

# **PROPOSAL GUIDELINES**

NASA Spacesuit User Interface Technologies for Students

September 6, 2022



National Aeronautics and Space Administration **Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center** Houston, TX 77058

#### **Team Name**

Optional Team Logo

### **Academic Institution Name**

Address

### **Team Contact**

Student Name Email Address Phone Number

### **Team Members**

(Please list ALL team members)
Team Member Name --- Role
Email Address --- Academic Year / Academic Major
Team Member Name --- Role
Email Address --- Academic Year / Academic Major
Team Member Name --- Role
Email Address --- Academic Year / Academic Major
Team Member Name --- Role
Email Address --- Academic Year / Academic Major
Team Member Name --- Role
Email Address --- Academic Year / Academic Major

### **Faculty Advisor**

Name Email Address Phone Number

Faculty Advisor Signature	Date	

## **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	4
2. Eligibility	4
3. Letter of Intent	5
4. Proposal Requirements	5
5. Technical Section	6
a. Abstract	6
b. Software and Hardware Design Description	6
c. Concept of Operations (CONOPS)	6
d. Human-in-the-loop (HITL) testing	7
e. Project Management	7
f. Technical References	7
6. Outreach Section	7
7. Administrative Section	9
a. Institutional Letter of Endorsement	9
b. Statement of Supervising Faculty	9
c. Statement of Rights of Use	9
d. Funding and Budget Statement	10
e. Hololens2 Loan Program	11
f. Proposal Scoring Method	11

(Note: **The Technical Section is limited to 10 pages**. Include enough pictures within those 10 pages to describe your tool. If you want to submit many pictures, use an Appendix. Other sections and appendices **will not count against your 10-page limit.**)

### 1. Introduction

The Extravehicular Activity and Human Surface Mobility Program and the Office of STEM Engagement at NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston are proud to host another year of the NASA Spacesuit User Interface Technologies for Students (NASA SUITS) Design Challenge. We will conduct in-person device testing onsite at Johnson from May 18-23, 2023.

This document serves as a resource and reference to help all potential NASA SUITS participants with the requirements to enter and succeed. Included are important steps to the challenge and required components of an official proposal. Please also review the eligibility requirements for NASA SUITS at our website <a href="https://go.nasa.gov/nasasuits">https://go.nasa.gov/nasasuits</a>.

### 2. Eligibility

Each prospective onsite team member must be enrolled as an undergraduate or graduate student or faculty member at an accredited U.S. institution of higher learning (community college, military academy, technical college, or university) at the time the proposal is submitted.

- Team members must be 18 or older before arrival in Houston.
- To attend onsite testing activities, participants must be U.S. citizens or legal permanent residents.
- All participants must attend the Orientation and Virtual Design Review.
- Primary team members may only participate with one team in the same competition.
- Experiments must be organized, designed, and operated by student team members.
- Each team must be accompanied by an adult age 21 or older to serving as the faculty advisor.
- Enrollment verification may be requested for team members.
- NASA interns involved in the design of a NASA SUITS challenge may not participate as a member of a team in that same cycle of the NASA SUITS challenge; however, they may serve as a team advisor.

- Support team members may be comprised of university students of any level, faculty members, professional consultants, etc.
- If COVID-19 protocols are implemented at Johnson all participants must comply with those protocols to participate.

### 3. Letter of Intent

**Submit a letter of intent by September 29, 2022,** indicating the team's intention to submit a written proposal. The optional letter of intent should follow the format below and be written in the body of an email. Send the email directly to <a href="NASA-SUITS@mail.nasa.gov">NASA-SUITS@mail.nasa.gov</a>.

- Provide team contact information this should be a student team member
   a. Sample: Doe, John (DoeJ@institution.edu) Sophomore / Software Engr
- Provide the academic institution (community college, military academy, technical college, or university) your team represents. Your team should designate a lead institution even if members come from multiple institutions.
- State: "NASA SUITS Challenge Letter of Intent" in the subject line and body.

