**NASA Technical Evaluation Summary and Award Justification**

1. **INTENDED RECIPIENT**

*Identification of the Intended Recipient (include legal names of the institution, entity, or individual):*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Legal Name of Intended Recipient: (i.e., Institution) |  | |
| Intended Recipient’s Address: |  | |
| Name of Principal Investigator: |  | |
| Proposal Number or  Grant Number or N/A |  | |
| Proposal Title: |  | |
| Period of Performance – POP  Requesting Change? | Start Date | End Date |
|  |  |

1. **TYPE OF AWARD**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **BASE AWARD (NEW)** | | | |
| **Competitive** | **Non-Competitive (Complete Section 5)** | | |
| Solicited  (Section 5 not applicable) | Single Source | Unsolicited | Renewal |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **AMENDMENTS/SUPPLEMENTS** | |
| **Non-Competitive** (Complete Section 5) | |
| Augmentation | Funded Extension |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Will this new award, funded extension, or augmentation result in an award exceeding a five-year period of performance, but not exceeding a total award value of $5 million?** | Yes | No |

If yes, please provide a justification as to why the requested new award, supplement, or augmentation is in the Government’s best interest or otherwise required by a program’s unique needs, policies, or procedures:

Enter the justification here: For funded extensions, summarize why the additional time is needed and explain how the timeframe proposed would ensure project completion. Any delays outside of the grantee’s control should be outlined here as justification as to why the original period of performance was insufficient to complete the project. This is used to ensure that thoughtful due diligence on project schedule has been discussed and considered. If this request results in additional funding, an explanation of why the additional funding is needed provides reviewers with assurance that scope, schedule, and budget were reviewed and determined to be of value to NASA

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Will this new award, funded extension, or augmentation result in an award exceeding a five-year period of performance and exceeding a total award value of $5 million? If yes, NSSC will coordinate additional approvals with the AA for Procurement.** | Yes | No |

If yes, please fill out the Deviation and Approval Memo located [here](https://nasa.sharepoint.com/:w:/r/sites/GrantsPolicyandCompliance/_layouts/15/Doc.aspx?sourcedoc=%7B972E30C0-E711-4E56-9C43-55D4D2F4EFF9%7D&file=Grant-Approval-and-Deviation-Combined-Memo.docx&action=default&mobileredirect=true).

1. **RESULTS OF THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

Overall Rating (Check one):

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |

1. Technical Evaluation/Selection Statement

Enter statement here.

1. Give a brief analysis of the scientific or technical merit of the research to be accomplished which led to the favorable evaluation.

Enter brief analysis here.

1. Explain the relevance of this research to this NASA Program or to other programs.

Enter the relevance here.

1. Comment on the cost elements.

Enter comment here.

1. **RECOMMENDATION FOR AWARD**
2. When NASA, within its authority, enters into a transaction where the principal purpose is to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute, a grant or a cooperative agreement is the appropriate instrument. Conversely, if the principal purpose of a transaction is to accomplish a NASA requirement, i.e., to produce something for NASA’s own use, a procurement contract is the appropriate instrument. To make this determination, two questions should be answered:
3. Will NASA be prevented in furthering a specific NASA mission or program requirement if the project is not accomplished? The answer to this question must be “No” for grants and cooperative agreements.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Yes | No |

1. Is the work being performed by the recipient primarily for its own purposes, which NASA is merely supporting with financial or other assistance? The answer to this question must be “Yes” For grants and cooperative agreements.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Yes | No |

1. Intended financial assistance action for the intended recipient identified above is for a: (check one)

**GRANT** – The principal purpose of accepting the proposal is for support or stimulation by

increasing basic knowledge and understanding in aeronautics and space, and no substantial Federal involvement is anticipated. Grants involve the transfer of anything of value to the recipient to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute.

**COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT** – The principal purpose of accepting the proposal is for support or stimulation by increasing basic knowledge and understanding in aeronautics and space. Cooperative Agreements involve the transfer of anything of value to the recipient to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute and they are distinguished from Grants based on the involvement by or collaboration with NASA.

**CONTRACT** – The principal purpose of accepting the proposal is to acquire, for NASA's direct

use or benefit, a well-defined, specific effort clearly required for the accomplishment of a scheduled NASA mission or project. There will be firm contract deliverable requirements for supplies or services.

**INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT** – The principal purpose is to obtain needed supplies or services from another U.S. Government agency.

1. **JUSTIFICATION FOR SINGLE SOURCE OR UNSOLICITED GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT ACTION** (Not Applicable if Solicited)

Unsolicited proposals for grants and cooperative agreements are applications for financial assistance for support of an idea, method, or approach to carry out a project for a public purpose that is relevant to NASA’s Mission.

Single-source grant or cooperative agreement actions shall be subject to the policies, procedures, and/or approvals established by the NASA funding sponsor’s organization and/or Center as well as the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Manual (GCAM)

A single-source or unsolicited proposal must not resemble the substance of a current or pending competitive NASA solicitation. For proposals exceeding $250,000.00, at least three peer reviews must be completed and should accompany the justification. For further details, see NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Manual, Paragraph 5.9.1 Single-source Proposals OR 5.9.2 Unsolicited Proposals. Justification for Unsolicited or Single-source Grant or Cooperative Agreement Actions shall include the following information:

1. If this is a renewal of a previous non-competitive award please address (1) why the renewal is necessary, and (2) why the work was not solicited in a NOFO:
   1. Enter why the renewal is necessary.
   2. Enter why the work was not solicited in a NOFO.
2. A statement as to whether this is a new requirement or a continuation of an existing project:

Enter statement.

1. A demonstration that (1) the proposed recipient’s unique qualifications and/or (2) circumstances surrounding the proposed project necessitate the use of a non-competitive grant or cooperative agreement award:
2. Enter recipient’s unique qualifications.
3. Enter why the use of non-competitive.
4. **FUNDING | FINANCIAL INFORMATION**
5. Identification of the NASA funding center and sponsor (mission):
   * Enter NASA center funding this action.
   * Enter NASA sponsor here. (Mission Directorate/Program)
6. A concise description of the proposed project:

Enter description here.

1. Total project budget requested or estimated cost to NASA:

* CURRENT Total Award Value:

|  |
| --- |
| $0.00 |

**Only required for supplements**

* AUGMENTATION (+/-) – Total project budget requested or estimated cost to NASA:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| $0.00 | PR Info  (if known) | PR Number | PR Amount |
|  |  |

* NEW Total Award Value:

|  |
| --- |
| $0.00 |

1. **AUTHORIZING SIGNATURE** (check one)

**Solicited**: It is recommended that this proposal be supported by an award.

**Single Source or Unsolicited:** I certify the Justification is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL | SIGNATURE/CERTIFICATION/DATE |
| Program/Element Representative (optional) |  |
| Technical Officer (T.O.) |  |
| SINGLE SOURCE | UNSOLICITED ONLY | SIGNATURE/CERTIFICATION/DATE |
| Concurrence at a level above Technical Officer (T.O.)  *\*\*Addl signature above T.O., req’d for Unsolicited or Single Source awards* |  |