaces to increase usable space; constructing a building in a former parking lot adjacent to an existing Asset; constructing new sources of power such as a solar farm or substation; new construction on a previously undeveloped and/or undisturbed site; and construction of a new utility line. This Project type usually involves ground disturbance.

## E. Other Ground Disturbing Activities

Other Ground Disturbing Activities are those that are not associated with new construction. This may include but is not limited to environmental site investigations; environmental testing, monitoring, and remediation; ground water well installation; soil relocation; and vegetation removal such as that required to create lines-of-sight.

## F. Mothballing

Mothballing may be undertaken on Assets that are temporarily not in use and have an anticipated reactivation period of more than 36 months. Aimed at preventing significant deterioration, Mothballing includes such activities as: preparation of utility systems and collateral equipment for long-term inactivation; equipment of the interior with appropriate environmental controls; removal of hazardous materials; maintenance of the integrity and appearance of the exterior shell; and assessment of personal property contained therein to determine if it should remain with the facility, be reutilized, or be disposed. Mothballing provides the least level of maintenance required to maintain functionality for possible future use.

### 485 G. Demolition

486 487

488

489

490

492

502

504 505

506

507

508 509

517

518 519

520

521

522

523 524

525 526

527 528

529 530 Demolition is defined as eliminating or reducing underutilized and obsolete real property assets or equipment no longer required for agency missions. Demolition may apply to part of an Asset or the total Asset. This Project type may include ground disturbance.

### 491 H. Outgrant

493 Outgrant is the non-permanent transfer of real property rights from NASA to others by 494 means of lease (or any other form of acceptable legal instrument that recognizes NASA 495 as the landlord and another entity as the tenant); permit; easement; right-of-way; license; 496 Space Act Agreement (SAA); or agreement such as memorandum of understanding 497 (MOU), MOA, or concessionaire agreement. The most common Outgrant for NASA 498 Assets is a lease, which may or may not include restrictions relating to the Asset's 499 historic status. Outgrant may result in but is not limited to shared use of a facility: sole 500 use of a facility; granting of new utility easements; or construction of buildings on vacant 501 land.

#### 503 I. Transfer

Transfer is the permanent transfer of real property rights from NASA to others. Transfer may result in but is not limited to: facility transfer to another federal entity with Section 106 responsibilities; or the divestment of real property to a non-federal entity where NASA no longer has control over the Asset.

#### 510 IV. PLANNING-LEVEL SURVEYS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT TOOLS 511

To facilitate early planning and efficient execution of the Project review process as stipulated in
 this NPA, NASA Participating Centers shall implement the following planning-level surveys and
 property management tools to front-load identification efforts. These tools include formal survey,
 NRHP evaluation, and predictive modeling.

#### A. Built Environment

The NPA establishes two management concepts for Assets at Participating Centers: Management Categories, which are assigned to individual Assets based upon known or probable NRHP significance; and Heritage Zones, which are management overlays identified by NASA in consultation with the SHPO.

1. <u>Management Categories</u>

Management Categories are defined as follows:

a. Category 1 Assets are individually listed in the NRHP, or are known or likely to be individually eligible for listing in the NRHP;

531b.Category 2 Assets are listed in the NRHP as contributing532resources to a historic district, or are known or likely to be eligible for533listing in the NRHP as such; and

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

534 535 Category 3 Assets are known or likely to be ineligible for listing in C. 536 the NRHP. 537 538 2. Assignment of Management Categories 539 540 Assets that have been evaluated for listing in the NRHP will be a. assigned a Management Category based upon the definitions above. 541 542 543 Assets that have not been evaluated will follow the categorization b. 544 process specified below. 545 546 3. Categorization of Unevaluated Assets 547 548 The process for categorizing Assets is outlined in Appendix J – Asset 549 Categorization. 550 551 Unevaluated Assets less than 50 years of age will be categorized a. 552 based on NASA's RSF Model rating, and then assigned a Management 553 Category. 554 555 b. Unevaluated Assets that have reached 50 years of age will be categorized based on their NASA FCCS Class Code (Appendix C -556 557 NASA FCCS Class Codes). 558 Those in Facility Classes 1, 2, 3, or 6 are considered the 559 i. – 560 most likely to be individually eligible for listing in the NRHP, and shall not be assigned a Management Category until they are 561 formally evaluated, either as part of a G2G Survey per Stipulation 562 563 IV.A.5 (G2G Architectural Surveys), or at such time as they are within the APE of a Project as identified under Stipulation VI 564 565 (Individual Project Review – Initial Review). 566 567 Those in Facility Classes 4, 5, 7 or 8 will be assigned as ii. Management Category 3 Assets. 568 569 570 4. Asset Lists 571 572 Center CRMs shall prepare an Asset List identifying assigned Management Categories, to be appended to the NPA (Appendix N – Center Asset List). Upon 573 574 execution of the NPA, a Participating Center shall manage its Assets consistent with the appended Asset List and assigned Management Categories until such 575 time as a G2G Survey is completed under the terms of the NPA per Stipulation 576 577 IV.A.5 (G2G Architectural Surveys). 578 579 Asset Lists will be revised and/or updated when one of the following occurs: 580 581 A new Asset List is produced as part of the G2G Survey Report a. 582 under Stipulation IV.A.5 (G2G Architectural Surveys);

583	
584	b. An Asset is evaluated during Individual Project Review (Stipulation
585	VI), in which case the Asset List will be updated to reflect the new
586	information; or
587	,
588	c. The Center CRM and SHPO agree to do so.
589	
590	Once revised and/or updated, the Center CRM will append the Asset List to the
591	NPA (Appendix N – Center Asset List), copying the relevant SHPO and Center
592	Consulting Parties.
593	
594	5. <u>Gate-to-Gate Architectural Surveys</u>
595	
596	Within five years of the execution of the NPA, NASA shall perform G2G Survey
597	at Participating Centers per the requirements of this stipulation. Thereafter,
598	NASA shall update G2G Surveys every ten years.
599	
600	G2G Surveys performed prior to or in progress at the time of NPA execution may
601	be used to meet this requirement provided the NRHP evaluations were
602	performed consistent with Stipulation IV.A.5.a.i. In such cases, the Center CRM
603	shall prepare an Asset List per Stipulation IV.A.5.b and shall submit the G2G
604	Survey Report for review per Stipulation IV.A.5.c.
605	
606	a. Scope of G2G Surveys
607	
608	As G2G Surveys will support the implementation of the NPA, NRHP
609	evaluations will be performed according to this stipulation. NASA will
610	prioritize Asset evaluation based upon age and potential to be NRHP-
611	eligible as informed by the FCCS and RSF Model.
612	5
613	i. Assets will be evaluated as individual resources within the
614	historic contexts most likely to be relevant to the Asset, and as
615	resources that contribute to a historic district. If found individually
616	eligible, the level of significance (i.e., national, State, and/or local)
617	shall be specified.
618	
619	ii. Unevaluated Assets 40 years of age or older, that are
620	identified in the agency RPMS as falling within Facility Classes 1,
621	2, 3 and 6 (Appendix C – NASA FCCS Class Codes) shall be the
622	priority in G2G Surveys.
623	
624	iii. NASA will not evaluate Assets in Facility Classes 4, 5, 7 or
625	<ol><li>except as contributing resources to a newly identified or</li></ol>
626	updated historic district. These Assets will automatically be
627	managed as Category 3 Assets unless otherwise evaluated in
628	G2G Surveys.
629	
630	iv. G2G Surveys shall preliminarily identify Assets less than
631	40 years of age deemed likely to be eligible under NRHP Criteria

632	C, and CCG for exceptional architectural design per the RSF
633	Model. This need not be a full NRHP evaluation; rather, it is
634	intended only to inform the assignment of a Management
635	Category until a formal NRHP evaluation is performed.
636	
637	b. Survey Reports
638	
639	G2G Survey Reports shall include a comprehensive list of all Assets
640	(Asset List) with the following information.
641	
642	i. Building number, building name, date of construction, and
643	FCCS Class Code.
644	
645	ii. SHPO resource or site number, if applicable.
646	
647	ii. Individual NRHP evaluation status, applicable Criteria,
648	
	relevant historic context, and level of significance; and contributing
649	or non-contributing status within an identified historic district and
650	the name of the historic district.
651	
652	iii. For Assets evaluated prior to the current survey effort, the
653	date of their evaluation, and date of SHPO concurrence, if known.
654	If SHPO concurrence was not received, the lack of SHPO
655	objection will be noted.
	objection will be noted.
656	
657	iv. Assets preliminarily identified under Criteria C and CCG for
658	architecture per Stipulation IV.A.5.b.iv.
659	
660	v. The assigned Management Category under which the
661	Asset will be managed. Any changes to previously assigned
662	Management Categories will be noted.
663	Management eategenee will be noted.
664	vi If relevant Assats leasted within a Haritage Zone, and the
	vi. If relevant, Assets located within a Heritage Zone, and the
665	name of the Heritage Zone.
666	
667	G2G Survey Reports shall include maps that show the location of all
668	Assets coded according to Management Category, and the boundaries of
669	Heritage Zones and historic districts, as applicable.
670	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
671	Notwithstanding the requirements of this section, G2G Survey Reports
672	shall be prepared consistent with applicable SHPO standards, to the
673	extent that these standards are not in conflict with the terms of the NPA,
674	in which case, the NPA shall take precedence.
675	
676	c. Review of G2G Survey Reports
677	
678	The Center CRM shall submit the G2G Survey Report electronically to the
679	SHPO, copying the Center Consulting Parties. The review period shall be
680	60 days unless the Center CRM and the SHPO agree in writing to a

681 682 683 684	specified extension. During this review period, the SHPO may request a site visit to aid in their review of the G2G Survey Report. Additionally, the SHPO may request copies of previous survey reports on which the assignment of Management Categories in Stipulation IV.A.2 are based.
685 686 687	i. No SHPO Objection
688 689 690	If the SHPO does not object in writing within the review period, the Center CRM shall finalize the G2G Survey Report per Stipulation IV.A.5.d (Final G2G Survey Reports).
691 692 693	ii. SHPO Objection
694 695 696 697 698 699	If within the review period the SHPO objects to NASA's findings, then it shall do so in writing to the Center CRM, copying the Center Consulting Parties, indicating the findings that are in dispute. The Center CRM shall either accept the SHPO's objection and revise NASA's findings or consult to resolve the objection.
700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707	If the Center CRM elects to consult, they will schedule a conference call or meeting with the SHPO and shall invite the Center Consulting Parties to participate. The Center CRM and the SHPO shall work to reach consensus on the findings that are the subject of the dispute. If consensus is reached, the Center CRM shall finalize the G2G Survey Report consistent with that consensus.
708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716	If the Center CRM determines that consensus cannot be reached within 30 days of the consultation call or meeting, then they shall notify the FPO. The FPO shall determine whether additional consultation to reach consensus is warranted, and if so, the length of the additional consultation period. If consensus is reached within the FPO-determined consultation period, NASA shall finalize the G2G Survey Report consistent with that consensus.
716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723	If consensus is still not reached at the end of the FPO-designated consultation period, the FPO will request a decision from the Keeper of the National Register (Keeper). Once rendered by the Keeper, the decision shall be deemed final. Thereafter the Center CRM shall finalize the G2G Survey Report and submit an electronic copy to the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties.
725 724 725	d. Final G2G Survey Reports
726 727 728	NASA's G2G Survey Reports shall be deemed final when the Center CRM sends a copy of the finalized G2G Survey Report to the SHPO, copying the Center Consulting Parties. Findings therein shall govern

NASA's management of Assets under the NPA until the next G2G Survey Report is finalized.

