

## 5. SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Under the Proposed Action (Preferred Alternative), NASA would continue the good environmental practices employed at each of the NASA facilities that would support the Constellation Program. These practices are documented in various Federal, state, and local environmental permits; NASA practices and procedures; and best management practices. Since the proposed Constellation Program is built largely upon the ongoing Space Shuttle Program technologies and support facilities, continuing many of ongoing good environmental practices which support the Space Shuttle Program would mitigate potential environmental impacts associated with Constellation Program activities.

Activities associated with the Proposed Action that are expected to have potential environmental impacts include rocket engine tests, rocket launches and atmospheric entries, wind tunnel tests, and construction of new facilities. These activities, along with modifications of existing facilities, would be expected to utilize site-specific mitigation measures much like those employed for the Space Shuttle Program.

### 5.1 FACILITIES

#### 5.1.1 John F. Kennedy Space Center

John F. Kennedy Space Center (KSC) employs an extensive system of mitigation measures to reduce the potential impacts of launches on the environment. All Federal launch complexes, including KSC, Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS), and the U.S. Army's White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) have Range Safety processes that: 1) ensure that direct impacts are confined to the range and impacts outside of the range are appropriately managed and mitigated, and 2) ensure that the public is protected, both from direct effects such as falling debris after activation of commanded destruct systems and indirect effects such as exposure to high levels of burning propellant emissions in exhaust clouds.

In mitigation planning for modifications to Launch Complex (LC)-39 Pad B, NASA has considered three categories of potential impacts to biota that could arise from the modifications: 1) nighttime bird and bat strike risks due to tall structures and wires; 2) daytime bird strike risks from low-visibility structures and wires; and 3) sea turtle disorientation risks due to artificial lighting illuminating nesting beaches. NASA's *Final Environmental Assessment for the Construction, Modification, and Operation of Three Facilities in Support of the Constellation Program, John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida* has addressed these concerns and provides mitigation and monitoring measures (KSC 2007f). Examples of these mitigation measures include reduction in the height of the lightning protection towers from that proposed originally; use of minimum number of grounding wires for lightning protection that are of non-coated stainless steel to retain the bright and reflective nature, largest diameter wire possible with markers on the wires for high visibility, and smallest possible angle between the wires and the towers; use of minimum number and intensities of lights requires with longest duration of dark between flashes; and use of low pressure sodium lights that are shielded. This Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) incorporates those measures by reference. It is expected that future modifications to LC-39 Pad A would be similar to those to be undertaken for LC-39 Pad B. Therefore, the mitigation and monitoring measures adopted for

the modifications to LC-39 Pad A would be expected to be similar to those incorporated for LC-39 Pad B.

### 5.1.2 John C. Stennis Space Center

At John C. Stennis Space Center (SSC), there is a perpetual restrictive easement on 506 square kilometers (195 square miles) (the “Buffer Zone”) extends 9.7 kilometers (6 miles) in all directions from the perimeter of the Fee Area to ensure that the noise levels to which the public is exposed from engine tests are reduced (see Figure 3-4). Provisions of the restrictive easement prohibit maintenance or construction of dwellings and other buildings suitable for human habitation. The purpose of the Buffer Zone is to provide an acoustical and safety protection zone for NASA testing operations. Predominant land use in the Buffer Zone includes sand and gravel mining, timber production, and recreational activities. Urban areas interspersed with open space, such as coastal wetlands, adjoin the perimeter of the Buffer Zone.

NASA has addressed the environmental impacts of engine testing at both SSC and at George C. Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) in its *Final Environmental Impact Statement of Engine Technology Support for NASA Advanced Space Transportation Program* (MSFC 1997a). NASA committed in the Record of Decision (ROD) (MSFC 1998) for that EIS to take certain positive actions to mitigate the potential offsite noise impacts of testing large engines. The ROD indicated that:

*NASA would make available, to the public through press releases, test firing schedules for medium, large, and multiple engine tests whose collective thrust level does not exceed that of one large engine. Off site noise levels would be projected using real time meteorological data. If acoustical focusing resulting in overall noise levels of 120 dB or greater is expected offsite, evaluation of potential impact will be made and the results presented to test managers. Engine tests will be delayed if substantial risk of structural damage to private property is determined to exist. However, NASA test management reserves the right to proceed with testing if atmospheric focusing conditions are expected to reasonably diminish as the day advances and meteorological conditions favorably improve. SSC would implement similar noise mitigation for single large engine tests or multiple engines whose thrust level exceed that of one large engine.*

*To verify noise modeling software results, off-site noise monitoring would be conducted at MSFC for approximately six engine tests whose thrust level meets or exceeds that of one medium engine. Similar monitoring would be conducted at SSC for all engine tests whose thrust level equals or exceeds that of one large engine.*

These mitigation measures would be continued for Constellation Program activities at SSC and are incorporated in this Final PEIS by reference.