### 4. Proposal Requirements

- Each team must submit one electronic copy of an original proposal on the <u>NASA</u>
   <u>SUITS engagement opening</u> on the NASA STEM Gateway by November 1,
   2022.
- Each proposal must be submitted in a three-section format containing the required sections in the following order: Technical, Outreach Plan, and Administrative.
- Sections or components shall not be skipped/omitted under any circumstance.
- The Technical section shall not exceed 10 pages.
- The report body must use 12-point font.
- All information on the title page must be complete.
- Figures and tables must be labeled and referenced within the text.

### 5. Technical Section

The technical section must cover the design the team is proposing. This section must include any information that a technical reviewer will find informative or instructive in understanding the aims and goals of the design. Evaluators ranking the proposal for its scientific merit will read only this section, so teams should address all relevant factors as listed below.

#### a. Abstract

The abstract is a brief (up to 300 words) summary that touches upon the elements of the proposed prototype design and how they relate to the requirements and extravehicular activity (EVA) scenario in the Mission Description. Include any planned testing of the design and any proposed hardware or peripheral devices your team would bring to onsite testing.

### b. Software and Hardware Design Description

Include a detailed description of the proposed AR application and how you plan to tackle each aspect of the design challenge keeping in mind the context of the EVA scenario as stated in the Mission Description. Write in such a way a practicing engineer or scientist can understand the design of the user interface (UI). Present goals along with a description of the expected key components of the product (e.g., system architecture plan, hardware concepts, network diagrams etc.). Show conceptual UI design ideas (portrayed via wire frames, visuals, etc.) for navigation, telemetry, rover controls, geology, and EVA task instructions. Also show any peripheral device mockups (e.g., external control methods, lighting methods etc.) to help the technical reviewers understand the full scope of the proposed product. Be sure to highlight any unique solutions to the listed requirements your team is considering.

### c. Concept of Operations (CONOPS)

Describe the overall high-level concept of how the UI will meet the expectations and requirements. Describe the system from an operational perspective (the viewpoint of the astronaut) to help facilitate an understanding of the system goals. Address how the application will assist the design evaluator (or astronaut) in each of the aspects of the EVA scenario during testing. A flow chart of how your design operates throughout the

mission may be a useful visual depiction. See the Mission Description document for more details on this section.

### d. Human-in-the-loop (HITL) testing

Discuss any pilot, user experience, human-in-the-loop, or human factors studies planned. A written HITL test plan should include a testing schedule (including dates and times of planned testing), test protocol, possible metrics/measures, feasible subject pools, expected population/demographics of test subjects, and all planned safety measures to be used while conducting HITL tests. Include how the HITL test will inform your team's development plan as they prepare for the analog EVA scenario, for example, planning for night/low-lighting testing, outdoor testing, and network/telemetry connection testing. A good HITL test plan will build towards a full test of the EVA scenario stated in the Mission Description before test week to identify any challenges ahead of the final test on-site.

### e. Project Management

Provide an outline of the team's development plans with any internal key milestones. Use a Gantt or similar chart. If following an Agile software development plan, outline your scrum schedule with a proposed feature development and testing plan. Describe how progress will be tracked to ensure that the requirements of the EVA scenario in the Mission Description are met ahead of test week. Teams are strongly encouraged to plan time throughout their development period to test their devices in conditions close to that of the described EVA scenario before traveling to Johnson for test week.

### f. Technical References

Cite referenced works in text and in a "References" section using formatting appropriate in a technical paper.

### 6. Outreach Section

The outreach section of the proposal includes the team's plan for disseminating the results of their experiment/experience to the public. Information contained in this section should focus on the outreach activities the team intends to implement and the target

audience to address. The outreach plans must be original to the team. **Do not post** original proposal documents on any social media platforms or channels.

A plan is an organized way to achieve a specific objective. Random activities, even good random activities, do not constitute a plan. An outreach plan should have two major components:

- The plan a description of the team's objectives and goals; what activities are
  planned for the upcoming year; where and when the activities will take place;
  what audience is targeted, etc.
- The **activities** what will the team do when they get there? What materials will they refer to? What are the main points that they will make?