#### 6. <u>Heritage Zones</u>

Should the Center CRM or SHPO wish to identify a new Heritage Zone at a Participating Center, or to revise the boundaries of an existing Heritage Zone, then they may do so by mutual consent and with the authorization of the FPO. The Center CRM shall thereafter update Appendix M – Heritage Zones per Stipulation XXIV (Amendments).

#### B. Archaeology

#### 1. Partnerships with Other Federal Agencies

For Participating Centers who are tenants of another federal agency and/or have existing agreements in place with another federal agency for the management of archaeological resources, the following processes for the identification of archaeological resources shall not apply. Those Centers shall work with their partner agencies to determine the appropriate path forward when a NASA undertaking may have the potential to affect an archaeological or Native American resource.

#### 2. Assessment of Completed Archaeological Studies

Within one year of NPA execution, CRMs of Participating Centers shall submit all previously conducted archaeological surveys, evaluations, and predictive models (Archaeological Studies) that meet SHPO standards which have not yet received SHPO concurrence to the SHPO and the appropriate Center Consulting Parties, pursuant to Stipulation XXI (Handling of Sensitive Information). NASA shall include a list of the contents of the submission and a summary table of findings.

SHPOs and the appropriate Center Consulting Parties shall have 60 days to review and comment on the Archaeological Studies. The Center CRM shall contact the Center Consulting Tribes to confirm their intent to comment within the 60-day review period. The Center CRM will consider requests from the SHPO and Center Consulting Tribes to extend the review period to a maximum of 90 days. At the end of the review period, if no party has objected in writing to the Center CRM, then NASA will conclude its assessment of Archaeological Studies per Stipulation IV.B.2.b (Final Archaeological Studies).

If, at the end of the specified review period, the SHPO or any of the Center Consulting Tribes have objected to the findings in writing, then the Center CRM shall either accept the objection or consult to resolve the objection per Stipulation IV.B.2.a (Review of Archaeological Studies).

a. Review of Archaeological Studies

	Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023
777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784	The Center CRM shall schedule a meeting with the SHPO and Center Consulting Tribes to consult regarding the disputed findings and shall invite the appropriate Center Consulting Parties to participate. The parties shall work to reach consensus on the findings that are the subject of the dispute. If consensus is reached, then NASA will conclude its assessment of Archaeological Studies per Stipulation IV.B.2.b (Final Archaeological Studies).
785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795	If the Center CRM determines that consensus cannot be reached within 30 days of the consultation call or meeting, then they shall notify the FPO. The FPO shall determine whether additional consultation to reach consensus is warranted, and if so, the length of the additional consultation period. If consensus is still not reached at the end of the FPO-designated consultation period, the FPO will request a decision from the Keeper. Once rendered by the Keeper, the decision shall be deemed final. Thereafter the Center CRM shall conclude its assessment of Archaeological Studies per Stipulation IV.B.2.b (Final Archaeological Studies).
796 707	b. Final Archaeological Studies
797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807	The Center CRM shall document the results of the assessment of Archaeological Studies in writing, copying the SHPO and appropriate Center Consulting Parties. NASA shall thereafter use the results of the review of Archaeological Studies to create the Archaeology Management Plan described in Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan). If the final Archaeological Studies include an archaeological predictive/sensitivity model, the Participating Center may use that as its Archaeology Management Plan in lieu of developing a separate plan per Stipulation IV.B.3.
808	3. <u>Development of Archaeology Management Plan</u>
809 810 811 812 813 814 815	Within three years of the execution of the NPA, each Participating Center will create a Center-specific GIS-based archaeology tool that will include four GIS layers: 1) the locations of archaeological survey per Stipulation IV.B.2.b (Final Archaeological Studies); 2) known site locations; 3) an archaeological and cultural sensitivity model; and 4) an archaeological disturbance assessment.
816	a. Archaeological and Cultural Sensitivity Model
817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824	In the absence of an existing sensitivity model, a Participating Center will develop one. The model should meet the standards of the respective SHPO, if applicable. Factors that may be considered in the development of the archaeological and cultural model include but are not limited to previous archaeological surveys and locations of known sites; historical occupation of and/or activities in the area; and geological characteristics of the area. The model will be developed by personnel meeting the SOI

825 826 827	Professional Qualification Standards in Archaeology, with demonstrated regional expertise.
828 829	b. Archaeological Disturbance Assessment
830 831 832	A Participating Center will prepare an archaeological disturbance assessment that will identify the archaeological potential for areas and label them as having no, low, moderate or high potential, consistent with
833 834 835	the below definitions. The assessment will be developed by personnel meeting the SOI Professional Qualification Standards in Archaeology, with demonstrated regional expertise.
836	with demonstrated regional expertise.
837	i. Areas with no potential are those where no archaeological
838	sites are considered possible (e.g., man-made land or areas
839	where very heavy grading and/or substantial removal of soil has
840	occurred).
841	ii Areas with low potential are these where four to be
842 843	<ul> <li>Areas with low potential are those where few-to-no archaeological sites are considered likely (e.g., areas where</li> </ul>
844	moderate-to-heavy grading and removal of soil has occurred,
845	highly developed areas with a high concentration of infrastructure
846	and underground utilities, and areas where soil remediation has
847	occurred).
848	,
849	iii. Areas of moderate potential are those where
850	archaeological sites may be intact, despite some level of
851	disturbance (e.g., infrastructure exists, but may be limited on a
852	geographic scale both vertically and horizontally, such as a
853	parking lot or ball field).
854	
855	iv. Areas of high potential are those where little-to-no ground
856	disturbance has occurred (e.g., greenfield sites).
857	
858	Participating Centers will synthesize these GIS layers to create one overarching
859 860	layer that will serve as the Archaeology Management Plan. The overarching layer will identify areas of high (red), moderate (yellow), and low/no (green) potential
861	for intact archaeological deposits.
862	
863	If a Center Consulting Tribe has identified an area of the Center as culturally
864	sensitive, a separate GIS layer will be created for this information and
865	incorporated into the Archaeology Management Plan.
866	
867	4. Review of Archaeology Management Plan
868	
869	The Center CRM shall submit the Archaeology Management Plan (i.e., all four
870	layers and the final model layer) electronically to the SHPO and the appropriate
871	Center Consulting Parties, for review, pursuant to Stipulation XXI (Handling of
872	Sensitive Information). The SHPO and Center Consulting Parties shall have 30
873	days to review the plan and provide written comments to the Center CRM. The

874 Center CRM shall contact the Center Consulting Tribes to confirm the Tribes' 875 intent to comment within 30 days from receipt of the draft plan. 876 877 No Objection a. 878 879 If the SHPO and Center Consulting Tribes do not object within the 30-day 880 review period, then the Center CRM shall finalize the plan and submit an 881 electronic version to the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties. 882 883 b. Objection 884 If the SHPO or Center Consulting Tribes object to the findings of the plan 885 886 within the 30-day review period, the Center CRM shall notify the FPO. 887 The FPO shall determine whether additional consultation to reach 888 consensus is warranted, and if so, the length of the additional 889 consultation period. If consensus is reached within the FPO-determined 890 consultation period, the Center CRM shall finalize the plan consistent with 891 that consensus and submit an electronic version to the SHPO and Center 892 Consulting Parties. 893 894 If consensus is still not reached at the end of the FPO-designated 895 consultation period, the FPO will notify the ACHP and NCSHPO of 896 NASA's intended decision on the subject(s) of the dispute. The ACHP 897 and NCSHPO shall have 15 days to comment on the dispute and NASA's 898 proposed resolution. Following the 15-day review period, the FPO shall consider input received and notify the ACHP, NCSHPO, and Center 899 900 Consulting Parties of NASA's decision. Thereafter the Center CRM shall 901 finalize the Archaeology Management Plan and submit an electronic version to the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties. 902 903 904 Revising the Archaeology Management Plan 5. 905 906 In the event that NASA identifies previously unknown archaeological sites or 907 conducts a new archaeological survey for which SHPO concurrence is received, 908 the Center CRM will revise and update the Archaeology Management Plan 909 accordingly. The Center CRM will report on the status of Archaeological 910 Management Plan revisions and updates in the Annual Report (Stipulation XIV). 911 912 6. Using the Archaeology Management Plan 913 914 The Center CRM shall apply the data in the Archaeology Management Plan to 915 determine the need for archaeological and cultural studies for a Project involving 916 ground disturbance. If an area has been previously surveyed archaeologically, 917 the Center CRM shall use the findings of that survey to complete Individual 918 Project Review. 919 920 If an area has not been previously surveyed, the Center CRM shall determine the 921 level of archaeological work that may be required consistent with the matrix 922 below.

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

#### Assessment Matrix for Areas Not Previously Surveyed

Archaeological	Level of Project Disturbance		
Potential	Negligible	Moderate to Major	
None	No Further Action	No Further Action	
Low	No Further Action	No Further Action	
Moderate	No Further Action	Conduct Archaeological Survey	
High	Conduct Archaeological Monitoring	Conduct Archaeological Survey	

In cases where archaeological monitoring or survey is indicated in the above matrix, the Center CRM may elect to consult with the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties to determine the appropriate level of effort. No further action or monitoring in lieu of survey may be acceptable if no objections are received.

Irrespective of archaeological potential and disturbance, if an area has been determined to be culturally sensitive by a Center Consulting Tribe, the Center CRM will consult with the Center Consulting Tribe on a project-by-project basis. If a Center Consulting Tribe requests archaeological monitoring during Individual Project Review, it will be done in accordance with Stipulation IV.B.7 (Archaeological Monitoring).

7. <u>Archaeological Monitoring</u>

Archaeological monitoring may be conducted during ground disturbing activities under the following conditions:

a. Where called for in the Assessment Matrix for Areas Not Previously Surveyed;

b. Where, through consultation, SHPO and NASA agree that monitoring in lieu of survey is appropriate;

c. Following an inadvertent discovery during construction or in cases where a known historic property has the potential to be affected in an unanticipated manner;

d. When specified in an archaeological report that has been accepted by the SHPO;

e. In areas that have been identified by a Center Consulting Tribe as culturally sensitive, if the Tribe so requests; or

f. Where routine work/maintenance is taking place within the boundaries of a known site that is NRHP-eligible, NRHP-listed, or

961	unevaluated for NRHP eligibility, and work is not expected to disturb
962	deposits.
963	
964	Archaeological monitoring will be conducted by personnel who either meet the
965	SOI Professional Qualification Standards in Archaeology or have taken SHPO
966	training to be a monitor in that state.
967	
968	If through consultation, a Center Consulting Tribe requests that a Tribal
969	monitor(s) be present, NASA will consider this request, taking mission and timing
970	requirements into consideration, and ensure additional Tribal monitors are
971	present to the greatest extent feasible.
972	
973	A memo detailing the results of the ground disturbance will be drafted and
974	included with the NASA Center Annual Report per Stipulation XIV. If cultural
975	materials are found, NASA shall proceed per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent
976	Discoveries).
977	
978	8. <u>Archaeological Survey</u>
979	o. <u>Anonacological ourvey</u>
980	NASA shall conduct an archaeological survey consistent with SHPO
981	requirements. The Center CRM will consider Center Consulting Tribe
982	requirements, if provided in writing. The Center CRM shall submit the
983	archaeological survey to the SHPO and the appropriate Center Consulting
984	Parties for review, pursuant to Stipulation XXI (Handling of Sensitive
985	Information). SHPO and Center Consulting Parties shall have 30 days to review
986	the report and provide written comments to the Center CRM regarding the
987	methodology employed and the survey results are complete and sufficient. The
988	Center CRM shall contact the Center Consulting Tribes to confirm their intent to
989	comment within 30 days from receipt of the draft report.
990	commone warm of days norm receipt of the dratt report.
991	a. No Objection
992	
993	If the SHPO and Center Consulting Tribes do not object within the 30-day
994	review period, then the Center CRM shall finalize the report, submit an
995	electronic copy to the SHPO and appropriate Center Consulting Parties
996	and update the Archaeology Management Plan accordingly.
997	and update the rationacology management rian accordingly.
998	b. Objection
999	
1000	If the SHPO or Center Consulting Tribes object to the findings of the
1001	archaeological survey within the 30-day review period, the Center CRM
1002	shall notify the FPO. The FPO shall determine whether additional
1003	consultation to reach consensus is warranted, and if so, the length of the
1004	additional consultation period. If consensus is reached within the FPO-
1005	determined consultation period, the Center CRM shall finalize the report
1006	consistent with that consensus, submit an electronic copy to the SHPO
1007	and appropriate Center Consulting Parties, and update the Archaeology
1008	Management Plan accordingly.