SSC manages wetlands within the facility in accordance with 14 CFR 1216.205, *Policies for evaluating NASA actions impacting floodplains and wetlands*. In planning mitigation activities addressed in the *Final Environmental Assessment for the Construction and Operation of the*

*Constellation Program A-3 Test Stand* (SSC 2007b), SSC has delineated 47.9 hectares (118.54 acres) wetlands credits (based on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's Charleston Method) which would be charged against its "Mitigation Bank." This information, along with an application form for authorization to disturb wetlands, associated maps, and other data were submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on March 27, 2007.

### **5.1.3 George C. Marshall Space Flight Center**

At MSFC, the physical separation between engine test facilities and public property by the U.S. Army's Redstone Arsenal mitigates or reduces the sound levels under normal atmospheric conditions (see Figure 3-10). As summarized in Section 5.1.2, NASA committed to monitor meteorological conditions prior to testing to determine if sounds waves would result in substantive risk of offsite structural damage (MSFC 1998). These safety procedures would continue to be utilized for Constellation Program testing activities. As with current practice, MSFC would make available test firing schedules for large engine testing via the Public Affairs Office press releases. This Final PEIS incorporates the applicable mitigation measures at MSFC by reference.

### **5.1.4 White Sands Missile Range**

Detailed mitigation measures associated with the operation of WSMR are provided in the *White Sands Missile Range Range-Wide Environmental Impact Statement* (WSMR 1998). These measures include actions that would reduce the potential impacts from test launches in support of the Constellation Program. For example, noise impacts are mitigated by excluding the public from areas where they could be exposed to potentially harmful noise levels and by requiring WSMR personnel to use hearing protection devices when needed. In addition, WSMR has a Range Safety program similar to the KSC/CCAFS Range Safety program describe in Section 5.1.1 and elsewhere.

Mitigation measures associated with Constellation Program launch abort testing and construction activities at WSMR are described in the *Final Environmental Assessment for NASA Launch Abort System (LAS) Test Program, NASA Johnson Space Center White Sands Test Facility, Las Cruces, New Mexico* (WSTF 2007b). All mitigation actions would be contained within WSMR. Three potential impacts from launch complex modifications are addressed: 1) nighttime migratory bird strike risk due to tall structures; 2) daytime bird strike risk due to low-visibility structures; and 3) the possibility of uncovering historical or archaeological sites during excavations. To address possible bird strikes, the proposed tower would contain the minimum number of lights at the lowest intensity required. Surveys would be conducted during mating season to ensure that no birds are found nesting in the towers; any nest material would be removed prior to egg deposition. There would also be open grates in the floors of the tower to discourage roosting. On-site personnel would be instructed to report dead birds and/or bats as soon as they are discovered. If a cultural site is discovered during excavations, the WSMR Historic Preservation Officer would be notified for action. WSMR also would employ dust control techniques during construction activities, vehicle controls on off-road traffic, soil remediation for hazardous and non-hazardous waste spills, and flight termination systems on launch vehicles to mitigate the impacts of anomalous launch events (WSTF 2007b). This Final PEIS incorporates these measures by reference.

### **5.1.5 Alliant Techsystems-Launch Systems at Promontory**

The State of Utah Department of Environmental Quality air permit issues for the test stands at the Alliant Techsystems-Launch Systems Group (ATK) at Promontory, Utah facility imposes meteorological conditions under which test firings are permitted. These conditions ensure that the exhaust cloud from each test is highly diluted, thus reducing the potential for adverse concentrations, far from the test site. Daily limits on the quantities of hydrogen chloride (HCl) from open burning also are imposed by the State of Utah air permit (UDAQ 2006b).