For maximum point value, the plan should include the following:

- The team's objectives for each outreach activity.
- A description of the outreach audience (K-12 class or school groups, undergraduate research symposiums, university outreach to local schools, informal groups such as Boy/Girl Scouts, after school clubs, church groups).
- Specific plans for activities (strengthened by alignment to state or national standards will help a K-12 teacher, use of the 5E Model, or use of age/grade appropriate language during the activity). Leading an "Hour of Code" in a classroom is the optimal outreach activity.
- Letters or agreements from institutions who accept your invitation to address their group.
- A press and/or social media plan.
- A connection between curriculum/activity and NASA SUITS, a NASA Mission,
   Informatics and Subsystems team at Johnson, or the team's code.

One emphasis of the NASA Office of STEM Engagement is a commitment to diversity. Therefore, teams will be evaluated for inclusion of students attending <u>minority serving</u> <u>institutions</u> (MSI) as participants. These teams can be a sole MSI, or they can be a collaboration of institutions.

### 7. Administrative Section

#### a. Institutional Letter of Endorsement

This letter must be on the endorsing institution's letterhead and must come from the institution(s) president, dean of college, or department chair. It indicates the team's institution(s) has knowledge of the team's interest in participating in this activity and endorses the team's involvement. Failing to include a letter of endorsement from the institution(s) will result in a rejected proposal.

### b. Statement of Supervising Faculty

A statement of support from a faculty member indicating a willingness to supervise and work with the team during all stages of the activity. There will be no consideration for teams working without a faculty advisor. The faculty advisor must also sign off on the cover of the proposal as evidence he/she has seen the proposal and approves of the submission. The following statement should appear on an institution letterhead and include the signature of the faculty advisor:

As the faculty advisor for an experiment entitled "\_\_\_\_\_\_\_"

proposed by a team of higher education students from \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ institution,
I concur with the concepts and methods by which the students plan to conduct
this project. I will ensure the student team members complete all project
requirements and meet deadlines in a timely manner. I understand any default
by this team concerning any project requirements (including submission of final
report materials) could adversely affect selection opportunities of future teams
from their institution.

If your team is composed of students from more than one institution, submit the above from the lead institution. Additionally, supply a letter of support from a faculty member of each participating institution acknowledging they are aware of the participation of their student(s).

### c. Statement of Rights of Use

These statements grant NASA, acting on behalf of the U.S. Government, rights to use the team's technical data, including computer software, and design concept, in part or in entirety, for government purposes. NASA, acting on behalf of the U.S. Government, may designate for certain tasks under this engagement that software (including

documentation) developed for such certain designated tasks be released as "Open Source" (OS) software, as term is defined by the Open Source Definition promulgated by the Open Source Initiative on its website (see <a href="http://opensource.org/docs/osd">http://opensource.org/docs/osd</a>). These statements are not required. However, teams with a Statement of Rights of Use will receive greater consideration in the proposal selection. If choosing to include these statements, all team members and faculty advisors must sign. The statements read as follows:

As a team member for a proposal entitled " \_\_\_\_\_\_ " proposed by a team of higher education students from \_\_\_\_\_ institution, I will and hereby do grant the U.S. Government a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable license to use, reproduce, distribute (including distribution by transmission) to the public, perform publicly, prepare derivative works, and display publicly, any technical data contained in this proposal in whole or in part and in any manner for federal purposes and to have or permit others to do so for federal purposes only. Further, with respect to all computer software designated by NASA to be released as open source which is first produced or delivered under this proposal and subsequent collaboration, if selected, shall be delivered with unlimited and unrestricted rights so as to permit further distribution as open source. For purposes of defining the rights in such computer software, "computer software" shall include source codes, object codes, executables, ancillary files, and any and all documentation related to any computer program or similar set of instructions delivered in association with this collaboration. As a team member for a proposal entitled " proposed by a team of higher education students from \_\_\_\_\_ institution(s), I will and hereby do grant the U.S. Government a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States Government any invention described or made part of this proposal throughout the world.