1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015	If consensus is still not reached at the end of the FPO-designated consultation period, the FPO will request a decision from the Keeper. Once rendered by the Keeper, the decision shall be deemed final. Thereafter the Center CRM shall finalize the report, submit an electronic copy to the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties and update the Archaeology Management Plan accordingly.
1016 1017	V. INCORPORATION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN CENTER PLANNING
1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024	NASA shall make a reasonable and good-faith effort to identify feasible alternatives to adverse effects in the earliest possible stages of Project planning. For Projects within identified Heritage Zones, NASA shall, in consultation with the SHPO, afford special consideration to the preservation of defining characteristics and, to the extent possible while meeting mission requirements, ensure New Construction and Exterior Modification Projects are compatible and do not diminish those characteristics. Demolition of historic properties within Heritage Zones will be deemed an action of last resort following a thorough evaluation of alternatives.
1025 1026	A. Center Master Plan (CMP)
1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033	NASA Center personnel shall engage the Center Consulting Parties during the development of the CMP to allow for consideration of alternatives to avoid adverse effects to historic properties. The Center CRM shall deliver a draft CMP electronically to the SHPO, copying the Center Consulting Parties. The SHPO will have 30 days to review and comment upon the draft. NASA shall maintain a record of potential adverse effects to historic properties discussed during the CMP update process, the alternatives
1034 1035	considered, and the final recommendations integrated into the CMP.
1036 1037 1038 1039	CMPs shall integrate information about historic properties and Heritage Zones into the development plans. Heritage Zones shall be identified as areas of heightened design sensitivity subject to consultation under the NPA.
1033 1040 1041	B. Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plans (ICRMPs)
1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047	Center ICRMPs are reviewed and updated annually. Participating Center ICRMPs will be updated to include information relevant to the NPA, including: 1) a copy of the executed NPA, or link to its location; 2) Asset List for the Center; 3) Maps and descriptions of Heritage Zones; and 4) Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Center G2G Surveys consistent with the NPA.
1047	

- 1048C.Heritage Zone Design Guidelines1049
- 1050Participating Centers with Heritage Zones may elect to develop Heritage Zone Design1051Guidelines in consultation with SHPO to manage Projects within Heritage Zones in a1052manner that preserves their distinct features. Heritage Zone Design Guidelines must be1053reviewed by and concurred with by the SHPO.
- 10541055If a Heritage Zone falls within an identified NRHP-eligible or listed historic district, and1056that Center has SHPO-approved historic district design guidelines for that historic

1057 district, then those design guidelines may be used in lieu of Heritage Zone Design
1058 Guidelines.
1059

1060 VI. INDIVIDUAL PROJECT REVIEW – INITIAL REVIEW

When a Project is approved for funding, the Center CRM shall determine whether the Project has the potential to adversely affect historic properties pursuant to § 36 CFR 800.3(a) and shall initiate the appropriate process to be followed under the NPA. The CRM and relevant project personnel will review and consider any outstanding Center Consulting Party comments offered during Center master planning regarding alternatives to adverse effects to historic properties.

For Outgrant Projects, the Center CRM will either elect to: 1) define the Outgrant of the property as the undertaking, apply the criteria of effect, and resolve any adverse effects in conjunction with the execution of the partnership agreement; or 2) maintain oversight of the Asset throughout the duration of the partnership agreement and individually review proposed changes to Assets when proposed by the tenant. The approach will be determined in conjunction with Center master planners and the tenant.

1074

1061

1075 A flow chart for Stipulation VI is included in Appendix K – Individual Project Review Flow Charts.

1076 1077

1078

A. Initial Screening for Programmatic Allowance Applicability

1079The NASA CRM shall evaluate the undertaking to determine if it qualifies for exclusion,1080pursuant to Appendix I – Programmatic Allowances. NASA may undertake these1081activities without Project-specific consultation. Any adverse effects resulting from the1082application of a Programmatic Allowance will be resolved through Stipulation XI.A1083(Programmatic Mitigation) prepared pursuant to this NPA.1084

1085If the Center CRM determines that all aspects of the Project meet the Programmatic1086Allowances ("excluded" Project), then the Center CRM shall document this determination1087for inclusion in the NASA Center Annual Report per Stipulation XIV.1088

If a Project or any component thereof is not excluded from further review per a Programmatic Allowance, the Center CRM will continue to Stipulation VI.B (Identification of Affected Properties).

1093 1094

1089

1090

1091

1092

- B. Identification of Affected Properties
- 1095 1. 1096
- Defining the Project APE

The Project APE shall be defined using the table below. For multi-component Projects, the APE shall be the aggregate of all component APEs.

1100 1101

1097

1098

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

## 1102Project Type APEs

Project Type	APE
Exterior Modification within Asset Envelope	Asset Envelope
New Construction	Asset Envelope, and Limits of Disturbance (LOD)
Other Ground Disturbing Activities	LOD
Demolition	Asset Envelope
Outgrant	Variable
Transfer	Asset Envelope, and Parcel

The HTSF nature of NASA Centers and the activities occurring thereon is the primary consideration in limiting the size of APEs. Outside of Heritage Zones, alterations to Assets are not likely to have adverse visual effects on adjacent historic properties, should they be present.

2. Identification of Properties in the APE

The CRM shall consider whether any of the following are located within the APE: 1) a Heritage Zone (defined in Appendix M – Heritage Zones); 2) a Category 1 Asset (per Appendix N – Center Asset List); or 3) an NRHP-eligible or potentially eligible archaeological site or Tribal cultural resource.

If an Asset within the APE is not included in the Asset List, then the Center CRM shall assign a Management Category per Appendix J – Asset Categorization. Should Appendix J indicate that the Asset should be evaluated, then the Center CRM shall either: assume that the Asset is individually eligible for listing in the NRHP (i.e., Category 1); or formally evaluate the Asset and obtain SHPO concurrence.

To make a good-faith effort to identify the presence or absence of NRHP-eligible or potentially eligible archaeological sites or Tribal cultural resources within the APE, the Center CRM will refer to the Archaeological Management Plan and Assessment Matrix for Areas Not Previously Surveyed to determine the approach.

If the CRM determines that none of the above conditions apply, then the Center CRM shall document this determination for inclusion in the NASA Center Annual Report. The Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, notwithstanding inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries). In the unlikely event that any cumulative or adverse effects occur, they will be mitigated through Programmatic Mitigation per Stipulation XI (Treatment Measures).

- 1137If the CRM determines that any of the above conditions apply, then the CRM will1138proceed to the appropriate stipulation as indicated below.
- 1139

1103 1104

1105

1106 1107

1108

1109 1110 1111

1112 1113

1114

1115 1116

1117 1118

1119

1120

1121 1122 1123

1124

1125

1126 1127

1128 1129

1130 1131

1132

1133

1134 1135

1140		a. For any non-excluded Project outside of Heritage Zones that
1141		contains Category 1 Assets and/or eligible or potentially eligible
1142		archaeological sites or Tribal cultural resources, proceed to Stipulation VII
1143		(Individual Project Review – Projects Outside Heritage Zones);
1144		(
1145		b. For any non-excluded Project that includes either Exterior
1146		Modification or New Construction, where the APE includes any portion of
1140		a Heritage Zone, proceed to Stipulation VIII (Individual Project Review –
1147		Exterior Modification or New Construction Inside a Heritage Zone); or
1140		Extends modification of New Construction inside a Heritage Zone), of
1149		Ear all other non-avaluded Dreigets where the ADE includes any
		c. For all other non-excluded Projects where the APE includes any
1151		portion of a Heritage Zone, including Demolition and Transfer to non-
1152		federal entities, proceed to Stipulation IX (Individual Project Review – All
1153		Other Projects Inside a Heritage Zone).
1154		
1155	VII. INDIVIDUAL	PROJECT REVIEW – PROJECTS OUTSIDE OF HERITAGE ZONES
1156		
1157		d Project outside of Heritage Zones that contain Category 1 Assets, eligible
1158	, , , ,	archaeological sites, and/or Tribal cultural resources, NASA shall carry out
1159	the following stipulat	ions.
1160		
1161	•	Ilation VII is included in Appendix K – Individual Project Review Flow
1162	Charts.	
1163		
1164	A. Deter	mination of Effect
1165		
1165 1166	The NASA C	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a
1165	The NASA C	
1165 1166	The NASA C likely adverse	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a
1165 1166 1167	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects.
1165 1166 1167 1168	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects.
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initia</b> t	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects.
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initia</b> t	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b>
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initia</b> t The Center C	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b>
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b>
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter).
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be so outlined in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections).
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be observed in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b>
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No A</b> If the Center	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be observed in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No Ac</b> If the Center effects, then	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be observed in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA,
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No A</b> If the Center effects, then notwithstandi	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be observed in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, ing inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries).
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No A</b> If the Center effects, then notwithstandi	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be observed in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA,
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No Advention</b> If the Center effects, then notwithstandit <b>D. Advention</b>	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be be solution in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, ing inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries). <b>rse Effects</b>
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No Adve</b> If the Center effects, then notwithstandi <b>D. Adve</b> If a Category	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be adverse to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be adverse to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be adverse to the Notification Letter action under the NPA, ing inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries). <b>rse Effects</b> 1 Asset will be adversely affected, then NASA will complete recordation of
1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184	The NASA C likely adverse to avoid or m <b>B. Initiat</b> The Center C (Notification I If objections a follow the pro <b>C. No Adve</b> If the Center effects, then notwithstandi <b>D. Adve</b> If a Category	enter or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered inimize adverse effects. <b>te Consultation</b> CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A Letter). are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will be be solution in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections). <b>dverse Effects</b> CRM does not receive any objections to a determination of no adverse the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, ing inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries). <b>rse Effects</b>

1188If eligible archaeological historic properties will be adversely affected, then NASA will1189develop an Archaeology Mitigation Plan per Stipulation XI.D (Archaeology Mitigation1190Plan).