## **5.2 REDUCTION IN USE OF OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES**

Since 1990, NASA has reduced overall annual ozone depleting substances (ODS) usage from approximately 1.6 million kilograms (kg) (3.5 million pounds [lb]) to less than 69,000 kg (150,000 lb), a reduction of more than 96 percent. NASA is committed to finding safe and technically acceptable substitutes for remaining ODS uses.

Under the Proposed Action, it is assumed that hydrochlorofluorocarbon (HCFC 141b) would not be used to produce foam insulation for the cryogenic LH/LOX tanks (cryoinsulation) for the Ares I and Ares V launch vehicles. To comply with EPA requirements to phase out ODS, and to reduce the long-term risk that ODS become unavailable for manufacturers, NASA intends to develop cryoinsulation replacements for use on the Ares I Upper Stage that do not contain HCFC 141b. Building on and drawing from work done in support of the Space Shuttle Program, NASA has begun planning a research and development program to identify and qualify substitute cryoinsulation materials that meet Ares I technical requirements and fulfill the non-ODS objective. This test program will require relatively small amounts of HCFC 141b-blown foam for use in comparative studies. These studies are required to ensure that replacement cryoinsulation materials have similar properties and perform at least as well as the current materials in the challenging environments of launch, ascent, and atmospheric entry. The performance profile of the current Space Shuttle Program foam has been designated as the “performance baseline” for materials developed under these renewed research efforts. Successful implementation and operational performance of these materials would enable the Ares I and other space vehicle programs to use non-ozone depleting cryoinsulation.

## **5.3 MEASURES TO REDUCE RISK TO PUBLIC FROM LAUNCH AND ENTRY ACCIDENTS**

A NASA Range Safety process has been in effect for over 50 years and parallels similar processes by the U.S. Air Force for CCAFS and the U.S. Army for WSMR. NASA’s Range Safety Policy (NASA 2005c) is designed to protect the public, employees, and high-value property during all phases of flight, including jettisoned Ares I and Ares V components and the Earth atmospheric entry of the Orion spacecraft, and is focused on the understanding and mitigation (as appropriate) of risk. The policy establishes individual and collective risk criteria for the general public (offsite public and onsite visitors) and onsite workforce for the risk of casualty from any means, including blast, debris, or toxics. Range Safety protects people, as well as the range, by understanding the potential impacts of a normal launch and debris as well as launch area and atmospheric entry accidents and establishing protection controls, including not launching when meteorological conditions do not warrant.

Range Safety addresses the measures taken by NASA to protect personnel and property during those portions of a mission (launch, atmospheric entry, and landing) that have the potential to place the general population at risk. The “range” is the land, sea, or airspace within or over which orbital, suborbital, or atmospheric vehicles are tested or flown. Range Safety addresses these areas and the potentially affected areas around the range. NASA’s Range Safety policy is specifically defined in NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 8715.5 “Range Safety Program.”

NASA mitigates and controls the hazards and risks associated with range operations from mission launch and atmospheric entry and applies Range Safety techniques to range operations in the following order of precedence:

1. Preclude hazards, such as uncontrolled vehicles, debris, explosives, or toxics, from reaching the public, workforce, or property in the event of a vehicle failure or other mishap.
2. Apply a risk management process when the hazards associated with range operations cannot be fully contained.

In addition, launches and entries associated with the Constellation Program would be preceded by Notices to Airmen (NOTAM) and Notices to Mariners. These notices would provide information on temporary restrictions along the Ares I and Ares V launch and Orion entry corridors to prevent collisions with surface ships and aircraft.

#### **5.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES MITIGATION**

If the Proposed Action were implemented, a number of historic resources at various NASA facilities could be adversely affected. For example, the Rotating Service Structure and the Fixed Service Structures at both LC-39 Pads A and B at KSC would be expected to be dismantled as they would not be needed for the proposed new launch vehicles; at John H. Glenn Research Center’s Plum Brook Station, modifications to the Spacecraft Propulsion Research Facility (B-2 Facility) (Building 3211) vacuum chamber would be undertaken in support of Ares Upper Stage structural testing; at Langley Research Center, modifications to the Impact Dynamics Facility (Gantry) (Building 1297) would be undertaken in support of Orion drop tests; and at MSFC, modifications to the Structural Dynamics Test Facility (Building 4550) would be undertaken in support of Ares launch stack dynamic testing.