### d. Funding and Budget Statement

This section should include a simple columnar layout showing expected expenditures associated with the proposed design such as materials, machining, operating, testing, shipping, etc. See Table 1 for an example. It is imperative teams anticipate all costs

involved and actively work to seek funding. List potential sources for funding and can include institutional grants, state Space Grant funds, corporate sponsors, etc.

Table 1 NASA SUITS Cost Example

<u>Items</u>	<u>Costs</u>
Flights	\$4,500.00
Hotel	\$2,000.00
Ground transportation	\$400.00
Operating	\$600.00
Miscellaneous	\$500.00
Total	\$8,000.00

### e. Hololens2 Loan Program

NASA SUITS has a limited number of Hololens2 devices we can loan to institutions. Please indicate your interest in a loaned device:

- A) We do not require a loaned device because we either already have one, or plan to acquire one.
- B) We need a loaned device from NASA SUITS to participate.
- C) We have a device but would still like to be considered for a loan to aide in our development.

### f. Proposal Scoring Method

A scoring rubric, provided below, with required criteria will evaluate how well a proposal addresses each of the following required components: technical merit, outreach plan, and adherence to all proposal requirements.

Teams selected to build designs for NASA SUITS will create a first-person point of view video of their UIs in action along with their code, during the software design reviews occurring in April 2023. Teams are also required to submit a draft of a white paper illustrating the development of their visual informatics display system upon completion of the NASA SUITS challenge.

PROPOSAL SCORING	Lowest Score			Highest Score	Score	Comments
RUBRIC	<b>—</b>					
DESIGN DESCRIPTION.  ✓ Describe the goals of the design concept and expected results ✓ Provide conceptual UI designs and innovative display interaction methods ✓ Tackle the following components of the challenge: egress task, navigation, RFID/Spectroscopy, ROVER, and implementation of the telemetry data for each of the assets  Total 30 points	O-7 points  The design concept description is insufficient or lacks clarity with respect to design goals and/or expected results. Proposer provides little to no evidence for an innovative UI design or display interaction method/technology. At least one component of the challenge was met successfully.	8-15 point  The proposed design concept goals and/or the expected results of the design are vague. Proposer provides minimal evidence for an innovative UI design or display interaction method/technology. At least two components of the challenge were met successfully.	The proposed design concept goals and/or the expected results of the design are generally described. Proposer provides some evidence for an innovative UI design or display interaction method/technology. At least three components of the challenge were met successfully.	24-30 points  The proposed design concept goals and results are clearly and concisely written. Proposer demonstrates substantial evidence of innovative display interaction methods/technologies with visuals, etc., to support their concept.  Most, if not all, components of the challenge were met successfully.		
CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS  ✓ Describe the user interface from an operational perspective (EVA astronaut)  Total 15 points	1-3 points  The proposed concept description of the user interface is unclear and insufficient from an operational perspective.	4-7points  The proposed concept description of the user interface contains few details and is difficult to comprehend from an operational perspective.	8-11 points  The proposed concept description of the user interface provides general details and provides a minimal or basic understanding of the concept from an operational perspective.	12-15 points  The proposed concept description of the user interface is clearly and concisely written in full detail and effectively explains the concept from an operational perspective.		
FEASIBILITY  ✓ Concept demonstrates a viable solution to the technical need ✓ Plan describes how the concept would be produced  Total 10 points	O-1 points  The proposed concept lacks viability and/or fails to meet the technical need. No evidence is provided to demonstrate how the concept would be produced.	2-4 points  The proposed concept demonstrates low viability and minor/insignificant contributions to the technical need. Little evidence is provided to demonstrate how the concept would be produced.	5-7 points  The proposed concept demonstrates sufficient viability and describes some contributions to the technical need. Minimal evidence is provided to demonstrate how the concept would be produced.	8-10 points  The proposed concept demonstrates high viability and describes significant contributions to the technical need. Ample evidence is provided to clearly demonstrate in detail how the concept would be produced.		