# 1191 VIII. INDIVIDUAL PROJECT REVIEW – EXTERIOR MODIFICATION AND NEW

# 1192 CONSTRUCTION INSIDE HERITAGE ZONES1193

1194 For any non-excluded Project that includes either Exterior Modification or New Construction and

the APE includes any portion of a Heritage Zone, NASA shall carry out the following

stipulations. The more robust process reflects the fact that it is generally more feasible to revise these Project types in a manner that minimizes or avoids adverse effects.

A flow chart for Stipulation VIII is included in Appendix K – Individual Project Review Flow
Charts.

## A. Determination of Effect

The NASA Center or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a likely adverse effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered to avoid or minimize adverse effects.

### B. Initiate Consultation

The Center CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A (Notification Letter).

If no objections are received, the Center CRM will proceed to Stipulation VIII.C (No Adverse Effects) or Stipulation VIII.D (Adverse Effects) as appropriate.

If objections are received, the Center CRM will proceed to Stipulation VIII.D (Adverse Effects).

## C. No Adverse Effects

If NASA receives no objections to the determination that the Project will have no adverse effect to historic properties in the Heritage Zone, the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, notwithstanding inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries).

#### D. Adverse Effects

If NASA determines that the Project will have an adverse effect to historic properties within the Heritage Zone, or if NASA receives an objection to its No Adverse Effect determination, NASA shall consult further to identify ways to avoid and minimize those adverse effects. If at any time all parties agree that avoidance of adverse effects is not feasible, NASA may incorporate minimization measures proposed during consultation. NASA will then resolve adverse effects per Stipulation VIII.D.3 (Resolution of Adverse Effects).

1. <u>Consideration of Alternatives</u>

1007		
1237		Numer Dhase A (Concert Development) of the CoE Dreiset Life Cycle, and prior
1238		During Phase A (Concept Development) of the CoF Project Life Cycle, and prior
1239		the 60% design stage, the Center CRM will invite the SHPO and Center
1240		Consulting Parties to a consultation meeting that will be attended by the Center
1241		PM and the Center CRM. If the Project proponent is a tenant, a representative
1242		f the tenant with decision-making authority will be present. The FPO, ACHP,
1243		nd additional relevant Center personnel shall be notified of the consultation
1244	m	neeting and invited to attend.
1245		
1246		he consultation meeting will include a detailed presentation of the Project
1247	-	urpose and need, Project details, and alternatives considered. After the
1248		onsultation meeting, the SHPO, ACHP, and Center Consulting Parties shall
1249	ha	ave 15 days to provide the Center CRM with suggestions of ways to avoid or
1250	m	ninimize adverse effects in writing. NASA shall evaluate the feasibility of
1251	SI	uggestions received.
1252		
1253	2.	. <u>Project Revisions</u>
1254		
1255	lf	at any time NASA elects to revise the Project to avoid adverse effects to
1256	hi	istoric properties in the Heritage Zone, then the Center CRM shall prepare a
1257	fc	ormal addendum (Addendum) to the Notification Letter prepared under
1258	S	tipulation X.A (Notification Letter) with a revised Project description and finding
1259		f effect. The Center CRM shall send the Addendum to the SHPO, copying the
1260		CHP and Center Consulting Parties. The parties shall have 15 days to object to
1261		ne Addendum in writing.
1262		J
1263		a. If no objection is received within 15 days of the Addendum, the
1264		Center CRM shall proceed with the revised Project per Stipulation VIII.C
1265		(No Adverse Effect).
1266		
1267		b. If an objection is received, the Center CRM may elect to continue
1268		consultation, or may accept a determination of adverse effect, and
1269		proceed per Stipulation VIII.D.3 (Resolution of Adverse Effects).
1270		
1271	3.	. Resolution of Adverse Effects
1272		
1273	lf	NASA determines that it cannot avoid adverse effects to historic properties in
1274		he Heritage Zone, then NASA shall proceed per Stipulation XI.B (Heritage Zone
1275		litigation Plan).
1276		
1277	In	n the event that the only adverse effects of the Project are to NRHP-eligible
1278		rchaeological sites, then NASA shall develop an Archaeology Mitigation Plan
1279		er Stipulation XI.D (Archaeology Mitigation Plan).
1280	p	
1281	IX. INDIVIDU	UAL PROJECT REVIEW – ALL OTHER PROJECTS INSIDE HERITAGE
1282	ZONES	
1283		
1200		

NEMCON TASK ORDER 80KSC020D0023 Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

For all other non-excluded Projects where the APE includes any portion of a Heritage Zone,
including Demolition and Transfer to non-federal entities, NASA shall carry out the following
stipulations.

1287
1288 A flow chart for Stipulation IX is included in Appendix K – Individual Project Review Flow Charts.
1289

## 1291 A. Determination of Effect

The NASA Center or Center CRM will make a determination of effect. In the event of a likely adverse effect, NASA will first consider whether the Project can be feasibly altered to avoid or minimize adverse effects.

## B. Initiate Consultation

The Center CRM will initiate consultation via a Notification Letter, per Stipulation X.A (Notification Letter).

If objections are received in response to the Notification Letter, the Center CRM will follow the process outlined in Stipulation X.B (Resolving Objections).

## C. No Adverse Effects

If NASA receives no objections to the determination that the Project will have no adverse effect to historic properties in the Heritage Zone, the Project may proceed without further action under the NPA, notwithstanding inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries).

## D. Adverse Effects

If NASA determines that it cannot avoid adverse effects to historic properties in the Heritage Zone, then NASA will proceed per Stipulation XI.B (Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan).

If adverse effects are limited to archaeological historic properties, then NASA will develop an Archaeology Mitigation Plan per Stipulation XI.D (Archaeology Mitigation Plan).

## 1322 X. INDIVIDUAL PROJECT REVIEW – CONSULTATION

## A. Notification Letter

The Center CRM shall send a Notification Letter (Notification Letter) to the SHPO, copying the Center Consulting Parties, that, at a minimum, provides the following information:

- 1. A description of the Project with a timeline, if known;
- 2. Documents relating to Project plans, such as photographs and architectural drawings;
- 3. A map of the APE and environs;
- 4. Resource Identification Information:

1339			a. The Assets located within the APE and their corresponding
1340			Management Category;
1341			b. If applicable, the boundaries of the Heritage Zone(s); and
1342			
1343			c. If the Project involves ground disturbance, a list of the
1344			archeological sites within the APE or the archaeological potential within
1345			based upon the Archaeology Management Plan;
1346			based upon the Alchaeology Management Flan,
1340		5.	Assessment of Effects:
1347		5.	Assessment of Ellects.
1348			a. NASA's determination of effect, citing Heritage Zone Design
1349			
			Guidelines, if applicable; and
1351			b The evolution of a second devidered by NASA, the reasons for
1352			b. The avoidance alternatives considered by NASA, the reasons for
1353			their rejection, and any efforts adopted to minimize adverse effects, if
1354			applicable; and
1355			
1356		6.	NASA's intended path forward under the NPA including the relevant
1357			mitigation measures, if applicable.
1358			
1359		The SHPO	and Center Consulting Parties shall have 30 days to review the Notification
1360		Letter and p	provide comments in writing to the Center CRM, including any
1361		recommend	lations for project revisions to avoid adverse effects, as appropriate.
1362			
1363		B. Res	olving Objections
1364			
1365		If objections	are received, NASA will consider the comments and issue a response,
1366		indicating N	ASA's intent to revise the determination of effect, NASA's intent to revise the
1367		Project bas	ed on the comments, or NASA's intent to move forward with the Project
1368			
		without revi	sions. Thereafter, the Center CRM shall proceed according to the relevant
1369		without revi stipulation.	sions. Thereafter, the Center CRM shall proceed according to the relevant
1369 1370			sions. Thereafter, the Center CRM shall proceed according to the relevant
		stipulation.	sions. Thereafter, the Center CRM shall proceed according to the relevant received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process
1370		stipulation. Objections	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process
1370 1371		stipulation. Objections	
1370 1371 1372	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process
1370 1371 1372 1373	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation.
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATME	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation.
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATME	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b>
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATME	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b>
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATMEN A. Pro	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation.
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEI</b> <b>A. Pro</b> 1. NAS	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content GA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEN</b> <b>A. Pro</b> 1. NAS map	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation <u>Digital Map Content</u> SA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEN</b> <b>A. Prop</b> 1. NAS map advo	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content SA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital o (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for erse effects to historic properties from Projects not otherwise mitigated,
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEN</b> <b>A. Prop</b> 1. NAS map advo	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation <u>Digital Map Content</u> SA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEI</b> <b>A. Pro</b> 1. NAS map adve indiv refle	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>NT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content SA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for erse effects to historic properties from Projects not otherwise mitigated, vidually or cumulatively, under the NPA stipulations. The Digital Map will bet the Participating Center's current condition, identify extant Category 1
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically <b>TREATMEN</b> <b>A. Pro</b> 1. NAS map advo indiv refle	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>TT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content GA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for erse effects to historic properties from Projects not otherwise mitigated, vidually or cumulatively, under the NPA stipulations. The Digital Map will ect the Participating Center's current condition, identify extant Category 1 ets, historic districts, and other cultural resources of interest, and link to
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATMEN A. Prov 1. NAS map advo indiv refle Asse imag	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>TT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content SA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for erse effects to historic properties from Projects not otherwise mitigated, vidually or cumulatively, under the NPA stipulations. The Digital Map will tet the Participating Center's current condition, identify extant Category 1 ets, historic districts, and other cultural resources of interest, and link to ge, video, and textual resources that describe the historical use and
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385	XI.	stipulation. Objections specifically TREATMEN A. Prov 1. NAS map advo indiv refle Asse imag	received pursuant to Stipulation VIII.D will proceed according to the process outlined in that stipulation. <b>TT MEASURES</b> grammatic Mitigation Digital Map Content GA will create a new public website where it will maintain an interactive digital (Digital Map) for each Participating Center as programmatic mitigation for erse effects to historic properties from Projects not otherwise mitigated, vidually or cumulatively, under the NPA stipulations. The Digital Map will ect the Participating Center's current condition, identify extant Category 1 ets, historic districts, and other cultural resources of interest, and link to

#### 1388 current satellite imagery, with georeferenced documentary/historic aerials and maps that depict the Centers during several key time periods, one of which will 1389 be during or immediately after the Center's initial build-out. The Digital Map will 1390 serve as an intuitive online portal to existing and archived data such as 1391 documentary/historic photography, Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS) 1392 or Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) recordation, architectural 1393 1394 survey reports, primary resource material such as videos and oral history 1395 interviews, and other resources as appropriate. 1396 1397 2. **Digital Map Implementation Plan** 1398 1399 NASA shall have two years from the execution of the NPA to complete the initial 1400 build-out of the Digital Map. Within one year of NPA execution, the FPO shall submit an implementation plan to the Signatories, copying the NPA Consulting 1401 Parties, for review. The Signatories and NPA Consulting Parties shall have 30 1402 days to review the implementation plan and provide comments to the FPO. The 1403 1404 FPO may elect to have a consultation meeting with the parties to discuss the implementation plan prior to finalizing it. 1405 1406 1407 Once the initial build-out is completed, NASA shall regularly update and add to 1408 the Digital Map as documentation of Assets under the NPA and other relevant historical information becomes available. NASA shall report on development of 1409 1410 the Digital Map annually under Stipulation XIII (NPA Monitoring) and shall provide the respective SHPOs and Center Consulting Parties the opportunity to 1411 1412 review and comment on the Digital Map on an annual basis, including ways to enhance the product and maximize public exposure. 1413 1414 1415 NASA shall maintain the Digital Map for the duration of the NPA. Prior to the anticipated termination or expiration of the NPA, the FPO shall consult with the 1416 1417 ACHP and NCSHPO to develop a plan for the maintenance of the Digital Map by 1418 NASA or another entity or entities, or if no such entity is identified, a plan for the

## B. Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan

1419

1420

1421

1422 1423

1424

1425 1426 1427

1428 1429

1430

1431

1432 1433

1434 1435 1436 If NASA determines that it cannot avoid adverse effects to historic properties in a Heritage Zone, then NASA shall consult with the Center Consulting Parties to determine the appropriate mitigation and proceed with one of the following as appropriate.

permanent curation of the information contained in the Digital Map.