Modifications to historic properties as identified in this Final PEIS (Table 2-10) could affect the character or historic integrity of such properties. NASA has a programmatic agreement with the Department of the Interior, National Park Service to mitigate adverse effects to National Historic Landmarks (NASA 1989). Modifications required for the Constellation Program at NASA facilities would be undertaken in consultation with the respective State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The NASA Historic Preservation Officer at each NASA facility would, in consultation with the SHPO, determine if proposed modifications would be considered “adverse” under the National Historic Preservation Act and other applicable rules and regulations. For such situations, NASA and the SHPO would develop a mitigation strategy to ensure that important historic information is preserved. Such mitigation often includes documenting appropriate aspects of the historic resources before and after modification occur with

**Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement**

---

photographs or drawings, using specific protocols such as the Historic American Buildings Survey/Historic American Engineering Record and other documentation, as determined appropriate by NASA's Historic Preservation Officer and the SHPO.

## 6. LIST OF PREPARERS

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA's) Constellation Program Office prepared this *Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement* (Final PEIS). NASA's Exploration Systems Directorate has approved the content of this Final PEIS. Individuals listed below contributed to the completion of this Final PEIS by writing basic components of the document, contributing significant background documents, or acting as a technical editor.

### *NASA – Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center (JSC)*

**Jennifer Rhatigan, PhD, P.E.**

Constellation Program  
Environmental Manager  
Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 25

**John Connolly, P.E.**

Vehicle Engineering and Integration Lead –  
Lunar Lander Project Office  
M.E., Engineering  
Years of Experience: 20

**Lara Kearny**

Extravehicular Activities Project Office  
M.E., Biomedical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 17

**Richard Mrozinski**

Mission Operations Project Office –  
Range Safety  
M.S.E., Aerospace Engineering  
Years of Experience: 14

**Michael See**

Deputy Manager – Orion Project Office, Test and  
Verification  
M.E., Aerospace Engineering  
Years of Experience: 24

**Perri Fox**

Chief, Planning & Integration Office  
B.S., Environmental Design  
Years of Experience: 23

### *NASA – John F. Kennedy Space Center (KSC)*

**Ruth Gardner**

Manager – Constellation Ground Systems  
Project Office  
M.S., Engineering Management  
Years of Experience: 18

**Charles W. Kilgore**

Senior Project Manager – Ground Operations  
Project Office  
B.S., Electrical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 40

**Mario Busacca**

Environmental Manager  
M.S., Marine Biology; Engineering Management  
Years of Experience: 31

**Barbara Naylor**

Environmental Program Branch  
Years of Experience: 12

**Bruce Vu, PhD**

Aerospace Engineer  
Years of Experience: 19

**Burt Summerfield, MBA**

Chief – Safety, Occupation Health and  
Environmental Division  
Years of Experience: 25

**Ravi Margasahayam**

Project Safety Engineer, Safety and  
Mission Assurance  
M.S., Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering  
Years of Experience: 30

***NASA – John C. Stennis Space Center (SSC)***

**Carolyn Kennedy**

Environmental Specialist – Center  
Operations Directorate  
M.S., Marine Science  
Years of Experience: 17

***NASA – Michoud Assembly Facility (MAF)***

**Francis Celino**

Environmental Manager – Michoud  
Transition Office  
M.S., Accounting  
Years of Experience: 25

***NASA – George C. Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC)***

**Lewis Wooten**

Ares Project Office  
M.S., Applied Mathematics  
Years of Experience: 26

**Donna Holland**

Ares Project Office/Environmental Manager  
M.S., Environmental Engineering  
Years of Experience: 21

***NASA – John H. Glenn Research Center (GRC)***

**Trudy Kortez**

Orion Project Office  
M.S., Environmental Engineering  
Years of Experience: 13

**Robert F. Lallier, Jr.**

Environmental Manager – Plum Brook Station  
M.S., Environmental Management  
Years of Experience: 30

**Christie Myers**

Environmental Management Branch  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 12

***NASA – Langley Research Center (LaRC)***

**Roger Ferguson**

Environmental Engineer – Environmental &  
Engineering Compliance Branch  
B.S., Civil Engineering  
Years of Experience: 29

