

Research Subcommittee Mission



From the Research Subcommittee Terms of Reference:

The Research Subcommittee is established to review and assess NASA's approach to research in support of human exploration. The Subcommittee will specifically review and assess NASA's approach, progress, and plans for developing strategies and capabilities that reduce technical barriers to future exploration missions and strengthen national research participation in human space exploration.

The Research Subcommittee will support the HEO Committee in its missions by meeting the following objectives:

- 1. Provide advice and recommendations on the overall objectives, approach, content, and structure of research activities in HEOMD.
- 2. Provide assessments on the effectiveness of relationships between HEOMD's missions and stakeholders in the research and educational sectors.

Current Research Subcommittee Members



Bob Altenkirch

President, UAH
PI for the Solid Surface Combustion
Experiment and the Diffusive and Radiative
Transport in Fires Experiment

Kathy Banks

Vice Chancellor and Dean of Engineering, Texas A&M

Jeff Hoffman

Professor of the Practice, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT Mission Specialist, STS 51-D, 35, 46, 61, 75

Stein Sture

Professor of Civil Engineering, former Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, University of Colorado PI for the Mechanics of Granular Materials Experiment

Jim Pawelczyk

Associate Professor of Physiology and Kinesiology, Penn State Payload Specialist, STS-90

Kathy Thornton

Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Virginia Mission Specialist, STS-33, 49, 61, 73

David E. Longnecker (Subcommittee Chair) Professor and Chair Emeritus, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care University of Pennsylvania

Recent Research Subcommittee Agendas



March 7, 2016 – Research Beyond ISS

NASA Status Porterfield

From ISS to Cis-Lunar Space Scimemi

Evolvable Mars Moore

Life Sciences Beyond ISS Kundrot

Physical and Engineering Carpenter

Sciences Beyond ISS

Discussion w/HEOMD AA Gerstenmaier

July 20, 2015 – SLPSRAD Research Plan

NASA Status Porterfield

Physical Sciences Lee

Chiaramonte

Space Biology Tomko

Human Research Davison

Examples: Human Health Challenges for Exploration Missions



IN-FLIGHT

- On-board medical treatment (equipment, medications and expertise)
- Visual and intracranial abnormalities (VIIP)
- Diet/nutritional consequences of long-term food storage
- Muscle & bone loss
- Renal stones (nephrolithiasis)
- Behavioral health and performance

POST-FLIGHT

- Persistent visual abnormalities
- Chronic nephrolithiasis
- Persistent bone loss/accelerated osteoporosis
- Long-term consequences of space radiation

Potential Issues for Future Meetings



- <u>Simulating Long-duration Exposure to Partial Gravity</u>. We are unlikely to have comprehensive data on long-duration human exposure to reduced gravity prior to undertaking a ~1000 day Mars mission. There are no facilities or models to study the consequences of long-term partial gravity on the human body, either for countermeasure development or estimation of human performance on planetary surface operations (e.g, 1/6 or 3/8 G). Are there animal models that could effectively address questions of human health and performance in extended partial gravity?
- <u>Cis-Lunar</u> Missions. What activities require human presence in the cislunar environment prior to a Mars mission? If required, what are the opportunities for evaluation of human performance and/or countermeasure development?

Potential Issues for Future Meetings, continued



- •Research that enables Technology Development. NASA is making a substantial investment in basic microgravity research in areas like fluid mechanics, soil mechanics and combustion phenomena and the resulting knowledge is often sought by the academic community. How can NASA best link this knowledge and expertise into the development of space technologies for exploration class missions?
- •Relationships that enable Research. SLPS is necessarily focused on space biology and physical sciences that respond to mission needs or proposals from the scientific community. Is the portfolio of the program appropriately balanced and are there opportunities to leverage new linkages with other federal, academic or commercial entities that will lead to the next generation of space research?