1. <u>No Mitigation</u>

If there is consensus among the parties that the effects are such that no Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan is required, then NASA shall notify the Center Consulting Parties of the consensus and its intent to proceed with the Project without further consultation, notwithstanding inadvertent discoveries per Stipulation XVII (Inadvertent Discoveries).

## NEMCON TASK ORDER 80KSC020D0023

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1437 2. Development of Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan 1438 1439 NASA shall develop a Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan with proposed measures 1440 addressing the Heritage Zone and/or historic properties within the Heritage Zone. The plan will reflect the scale and extent of adverse effects reflective of any 1441 1442 minimization measures that were incorporated based upon comments received during consultation. If an NRHP-eligible archaeological site will be adversely 1443 affected, then the Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan must address archaeological as 1444 1445 well as aboveground resources. 1446 1447 The Center CRM shall submit a proposed Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan 1448 electronically to the SHPO, copying the Center Consulting Parties. The Center Consulting Parties shall have 30 days to review the Heritage Zone Mitigation 1449 Plan and to provide written comments. If the SHPO or any Center Consulting 1450 1451 Party objects to the Mitigation Plan, then the Center CRM shall either: 1452 1453 Consult with the party to resolve the objection for up to 30 days. If a. an agreement is reached, the Center CRM shall finalize the Heritage 1454 Zone Mitigation Plan accordingly and distribute documents to the SHPO 1455 1456 and Center Consulting Parties. If no agreement is reached within 30 days, then the Center CRM will proceed to Stipulation XI.B.2.b. 1457 1458 The Center CRM shall notify the FPO and ACHP of the failure to 1459 b. reach agreement and provide the proposed Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan 1460 1461 along with relevant SHPO and Center Consulting Party input. The ACHP shall have 30 days to review the materials and provide their comments to 1462 1463 the FPO. The FPO shall consider the input of the ACHP, SHPO, and Center Consulting Parties and render a final decision on the Heritage 1464 Zone Mitigation Plan. This decision will be documented in a formal letter 1465 from the FPO to the ACHP, copying the SHPO, and the Center 1466 Consulting Parties. 1467 1468 1469 C. **Recordation of Category 1 Assets** 1470 1471 If NASA determines that adverse effects to a Category 1 Asset cannot be avoided. 1472 NASA shall prepare digital photographic recordation conforming to the most recent National Park Service (NPS) NRHP requirements for digital images. The number of 1473 views will be sufficient to capture the exterior and, if applicable and feasible, interior CDF 1474 of the Asset, and will include, at a minimum, the following. 1475 1476 1477 1. **Exterior Views** 1478 10 views of the Asset within its larger context; 1479 a. 1480 1481 8 views of the entire Asset such that all sides are documented; b. 1482 and 1483 1484 Details of CDFs. C. 1485

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1487	2.	Interior Views (if applicable and feasible)
1488		
1489		a. Multiple views of each primary public space (e.g., lobbies);
1490		
1491		b. Representative views of major circulation spaces (e.g., hallways);
1492		
1493		c. Multiple views of functionally significant work spaces (e.g.,
1494		laboratories, clean rooms, test chambers), including equipment,
1495		instruments, and furniture; and
1496		
1497		d. Representative views of administrative spaces (e.g., offices,
1498		meeting rooms).
1499		meeting rooms).
1500	Dhotographia	recordation shall be accompanied by a written component that provides, at
1501		ne name of the resource, date of construction, architect or engineer (if
1502	known), origin	al function, and basic contextual information.
1503		
1504		ndertake recordation of the Asset prior to commencing work. Upon
1505		e Center CRM shall submit an electronic copy of the final recordation
1506	• •	e SHPO and shall link the electronic version of the recordation package to
1507	the Digital Ma	p.
1508		
1509		ot be required to document an Asset under this stipulation if any of the
1510	following appl	y:
1511		
1512	1.	The Asset has been previously recorded to these or higher standards,
1513	such a	s HAER Level 1 or Level 2 recordation, and has not been substantially
1514	altered	since that time; or
1515		
1516	2.	Another example of the Asset of comparable or superior NRHP integrity
1517	remair	ns extant and in NASA's ownership or control; or
1518		
1519	3.	In the event that two or more of the same Asset type are adversely
1520		ed by the same Project such that recordation under this stipulation is
1521		ed, NASA need not document all of these Assets, but may document the
1522		xample of that Asset type.
1523		
1524	If the Asset ha	as been previously recorded to HABS/HAER or higher standards, then
1525		ake the package available via the Digital Map and thereafter shall have no
1526		ements under this stipulation.
1527	iuitilei iequite	
		acleav Mitigation Plan
1528	D. Archa	eology Mitigation Plan
1529	If mitiantian -	f an NPUD liatad aligible, ar natantially aligible archaeolariad aite is
1530		f an NRHP-listed, eligible, or potentially eligible archaeological site is
1531		en the Center CRM, in consultation with the SHPO and appropriate Center
1532	•	rties, shall develop a written Archaeology Mitigation Plan that outlines
1533		esolve adverse effects to the site, and shall submit it electronically to the
1534		propriate Center Consulting Parties, pursuant to Stipulation XXI (Handling
1535	ot Sensitive D	ata). The Mitigation Plan may include alternative mitigations, rather than

1536data recovery measures, that contribute towards the public's understanding of the1537historical significance of NASA-owned or -controlled archaeological resources. The1538Archaeology Mitigation Plan shall include a scope of work with sufficient detail that it1539could be used as the basis for solicitation of bids.

1541 SHPO and appropriate Center Consulting Parties shall have 30 days to review the 1542 Archaeology Mitigation Plan and provide written comments to the Center CRM. The 1543 Center CRM shall contact Center Consulting Tribes, if applicable, to confirm their intent 1544 to comment within 30 days from receipt of the Mitigation Plan. NASA will consider 1545 requests from the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties to extend the review period to 1546 45 days. If no objection is received from the SHPO or any of the Center Consulting 1547 Parties within the specified review period, then the Center CRM may finalize the Archaeology Mitigation Plan and submit an electronic copy to the SHPO and appropriate 1548 1549 Center Consulting Parties.

- 1551 If the SHPO or any Center Consulting Tribe objects to the Archaeology Mitigation Plan,
  1552 then the Center CRM shall either:
  1553
  - 1. Convene a meeting between the SHPO and Center Consulting Tribes to discuss the disagreement and work towards a consensus resolution; or

1557 2. Notify the FPO, who will forward NASA's findings, along with the SHPO's comments and Center Consulting Tribes' comments, to the ACHP, copying the 1558 NCSHPO and Center Consulting Parties, with the intended resolution. The ACHP 1559 1560 and NCSHPO shall have 15 days to comment on the dispute and NASA's proposed resolution. Following the 15-day review period, the FPO shall consider 1561 1562 input received and notify the ACHP, NCSHPO, and Center Consulting Parties of NASA's decision. Thereafter the Center CRM shall finalize the Archaeology 1563 Mitigation Plan and submit an electronic version to the SHPO and appropriate 1564 1565 Center Consulting Parties.

#### 1567 XII. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. The definitions in 36 CFR Part 800 are applicable to the NPA and are incorporated by reference herein. Definitions specific to the NPA are listed in Appendix E – Definitions.

1573B. Anything "written" and "in writing" refers to hardcopy or electronic communication.1574All communications, submissions, and reporting shall be in writing and delivered1575electronically, unless a specific request for a hardcopy is made to the issuing party in1576writing or it is required by SHPO standards.

1577 1578 1579

1581

1540

1550

1554

1555 1556

1566

1568 1569

1570

1571

1572

C. Unless otherwise indicated, "days" shall mean calendar days.

#### 1580 XIII. NPA MONITORING PERIOD

1582The FPO will seek input from Signatories and NPA Consulting Parties, and Center1583Consulting Parties regarding the NPA for two calendar years immediately following the1584execution of this NPA.
1585		
1586		A. The FPO will hold quarterly teleconference calls with Signatories to discuss the
1587		implementation of the NPA.
1588		D At the end of each warm during the group terring provided O an etapic soull each with
1589		B. At the end of each year during the monitoring period, Signatories will submit
1590		written comments regarding the implementation of the NPA to the FPO.
1591		C Mithin 00 days of massing of written comments, the EDO will converse a massing of
1592		C. Within 90 days of receipt of written comments, the FPO will convene a meeting of
1593 1594		the Signatories to review the comments and discuss approaches to resolve any
1594		concerns.
1595		D. NASA will make the results of the annual meetings publicly available via the
1590		NASA will make the results of the annual meetings publicly available via the NASA NPA website.
1598		NAOA NI A Website.
1599	XIV.	ANNUAL REPORTING
1600	/	
1601		A. Each year following the execution of the NPA until it expires or is terminated,
1602		each Participating Center CRM shall prepare an Annual Report (NASA Center Annual
1603		Report) and distribute it to their respective SHPO, Center Consulting Parties, and the
1604		FPO. The NASA Center Annual Report will include, at a minimum, the relevant
1605		information from the list below:
1606		
1607		1. A list of all Projects excluded from review under the NPA pursuant to the
1608		Programmatic Allowances (Appendix I – Programmatic Allowances), citing the
1609		applicable allowance(s);
1610		
1611		2. A list of Projects for which consultation was conducted under Stipulation
1612		VII, VIII, or IX, including the date of conclusion of consultation and final
1613		determination of effects;
1614		2 A list and summary of Dusingto regulting in Ashyang Effects regulated
1615		3. A list and summary of Projects resulting in Adverse Effects resolved
1616 1617		through mitigation measures, and the completion status of the mitigation measures;
1618		incasures,
1619		4. A list of architectural or archaeological surveys completed, and an
1620		updated Asset List or Archaeological Management Plan, as applicable, if not
1621		previously provided;
1622		
1623		5. Archaeological monitoring reports required per Stipulation IV.B.7; and
1624		
1625		6. A list and summary of any Inadvertent discoveries.
1626		
1627		B. The FPO shall use the Center-Level Annual Reports to prepare an NPA-Level
1628		Annual Report for submission to the NPA Consulting Parties. This Report will include a
1629		general summary and assessment of NPA implementation, with any recommended
1630		improvements or amendments. Within 60 days of the FPO's submittal of the NPA-Level
1631		Annual Report, any Signatory may request that the FPO convene a meeting with the
1632		NPA Consulting Parties to review the implementation of the NPA, any problems

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1633 encountered, any disputes and objections received, and suggestions for improvements
1634 to NPA implementation.
1635

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

## 1637 XV. TRAINING

1643 1644

1645

1646 1647

1648

1649

1650 1651

1652

1653

1655 1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

Prior to using this NPA as an alternative to standard Section 106 procedures, the relevant
NASA personnel, including but not limited to Center CRMs, Center master planners, and Center
project personnel, must receive training and a certificate documenting completion of training in
the use and implementation of the NPA.