		-					,
EFFECTIVENESS OF TH		0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points		
PROPOSED PROJECT S							
<ul> <li>✓ Comprehensive p</li> </ul>		The proposed project	The proposed project	The proposed project	The proposed project		
schedule		schedule does not	schedule includes few	schedule includes	schedule is highly detailed		
✓ Effective use of a		demonstrate effective	details to demonstrate	minimum details to	and effective to meet		
resources		planning. The plan	effective planning.	demonstrate effective	objectives. Describes a		
✓ Labor distribution		includes little to no	The plan vaguely	planning. The plan	comprehensive plan that		
✓ Documents propo		description for	describes how to	minimally describes how	demonstrates how to meet		
schedule for mee		meeting objectives and completing the	meet the objectives and complete the	the task and objectives will be met.	the objectives and		
objectives  ✓ Detailed plan to a		task.	task.	wiii be met.	complete the task.		
objective or task.		lask.	lask.				
objective of task.							
Total 5 points							
HUMAN-IN-THE-LOOP (F	HITL)	1-2 points	3-5 points	6-7 points	8-10 points		
TESTING	<u></u>	pointo	o o ponito	5 . psto			
✓ Provide a test pla	an for all HITL	No HITL plan	The proposed HITL	The proposed HITL plan	The proposed HITL plan		
testing to be con-	ducted by the	provided, or the	plan includes a few of	includes most but not all	clearly and concisely		
team		components of the	the components listed	of the components listed	describes each of the		
✓ Include all the red		plan are insufficient,	and deemed	and deemed necessary	components listed and		
components for t		unsafe, or unclear.	necessary to	to implement an effective	deemed necessary to		
<ul> <li>Schedule of</li> </ul>	proposed test		implement an	and safe HITL test.	implement an effective and		
events			effective and safe		safe HITL test.		
<ul><li>test protocol</li><li>possible met</li></ul>	wi / · · ·		HITL test.				
o feasible subj							
o expected	ect pools						
population/de	amouranhics						
of test subject	eriographics etc						
o how test eve							
design's abili							
challenge de							
✓ All HITL tests sho	ould be						
conducted safely	with the use						
of proper persona	al protective						
equipment							
Total 10 points			4.0				
TECHNICAL REFERENC		0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points		
✓ Referenced work		No references are	1 reference is cited. Not formatted	At least 1 reference is	2 or more references are		
text and are releve	ant to the	included.	correctly.	cited. Citation(s) and reference entry(ies)	cited. Citation(s) and reference entry(ies) follow		
✓ A bibliography is	provided		conectly.	follow appropriate format.	appropriate format.		
7 A DIDIIOGIAPITY IS	provided.			попом арргорнате юннат.	αρριομπαιε ισπιαι.		
Total 5 points							
p p				<u> </u>	Total Technica	Score	
					75 points		
					70 points	maximum	

PROPOSAL SCORING	Lowest Score			Highest Score	Score	Comments
RUBRIC						
OUTREACH EVENTS  ✓ Diverse list of events and activities planned ✓ Includes projected audience type and number of participants ✓ Detailed implementation plan ✓ Virtual outreach events are acceptable  MINORITY SERVING INSTITUTIONS Teams containing students from a MSI will receive special consideration for participation in NASA SUITS. If the MSI is not the lead institution a letter of support from faculty at the MSI must be provided.	1-5 points  Only one outreach event is planned, or proposer provides no details of implementation plan, projected audience, and number of participants.  O points  The team does not include students from an MSI.	6-10 points  Minimum of two outreach events are planned. Proposer provides minimal details of implementation plan, projected audience, and number of participants.	Minimum of three outreach events are planned. Proposer provides a sufficiently detailed implementation plan including a projected audience, and number of participants.	Minimum of four outreach events are planned. Proposer provides a highly descriptive and relevant implementation plan including a projected audience, and number of participants.  5 points The team does contain students from an MSI.		
			Οι	utreach Total Score 25 points maximum		

Note: Check the NASA SUITS website for the most up-to-date activity documents <a href="http://go.nasa.gov/nasasuits">http://go.nasa.gov/nasasuits</a>.

Send questions and responses to <a href="MASA-SUITS@MAIL.NASA.GOV">MASA-SUITS@MAIL.NASA.GOV</a>