NATIONAL AVIATION
OPERATIONAL MONITORING
SERVICE (NAOMS)

Development
and
Proof of Concept
Actively monitor the safety of the national aviation system by regularly surveying the pilots, controllers, and others who operate it; achieve scientific integrity by using meticulously designed survey instruments and a carefully crafted statistical sampling design.
Other Federal Agencies Use Surveys to Monitor Safety and Health

- National Notifiable Disease System (NNDSS)
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)
- CDCP Division of Acute Care, Rehab and Disability
Program Timetable

- Initial Methodology Development, FY 98
- Test Project, FY 99
- Full Implementation, FY 00 and beyond
  - If test project has promising results
Managed by Battelle ASRS

- Loren Rosenthal  
  Program manager
- Robert Dodd Sc.D.  
  Principal investigator
- Jon Krosnick Ph.D.  
  Survey methodologist
- Joan Cwi Ph.D.  
  Survey application
- R. Iachan Ph.D.  
  Statistician
- Mike Silver M.S.  
  Survey methodologist
WHY UNDERTAKE A NEW AVIATION SAFETY DATA COLLECTION ACTIVITY?
Why Institute a New Aviation Safety Data Collection Service?

- Better more stable numbers
  - Sufficient to support policy decisions

- Better and more rapid feedback on system change
  - Technological and procedural

- Escape from event-driven safety policy
  - The accident *du jour* response syndrome
Measurement Objectives

- Measure NAS safety levels
- Detect and interpret NAS safety trends
- Evaluate impacts of new technologies and procedures
Required Attributes of Measurement Service

- Independent
- Timely
- Flexible
- Operationally-oriented
- Proactive
- Solution-oriented
Data To Be Collected

- Participant activity (i.e., exposure levels)
  - Flight hours
  - Hours controlling traffic
  - Etc.

- Participant experiences
  - Operational safety incidents experienced
  - Experiences using new technologies / procedures

- Participant opinion (limited)
  - Views related to safety of the NAS
  - Will aid interpretation of experience data
Proposed Form of NAOMS Safety Rates

SAFETY EVENTS (CY 2001)

- Unstabilized Approaches
- Runway Transgressions
- Wake Turbulence Encounters

Mock data; not real rates

UNSTABILIZED APPROACHES (CY 2000-03)

Mock data; not real rates
NAOMS will help the aviation safety industry move beyond event-driven safety policy; it will provide policy makers with key data on safety levels and trends while giving technology developers crucial feedback on the effectiveness of the equipment and procedures they are introducing to the aviation environment.
POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS
A Broad Range of Potential Participants

* The survey may be accomplished in writing, or electronically.

- Industry Intermediaries
  - Helicopter Operators
  - Air Carrier Pilots
  - General Aviation Pilots
  - Military Pilots
  - Controllers
  - Technicians
  - Flight Attendants
  - Others

- NAOMS Operator Organization

* The survey may use data collection methods other than paper forms. For example, data may be collected electronically or by phone.
Participant

Confidentiality is Assured

- NAOMS will work through industry and labor intermediaries.
- It will have no means of tracing a survey response to the individual who provided it.
- Neither FOIA or discovery actions will pose a confidentiality risk to NAOMS.
FY 98

ACTIVITIES
FY 98 Goals

- Identify topics important to the aviation community
- Determine initial attitudes toward surveys and specific collection modes
  - Mail, telephone, electronic, person-to-person
- Estimate pilot and controller recall ability
  - Timeframe and accuracy of memory
FY 98 Goals (cont.)

- Collect participant demographic data
- Develop a draft survey instrument
- Develop initial sampling design
- Estimate numbers of persons that will need to be surveyed
- Plan an FY 99 test project
FY 98 Accomplishments

- FY 98 background research completed
- Focus groups and cognitive interviews done
  - Pilots completed (n ~ 60 subjects)
  - Controllers in progress (n ~ 50 subjects)
- Draft survey instruments nearly complete
  - Draft pilot questionnaire developed
  - Controller questionnaire under development
- Test plan drafted
Some Preliminary Findings

- Pilot recall is highly accurate for about one week, but highly inaccurate after one month.
- Event categorization is consistent among pilots.
- Pilots are very sensitive about confidentiality.
Draft pilot survey developed
- Three sections
  - Demographics
  - Events for tracking
  - Special interest section
- No open ended questions for trial phase

### Operational Experience

Please update data on your total aviation experience and describe your level of aviation activity during the months of Jan, Feb, and Mar 1998.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>TOTAL EXPERIENCE</th>
<th>ACTIVITY JAN thru MAR 98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air carrier flying, scheduled, major or national carrier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air carrier flying, scheduled, regional carrier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air carrier flying, cargo operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air carrier flying, air taxi operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air carrier flying, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General aviation flying, corporate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General aviation flying, agricultural operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General aviation flying, other commercial activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General aviation flying, personal and other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military flying, air transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military flying, tactical and other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air traffic control, TRACON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air traffic control, MIL FAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air traffic control, Tower operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Service Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technician / Mechanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Attendant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY 99
ACTIVITIES
Program Goals for FY 99

- Determine whether air pilots and controllers will participate in sufficient numbers to make NAOMS viable

- Determine whether a pilot survey project can collect operational useful safety information
  - Expected respondent size: 4800
    (n=2,400 pilots & 2,400 controllers)

- Determine best combination of survey modes
  - High response rate and high candor

- Estimate costs of fully-developed, national scale system
FY 99 Tasks

- Complete Controller cognitive interviews (Dec 98)
- Develop a draft controller questionnaire (Jan 99)
- Conduct a one-day industry workshop in (Jan 99)
- Begin test survey application (Apr 99)
- Finish test survey (Jun 99)
- Present findings at second workshop (Sep 99)
NAOMS sound concept with great potential

Current effort will provide scientifically based evidence of
  - Utility
  - Cost
  - Potential for system-wide implementation

Two opportunities in FY 99 for industry / labor review and interaction with development team