- A. Within three months of the execution of the NPA, NASA will develop training materials, in consultation with the ACHP and NCSHPO.
  - B. The FPO, in consultation with ACHP and NCSHPO, will maintain and update training materials as needed to ensure it provides sufficient, accurate, and up-to-date information.
- C. The FPO will make NPA training materials developed for NASA staff available to the Signatories, NPA Consulting Parties, and Center Consulting Parties upon request.

## 1654 XVI. QUALIFICATIONS FOR SURVEY AND EVALUATION

- A. NRHP evaluations carried out in the execution of the NPA shall be performed by or under the direct supervision of a person who meets the appropriate Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (48 Federal Regulation [FR] 44738-9) in an applicable discipline.
- 1661 The inclusion of traditional knowledge is a critical component in the identification Β. and evaluation of historic properties. The Section 106 regulations at 36 CFR § 1662 1663 800.4(c)(1) require federal agencies to acknowledge the special expertise of Indian Tribes in evaluating and, by extension, identifying historic properties of religious and 1664 cultural significance to them. It should also be noted that the regulations acknowledge 1665 1666 that the passage of time, changing perceptions of significance, or incomplete prior 1667 evaluations may require the reevaluation for the presence of historic properties (36 CFR § 800.4(c)(1)). This is a particularly important consideration in planning for identification, 1668 1669 because past identification and evaluation efforts may not have included the traditional 1670 knowledge held by Indian Tribes.

## 1672 XVII. INADVERTENT DISCOVERIES

1673

1671

Any aboveground cultural resources or archaeological deposits newly discovered during any
 undertaking will be treated as eligible until such time as they have been evaluated by an SOI qualified individual for NRHP eligibility and will be avoided insofar as possible.

- 1677
- In the event that an aboveground cultural resource or archaeological deposit is inadvertently
  discovered, work must cease, the Center CRM must be notified, and an SOI-qualified
  professional must be consulted. If the SOI-qualified professional recommends the resource as
  NRHP-eligible, the Center CRM will consult with the SHPO and Center Consulting Parties on
  the need for further investigation, such as archaeological testing and/or data recovery.
  Thereafter, the Center CRM shall respond as directed in the Center ICRMP SOP.
- 1684

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

NASA shall make all reasonable efforts to avoid disturbing gravesites, including those
containing Native American human remains and associated funerary artifacts. If previously
unidentified human remains are discovered during ground disturbing activities, NASA shall
respond as directed in the Center ICRMP SOP and the ACHP Policy Statement on Burial Sites,
Human Remains, and Funerary Objects (March 1, 2023).

## 1691 XVIII. EMERGENCY SITUATIONS

1692

1697

1698

1706

1707

1719

1720

1721 1722

1723

1724

1726 1727

1690

Should an Emergency Situation occur which represents an imminent threat to public health or
safety, or creates a hazardous condition, NASA shall respond to the emergency or hazardous
condition in the following manner.

## A. Exempted Activities

1699In the event that NASA must undertake emergency response activities that are covered1700under the Programmatic Allowances, as applied by the Center CRM, then NASA shall1701proceed with those activities without further action under the NPA, notwithstanding1702inadvertent discoveries (Stipulation XVII – Inadvertent Discoveries). Any cumulative or1703adverse effects that may occur as a result of these activities will be mitigated through1704Programmatic Mitigation per Stipulation XI.A.

## B. Non-Exempted Activities

1708 Upon notification, the Center CRM shall immediately notify the SHPO and Center 1709 Consulting Parties of the Emergency Situation and the measures NASA has taken to 1710 respond to the Emergency Situation. Should the SHPO or Center Consulting Parties 1711 desire to provide technical assistance to NASA, they shall respond to the Center CRM 1712 accordingly within seven calendar days from notification, if the nature of the emergency 1713 or hazardous condition allows for such coordination. If NASA, the SHPO, or a Center 1714 Consulting Tribe determine that NASA's response to the Emergency Situation will 1715 adversely affect a Category 1 Asset, historic properties in a Heritage Zone, or a NRHPeligible or potentially eligible archaeological site or Tribal cultural resources, then the 1716 1717 Center CRM shall proceed with mitigation under the applicable stipulation: 1718

1. For activities where the APE is entirely outside of a Heritage Zone, proceed to Stipulation VII.D; or

2. For activities where any part of the APE includes a Heritage Zone, proceed to Stipulation VIII.D or IX.D.

## 1725 XIX. ADOPTABILITY

A. Center Adoption After NPA Execution

Should a NASA Center elect to opt in to the NPA—i.e., become a Participating Center—
the Center CRM shall notify the FPO in writing, copying the SHPO and Center
Consulting Parties, and shall take steps as appropriate to have the necessary
management tools in place to enable its compliance with the NPA as a Participating

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

- 1733 Center. The FPO shall be responsible for ensuring that the following actions are carried out by the Center CRM. 1734 1735 1736 1. Heritage Zones 1737 1738 The Center CRM shall consult with the FPO, SHPO, and Center Consulting 1739 Parties to determine if one or more Heritage Zone(s) is present at the Center, 1740 and if so, to define the boundaries of the Heritage Zone(s). 1741 1742 2. Asset List 1743 1744 The Center CRM will use the Asset Categorization procedures in Appendix J -1745 Asset Categorization to prepare an Asset List consistent with Stipulation IV.A.4 (Asset Lists), and shall submit the Asset List to the SHPO, copying Center 1746 1747 Consulting Parties, for review. 1748 1749 3. Archaeology Management Plan 1750 1751 Within one year of its entry into the NPA as a Participating Center, the Center CRM will complete the assessment of completed archaeological studies 1752 1753 consistent with Stipulation IV.B.2 (Assessment of Completed Archaeological 1754 Studies). Within three years of its entry into the NPA, the Center CRM will have in place an Archaeology Management Plan consistent with Stipulation IV.B.3 1755 1756 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan). 1757 Within one year, NASA will update the Digital Map with information for the Participating 1758 1759 Center comparable to that developed for other Participating Centers under Stipulation XI.A (Programmatic Mitigation). 1760 1761 1762 The FPO shall be responsible for revising Appendix H – Participating Centers to reflect 1763 the addition of a Participating Center in coordination with ACHP and NCSHPO. 1764 1765 В. **Federal Agencies** 1766 1767 In the event that a federal agency other than NASA is executing an undertaking that 1768 impacts NASA-owned or -controlled real property, such federal agency may become a 1769 Signatory to this NPA as a means of satisfying its Section 106 compliance 1770 responsibilities. To become a Signatory to this NPA, the Agency Official must provide written notice to the Signatories that the agency agrees to the terms of the NPA, 1771 1772 specifying the extent of the agency's intent to participate in the NPA, and identifying the 1773 lead federal agency for the undertaking. Additionally, the agency must identify the personnel responsible for implementing the NPA requirements for the undertaking, and 1774 that personnel must complete the NPA training and receive a certification per Stipulation 1775 1776 XV (Training). The participation of the agency is subject to approval by the Signatories, who must respond to the written notice within 30 calendar days or the approval will be 1777
- 1778 1779

considered implicit.

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

## 1781 XX. ANTI-DEFICIENCY ACT

1782

NASA's future efforts to execute requirements arising from the stipulations of the NPA are
subject to the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act. If compliance with the Anti-Deficiency Act
alters or impairs NASA's ability to implement the stipulations of the NPA, NASA shall consult in
accordance with the amendment and termination procedures found in Stipulation XXIV
(Amendments) and Stipulation XXV (Termination) of this Agreement. No provision of the NPA
shall be interpreted to require obligation or payment of funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency
Act. Title 31 U.S.C. Part 1341.

1790

## 1791 XXI. HANDLING OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION

1792

In the performance of this Agreement, the non-NASA Parties may have access to U.S.
Government data, the use and dissemination of which must be consistent with federal
government laws, regulations, directives, and policies on handling of sensitive data, including.

but not limited to NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) 2810.7 Controlled Unclassified

1797 Information, and NPR 2190.1 NASA Export Control Program.

1798

1799 To the extent authorized by federal law (including Section 304 of the NHPA; 36 CFR § 1800 800.11(c)(1); 54 U.S.C. § 307103; Section 9 of the Archaeological Resources Protection Act; 16 U.S.C. § 470hh); and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552), NASA, the ACHP, and the 1801 1802 NCSHPO shall withhold from public disclosure information about the nature or location of 1803 cultural resources, including archaeological resources, historic properties, and resources of 1804 religious and cultural significance to Indian Tribes. NASA shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the ACHP's regulations (at 36 CFR § 800.11(c)(1)) as necessary to withhold 1805 1806 information covered by Section 304 of the NHPA.

1807

## 1808 XXII. DURATION

1809

This Agreement shall remain in full force and effect for 15 years after the date of the last
Signatory's signature. During the 14<sup>th</sup> year of the NPA's duration, the FPO shall convene a
meeting of the Signatories to consult on an extension of this Agreement. Should the Signatories
agree to extend the duration of the NPA for an additional period, they shall do so per Stipulation
XXIV (Amendments).

## 1816 XXIII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1817
1818 Should any Signatory to the NPA object at any time to any actions proposed or the manner in
1819 which the terms of the NPA are implemented, the NASA Agency Official shall consult with such
1820 party to resolve the objection. If the Agency Official determines that such objection cannot be
1821 resolved, the Agency Official will:

1822

1823A.Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute, including NASA's proposed1824resolution, to the ACHP. The ACHP shall provide the Agency Official with its advice on1825the resolution of the objection within 30 days of receiving adequate documentation. Prior1826to reaching a final decision on the dispute, the Agency Official shall prepare a written1827response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding the dispute1828from the ACHP, Signatories and concurring parties, and provide them with a copy of this1829written response. NASA will then proceed according to its final decision.

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

B. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within the 30-day
time period, the Agency Official may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed
accordingly. Prior to reaching such a final decision, the Agency Official shall prepare a
written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from
the Signatories and concurring parties and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of
such written response.

1837 C. NASA's responsibility to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of the 1838 NPA that are not the subject of the dispute remain unchanged.

## 1840 XXIV. AMENDMENTS

1839

1854

1841
1842 The NPA may be amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all Signatories.
1843 No less than 30 days prior to the execution of the amendment, the FPO shall notify the NPA
1844 Consulting Parties and Center Consulting Parties of the proposed amendment. The amendment
1845 will be effective on the date a copy signed by all of the Signatories is filed with the ACHP, and
1846 the ACHP will distribute a fully executed copy to the Signatories, NPA Consulting Parties, and
1847 Center Consulting Parties.

- 1849 Revisions to NPA appendices will not require an amendment to the NPA, and may be revised
  1850 per the following:
  1851
- 1852A.Appendices A through G may be revised unilaterally by NASA and will go into<br/>effect when NASA files the revised appendix with the ACHP.
- 1855B.Appendices H through L must be revised by consent of the Signatories. If the1856Signatories unanimously agree to revise any of Appendices F through L, the revised1857appendix will go into effect when NASA files the revision with the ACHP.1858
- 1859 C. Appendices M and N may be revised at the Center level, with notification to the
  1860 FPO. If the Center CRM and the SHPO agree to revise Appendices M or N, the revised
  1861 appendix will go into effect when this agreement is documented in writing.
  1862

## 1863 XXV. TERMINATION

1864
1865 If any Signatory to the NPA determines that the terms will not or cannot be carried out, that
1866 party shall immediately consult with the other Signatories to attempt to develop an amendment
1867 per Stipulation XXIV (Amendments). If within 90 calendar days (or another time period agreed to
1868 by all Signatories) an amendment cannot be reached, any Signatory may terminate the NPA
1869 upon written notification to the other Signatories. If the NPA is terminated, NASA shall comply
1870 with the provisions of 36 CFR Part 800, Subpart B, for all Projects that have not already begun
1871 and their effects resolved.

1872

1873 Execution of the NPA by NASA, the ACHP, and the NCSHPO, and implementation of its terms
1874 evidence that NASA has taken into account the effects of the undertakings to which the NPA
1875 applies on historic properties and afforded the ACHP an opportunity to comment.

- 1876
- 1877

- 1878 **APPENDIX A Acronyms**
- 1879 APPENDIX B NASA Centers
- 1880 APPENDIX C NASA FCCS Class Codes
- 1881 APPENDIX D NHL PA
- 1882 APPENDIX E Definitions
- 1883 APPENDIX F Indian Tribes Invited to Consult on the NPA
- 1884 APPENDIX G NPA Consulting Parties
- 1885 APPENDIX H Participating Centers
- 1886 APPENDIX I Programmatic Allowances
- 1887 APPENDIX J Asset Categorization
- 1888 APPENDIX K Individual Project Review Flow Charts
- 1889 APPENDIX L NASA Center Annual Report Outline
- 1890 APPENDIX M Heritage Zones
- 1891 APPENDIX N Center Asset List
- 1892
- 1893

1894 1895 1896 1897	SIGNATORIES:
1898 1899	NASA
1900	Date
1901	[insert Agency Official name and title]
1902 1903	
1904	
1905	
1906	ACHP
1907 1908	Date [insert name and title]
1909	
1910	
1911	
1912 1913	NCSHPO
1913	Date
1915	[insert name and title]
1916	
1917	

1918 1919		APPENDIX A – Acronyms
1919	ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
1921	CCG	Criteria Consideration G
1922	CDF	Character-Defining Feature
1923	CMP	Center Master Plan
1924	CoF	Construction of Facilities
1925	CRM	Cultural Resources Manager
1926	EMD	Environmental Management Division
1927	FCCS	Facility Classification Coding System
1928	FPM	Facilities Program/Project Manager
1929	FPO	Federal Preservation Officer
1930	FRED	Facilities and Real Estate Division
1931	G2G	Gate-to-Gate Survey
1932	HABS	Historic American Buildings Survey
1933	HAER	Historic American Engineering Record
1934	HQ	Headquarters
1935	HTSF	Highly Technical or Scientific Facility
1936	ICRMP	Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan
1937	LOD	Limit of Disturbance
1938	MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
1939	MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
1940	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
1941	NATHPO	National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers
1942	NCSHPO	National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers
1943	NETS	NASA Environmental Tracking System
1944	NHL	National Historic Landmark
1945	NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
1946	NPA	Nationwide Programmatic Agreement
1947	NPD	NASA Procedural Directive
1948	NPR	NASA Procedural Requirement
1949	NPS	National Park Service
1950	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
1951	NTHP	National Trust for Historic Preservation
1952	O&M	Operations and Maintenance
1953	OSI	Office of Strategic Infrastructure
1954	RPMS	Real Property Management System
1955	RSF	Resource Significance Framework
1956	SAA	Space Act Agreement

1957	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
1958	TDRSS	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1959 1960

## **APPENDIX B – NASA Centers**

Acronym	Name	Location
ARC	Ames Research Center	California
AFRC	Armstrong Flight Research Center	California
ATF	Armstrong Test Facility (component facility of GRC)	Ohio
	Columbia Scientific Balloon Research Facility (component facility of GSFC)	Texas
	Ft. Davis Mobile Laser Site (component facility of GSFC)	Texas
	Ft. Sumner (component facility of GSFC)	New Mexico
GRC	Glenn Research Center	Ohio
	Goddard Institute for Space Studies (component facility of GSFC)	New York
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center	Maryland
GDSCC	Goldstone Deep Space Communication Complex (component facility of JPL)	California
	Hawaii Maui Mobile Laser Site (component facility of GSFC)	Hawaii
	Hawaii Spaceflight Tracking/Data Network Stations (component facility of GSFC)	Hawaii
	Infrared Telescope Facility Mauna Kea (component facility of GSFC)	Hawaii
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory	California
JSC	Johnson Space Center	Texas
KSC	Kennedy Space Center	Florida
LaRC	Langley Research Center	Virginia
MSFC	Marshall Space Flight Center	Alabama
MAF	Michoud Assembly Facility (component facility of MSFC)	Louisiana
	Monument Peak Mobile Laser Site (component facility of GSFC)	California
	NASA LCT at Winer Observatory (component facility of GSFC)	Arizona
	NPS Coquina Beach (component facility of GSFC)	North Carolina
	Poker Flats Research Range (component facility of GSFC)	Alaska
	Ponce de Leon Spaceflight Tracking/Data Network Site (component facility of GSFC)	Florida
	RFD at Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge (component facility of GSFC)	Virginia
SSFL	Santa Susana Field Laboratory (component facility of MSFC)	California
	Software Independent Verification and Validation Facility (component facility of GSFC)	West Virginia
SSC	Stennis Space Center	Mississippi
	Table Mountain Observatory (component facility of JPL)	California

Acronym	Name	Location
TDRSS-1	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS)-1 (component facility for GSFC)	New Mexico
TDRSS-2	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS)-1 (component facility for GSFC)	New Mexico
WFF	Wallops Flight Facility (component facility of GSFC)	Virginia
WSTF	White Sands Test Facility (component facility of JSC)	New Mexico
	Winslow Arizona Downrange Station (component facility of GSFC)	Arizona
WSC	White Sands Complex (component facility of WFF, located within WSTF)	New Mexico

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1963 1964

## APPENDIX C – NASA FCCS Class Codes

NASA's Facility Classification Coding System (FCCS) is used by the Facilities and Real Estate
Division (FRED) to categorize real property assets in the Real Property Management System
(RPMS). Based on numerical codes, the FCCS serves as the framework for identifying,
categorizing, and analyzing the agency's inventory of facilities around the world, and consists of

- 1969 Facility Class (level 1), Category Group (level 2), Basic Category (level 3), and NASA Code.
- 1970 Ten Facility Classes are subdivided into 42 Category Groups, 79 Basic Categories, and 321
- 1971 NASA Codes that together provide a great deal of specificity on Asset use.

Facility Class	Description
1	Operational, including Tracking and Data Acquisition and Training
2	Maintenance and Production
3	Research, Development, and Test
4	Supply
5	Hospital & Medical
6	Administrative
7	Housing and Community
8	Utility and Ground Improvements
9	Land
10	Leasehold Improvements

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

1973	
1974	
1975	[Reserved]

## APPENDIX D – NHL PA

APPENDIX D, PAGE 1

1976 1977		APPENDIX E – Definitions
1978 1979	•	" <u>NPA Consulting Parties</u> " are the consulting parties to the NPA and include the ACHP, NCSHPO, the NTHP, and the federally recognized Tribes that elected to participate.
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	•	" <u>Assets</u> " are buildings and structures that are NASA-owned real property located within the United States, as recorded in RPMS in Facility Classes 1 through 8, and excluding Facility Class 9 (land) and Facility Class 10 (leasehold improvements). Assets do not include archaeological resources. <i>See Appendix C – NASA FCCS Class Codes</i> . Assets also include NASA-owned personal property that has been listed in the NRHP, or formally evaluated and found to be eligible for listing in the NRHP, with NASA and SHPO concurrence.
1986 1987 1988	•	" <u>Centers</u> " shall be understood to mean NASA's primary Centers, as well as component facilities and technical and service support centers in the U.S., thus constituting all NASA-owned real property in the U.S. See Appendix B – NASA Centers.
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993	•	" <u>Center Consulting Parties</u> " are consulting parties with a demonstrated interest in historic properties at a Participating Center, with which NASA shall consult under the applicable terms of NPA. Center Consulting Parties shall include the respective SHPO(s) for the state in which the Center is located, Tribe(s), representatives of local governments, and other parties consistent with 36 CFR § 800.2.
1994 1995	•	" <u>Center Consulting Tribes</u> " are the Tribes with a demonstrated interest in historic and cultural resources at a Participating Center, especially as it comes to ground disturbance.
1996 1997 1998	•	" <u>Center-wide programmatic agreement</u> " is a Section 106 agreement document that governs all undertakings at a Center. Programmatic agreements that apply to a subset of Assets shall not constitute a Center-wide programmatic agreement.
1999 2000	•	" <u>Character-Defining Features (CDF)</u> " are those aspects—materially and visually—that convey the significance of a resource, including both workmanship and materials.
2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	•	" <u>Construction of Facilities (CoF) Project Life Cycle</u> " is a concept used by NASA CoF to describe all phases of project execution, including the project formulation phases (including planning and development), final design, implementation (including construction, commissioning, and activation), maintenance and operation, decommissioning, and disposal/demolition. Additional information on this concept is found in NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) 8820.2H <i>Facility Project Requirements</i> .
2007 2008 2009	•	" <u>Emergency Situation</u> " shall refer to a situation that represents an imminent threat to public health or safety, or creates a hazardous condition. Emergency Situations shall also include formal declarations of emergency by government officials at the federal, state, or local level.
2010 2011	•	" <u>Envelope</u> " refers to all Asset components that separate the interior from the exterior, including exterior walls, foundations, roof, windows, and doors.
2012 2013 2014 2015	•	"Facility Classification Coding System (FCCS)" is a hierarchical scheme, represented by numerical codes, of real property types and functions that serves as the framework for identifying, categorizing, and analyzing NASA's inventory of land and facilities. See Appendix C – NASA FCCS Class Codes.
2016	•	"Footprint" is the ground surface occupied by an Asset.
2017 2018	•	" <u>Heritage Zone</u> " is a management overlay that has been established by the NPA to acknowledge and provide a more robust level of Section 106 consideration for discrete

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

- 2019areas that exhibit a shared design, architectural style, or cohesive theme that is distinct from2020the predominant HTSF utilitarian character of NASA Centers. See Appendix M Heritage2021Zones.
- <u>"Historical Integrity</u>" is the ability of an Asset to convey the historical associations or 2023 attributes for which it is significant.
- "<u>HTSF</u>" means highly technical or scientific facility as described in 1991 ACHP publication
   Balancing Historic Preservation Needs with the Operations of Highly Technical or Scientific
   Facilities.
- <u>"In-kind</u>" means closely matching the design, color, surface texture, reflectivity, finish, details, and other qualities of the material or element to be replaced.
- <u>"Limit of Disturbance (LOD)</u>" is the area, both horizontally and vertically, within which Project activities may physically disturb earth.
- 2031 "Maintenance" is the recurring day-to-day work required to preserve facilities (buildings, • 2032 structures, grounds, utility systems, and collateral equipment) in such condition that they may be used for their designated purpose over an intended service life. Maintenance 2033 2034 minimizes or corrects wear and tear and, thereby, forestalls major repairs. Facilities 2035 maintenance includes preventive maintenance, predictive testing and inspection, grounds 2036 care, programmed maintenance, repair, trouble calls, replacement of obsolete items, and service requests. Facilities maintenance does not include new work, work on noncollateral 2037 2038 equipment, or maintenance performed in the Central Plant by plant operations personnel. 2039 See NASA Procedural Directive (NPD) 8831.1E Maintenance and Operations of Institutional 2040 and Program Facilities and Related Equipment.
- <u>\* Management Categories</u>" are a management tool used in the NPA that categorizes NASA
   Assets into three different buckets groupings on their historical significance and physical
   integrity.
- "<u>NASA</u>" shall be understood to mean the agency currently known as NASA as well as its predecessor agency, the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA).
- "<u>NASA Environmental Tracking System (NETS)</u>" is a database maintained by Center CRMs and is the primary Asset database for NASA cultural resources.
- "<u>Participating Centers</u>" shall mean NASA Centers to whom the NPA applies—namely those
   that do not have an active Center-wide programmatic agreement. See Appendix H –
   Participating Centers.
- "<u>Project</u>" shall mean "undertaking" as defined in 36 CFR Part 800.
- "<u>Real Property Management System (RPMS)</u>" is NASA's comprehensive inventory of NASA assets maintained by the Office of Strategic Infrastructure's (OSI) Facilities and Real Estate Division (FRED).
- "<u>Repair</u>" is facility work required to restore a facility or component to a condition substantially equivalent to its originally intended and designed capacity, efficiency, or capability. It includes the substantially equivalent replacements of utility systems and collateral equipment necessitated by incipient or actual breakdown. It includes restoration of function, usually after failure. See NPD 8831.1E *Maintenance and Operations of Institutional and Program Facilities and Related Equipment*.
- 2061 APPEN

APPENDIX F – Indian Tribes Invited to Consult on the NPA

# Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

Absentee-Shawnee Tribe	Muscogee (Creek) Nation of Oklahoma
	Nansemond Indian Tribal Association
Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa	Nansemond Indian Tribal Association
Bay Mills Indian Community of Michigan	Navajo Nation
Catawba Indian Nation	Nottawaseppi Huron Band of Potawatomi
Cayuga Nation of Indians	Ohkay Owingeh (San Juan) Pueblo
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe	Oneida Nation of Wisconsin
Chickahominy Indian Nation	Onondaga Nation
Chickahominy Indians Eastern Division	Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma
Chippewa-Cree Business Committee	Pamunkey Indian Nation
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma	Poarch Band of Creek Indians
Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians
Colorado River Indian Tribes	Prairie Band of Potawatomi
Death Valley Timbi-Sha Shoshone Band of California	Rappahannock Nation
Delaware Nation	Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa
Delaware Tribe of Indians	Red Lake Band of Chippewa
Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska
Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Sac & Fox Nation, Oklahoma
Forest County Potawatomi Community of Wisconsin	Sac & Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa
Grand Portage Band of Chippewa	Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan
Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa & Chippewa Indians of Michigan	Sandia Pueblo
Hannahville Indian Community of Michigan	Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa
Isleta Pueblo	Seminole Nation of Oklahoma
Jena Band of Choctaw Indians	Seminole Tribe of Florida
Keweenaw Bay Indian Community	Seneca Nation of Indians
Kickapoo Traditional Tribe of Texas	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	Shawnee Tribe
Kickapoo Tribe of Oklahoma	Sokaogon Chippewa Band of Lake Superior Chippewa
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	St. Regis Mohawk Tribe
Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	The Hopi Tribe
Laguna Pueblo	Tonawanda Band of Seneca Nation

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

Little River Band of Ottawa	Tule River Indian Tribe
Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa	Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana
Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa
Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	Tuscarora Nation
Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Oklahoma
Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe	Upper Mattaponi Indian Nation
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe (Executive Committee)	White Earth Reservation Business Committee
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	Wyandotte Nation
Monacan Indian Nation	Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo

2064 2065	<b>APPENDIX G – NPA Consulting Parties</b>
2066	National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (NATHPO)
2067 2068	National Trust for Historic Preservation (NTHP)

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

2069 2070

# **APPENDIX H – Participating Centers**

Acronym	Name	Location
ARC	Ames Research Center	California
AFRC	Armstrong Flight Research Center	California
ATF	Armstrong Test Facility (component facility of GRC)	Ohio
GRC	Glenn Research Center	Ohio
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center	Maryland
GDSCC	Goldstone Deep Space Communication Complex (component facility of JPL)	California
	Hawaii Spaceflight Tracking/Data Network Stations (component facility of GSFC)	Hawaii
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory	California
JSC	Johnson Space Center	Texas
KSC	Kennedy Space Center	Florida
MAF	Michoud Assembly Facility (component facility of MSFC)	Louisiana
SSC	Stennis Space Center	Mississippi
	Table Mountain Observatory (component facility of JPL)	California
TDRSS-1	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS)-1 (component facility fo GSFC)	New Mexico
TDRSS-2	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS)-1 (component facility fo GSFC)	New Mexico
WFF	Wallops Flight Facility (component facility of GSFC)	Virginia
WSTF	White Sands Test Facility (component facility of JSC)	New Mexico
wsc	White Sands Complex (component facility of WFF, located within WSTF)	New Mexico

2071

2072

2073 At the execution of this NPA, the following Center Programmatic Agreements remained active:

2074

Name	Execution	Scope	Expiration
NHL PA	1989	Specified NHLs	N/A
LaRC PA	2010; extended 2019	Facilities, infrastructure, and sites at LaRC; and Archaeological sites	2024
MSFC PA	2010; extended 2020	NASA buildings and structures, listed in or eligible for listing in the NRHP	2030

2077 2078	APPENDIX I – Programmatic Allowances
2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085	The NASA CRM shall evaluate the undertaking to determine if it qualifies for exclusion. NASA may undertake these activities without Project-specific consultation. The allowances reflect the HTSF character and function of NASA Centers, and the need to modify HTSF to keep such Assets in active use. If there are any adverse effects from the allowances, they will be minimal, and will be resolved through Stipulation XI.A (Programmatic Mitigation) prepared pursuant to this NPA.
2086	Programmatic Allowances Outside Heritage Zones
2087 2088 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106	<ul> <li>For all Asset categories:         <ul> <li>Interior and exterior repair/modification when any one of the following conditions applies:                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>
2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125	<ul> <li>For Category 2 Assets (in addition to the above): <ul> <li>Demolition and new construction, when any one of the following conditions applies: <ul> <li>All work is within the existing footprint; or</li> <li>Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; or</li> <li>No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan).</li> </ul> </li> <li>For Category 3 Assets (in addition to the above): <ul> <li>Demolition and new construction, when any one of the following conditions applies: <ul> <li>All work is within the existing footprint; or</li> <li>All work is within the existing footprint; or</li> <li>All work is within the existing footprint; or</li> <li>Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; or</li> <li>No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management Plan).</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>

#### 2127 • Standalone New Construction, when either of the following apply: Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; or 2128 0 2129 No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological Management Plan 0 prepared under Stipulation III.B.3 (Development of Archaeology Management 2130 2131 Plan). 2132 2133 **Programmatic Allowances Inside Heritage Zones** 2134 2135 For all Asset categories: • 2136 Routine repair and maintenance when any one of the following conditions 0 2137 applies: 2138 All work is within existing footprint; or Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; and/or 2139 No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological Management 2140 Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology 2141 2142 Management Plan). 2143 Interior modifications 0 2144 Exterior modifications within existing footprint if in-kind or conforming to SHPO-0 approved design guidelines 2145 2146 Mothballing 0 • Transfer to federal agency 2147 o Outgrants where use-agreement does not allow for exterior modification (i.e., use 2148 2149 of interior spaces) 2150 • Any other ground disturbance (not previously mentioned), where there is no 2151 above ground component, and when any one of the following conditions applies: 2152 All work is within Asset footprint; or 2153 • Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; or No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological Management 2154 Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology 2155 Management Plan). 2156 2157 2158 For Category 3 Assets (in addition to the above): Demolition, when any one of the following conditions applies: 2159 0 2160 All work is within Asset footprint; or Area has been surveyed with no eligible archaeological resources; or 2161 No archaeological survey is required per Archaeological Management 2162 Plan prepared under Stipulation IV.B.3 (Development of Archaeology 2163 Management Plan). 2164

#### NEMCON TASK ORDER 80KSC020D0023



Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

**APPENDIX K – Individual Project Review Flow Charts** 



2173 2174

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023





#### Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

2178 Stipulation VIII. Individual Project Review - Exterior Modification and New Construction 2179 Inside Heritage Zones 2180 Exterior Modification and New Construction Inside Heritage Zones Make a Determination of Effects (Stipulation VIII.A) Will the Project adversely affect: A Category 1 Asset; or A Category 1 Asset; or A NRHP-eligible or potentially eligible archaeological site or Tribal cultural resource? Consider Yes Alternatives No Send Notification Letter (Stipulations VIII.B and X.A) STOP Consulting Party Review 30 Days Project may No proceed (Stipulation VIII.C) Will the Project have adverse effects? Yes No Consideration of Alternatives (Stipulation VIII.D) Send Notification Letter Addendum (Stipulation VIII.D.2) Yes Will the Project be revised to avoid adverse effects? Was an objection received? Yes No **Complete Mitigation Measures** Heritage Zone Mitigation Plan (Stipulation XI.B); or Archaeology Mitigation Plan (Stipulation XI.D) 2181

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

2182 Stipulation IX. Individual Project Review – All Other Projects Inside Heritage Zones 2183



Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

**APPENDIX L – NASA Center Annual Report Outline** 

2185 2186 2187 [Reserved]

2188 2189	APPENDIX M – Heritage Zones			
2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199	A Heritage Zone is a management overlay that has been established by the NPA to acknowledge and provide a more robust level of Section 106 consideration for discrete areas that exhibit a shared design, architectural style, or cohesive theme that is distinct from the predominant HTSF utilitarian character of NASA Centers. Heritage Zones may be found within the administrative core of a NASA Center, or they may be areas whose construction predates NASA. A Heritage Zone may be located within a NRHP-listed or -eligible historic district significant under Criterion C for architecture, landscape architecture, or planning. NASA has determined that undertakings within Heritage Zones warrant greater consideration due to a higher potential for visual, indirect, or cumulative adverse effects.			
2200 2201	A Heritage Zone will possess all or some of the following characteristics:			
2202 2203 2204	<ul> <li>It conveys a distinct sense of time and place through intact historic setting, design, and feeling;</li> </ul>			
2205 2206 2207	<ul> <li>It has a cohesive design, such as use of similar materials, forms, or plans, and/or represents a collective design intent;</li> </ul>			
2208 2209	• It is comprised of built resources, landscape, open space, and viewsheds; and			
2210 2211 2212	<ul> <li>It is recognized as having symbolic importance and/or broad intangible value to NASA or the public.</li> </ul>			
2212	[Reserved for Maps of Heritage Zones]			

Programmatic Agreement, Draft v6b – December 21, 2023

2214 2215 2216 [Reserved]

## **APPENDIX N – Center Asset List**

APPENDIX N, PAGE 1