***NASA – Ames Research Center (ARC)***

**Ann Clarke, PhD, J.D.**

Environmental Division Chief  
Forestry and Environmental Studies; Natural  
Resources Law  
Years of Experience: 35

***NASA – Dryden Flight Research Center (DRFC)***

**Dan Morgan**

Environmental Officer  
M.S., Environmental Management  
Years of Experience: 44

***NASA – Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC)***

**Lizabeth Montgomery**

Environmental Manager  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 12

***NASA – Johnson Space Center White Sands Test Facility (WSTF)***

**Tim Davis**

Environmental Scientist  
B.S., Environmental Science  
Years of Experience: 15

***NASA Headquarters (HQ)***

**Mark Batkin, J.D.**

Office of the General Counsel  
Years of Experience: 10

**Tina Norwood**

Environmental Management Division  
M.S., Ecology  
Years of Experience: 20

**Frank Bellinger, P.E.**

Infrastructure Manager – Constellation Systems,  
Exploration Systems Mission Directorate  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 26

**David Stewart, J.D.**

Office of the General Counsel  
Years of Experience: 17

**Kathleen Callister**

Environmental Management Division  
M.A., Anthropology  
Years of Experience: 22

**Richard Wickman, P.E.**

Environmental Management Division  
M.S., Energy Systems  
Years of Experience: 30

**Kenneth Kumor, MBA, J.D.**

Environmental Management Division  
Years of Experience: 25

***Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) (Contractor to NASA)***

**Victoria Ryan**

Group Supervisor – Launch Approval Engineering  
Group  
M.S., Environmental Engineering  
Years of Experience: 7

***Lockheed Martin Corporation (LMC) (Contractor to NASA)***

**Timothy Mueller**

Environment, Safety, and Health Manager, Human  
Space Flight – Project Orion  
M.S., Environmental Policy and Management  
Years of Experience: 21

**Daniel Swords, P.E.**

Environmental Management Principal Engineer –  
External Tank Program  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 22

***Alliant Techsystems-Launch Systems Group (ATK) (Contractor to NASA)***

**Dave Gosen**

Environmental Director  
M.S., Civil and Environmental Engineering  
Years of Experience: 24

**Glen Curtis, MBA**

RSRM Program/Ares I First Stage Transition  
Manager  
Years of Experience: 37

***United Space Alliance (USA) (Contractor to NASA)***

**S. Richard Smith**

Johnson Space Center Program Support  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 28

**David Hughes**

Extravehicular Activities Project Office  
B.S., Mechanical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 29

***Universal Technology Corporation (UTC) (Contractor to NASA)***

**David Williamson**

Senior Systems Engineer – Exploration Launch Office  
M.S., Management  
Years of Experience: 32

***Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) (Contractor to NASA)***

**Lawrence DeFillipo**

NEPA Program Manager  
B.E., Engineering Sciences  
Years of Experience: 27

**Lorraine Gross**

Senior Archaeologist  
M.A., Anthropology  
Years of Experience: 25

**Lasantha Wedande**

PEIS Project Manager  
M.S., Environmental Management  
Years of Experience: 13

**Kenneth Walsh, PhD**

Environmental Engineer  
Chemical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 13

**Suzanne Crede**

NEPA Project Manager  
B.S., Chemistry Education  
Years of Experience: 16

**Jennifer O'Donnell, PhD**

Senior Engineer  
Coastal and Ocean Engineering  
Years of Experience: 24

**Daniel Gallagher**

Senior Safety Analyst  
M.E., Nuclear Engineering  
Years of Experience: 26

**Richard Kalynchuk**

Environmental Management Branch – GRC  
B.S., Chemical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 30

**Douglas Outlaw, PhD**

Senior Environmental Scientist  
Nuclear Physics  
Years of Experience: 36

**Dennis Ford, PhD**

NEPA Coordinator  
Zoology  
Years of Experience: 34

**Daniel Czelusniak, J.D.**

Environmental Scientist  
Years of Experience: 6

**James Johnson**

Environmental Analyst  
B.A., Environmental Sciences  
Years of Experience: 2

**Jorge McPherson**

Senior Chemical Engineer  
B.S., Chemical Engineering  
Years of Experience: 19

**Charlotte Hadley**

Environmental Scientist  
M.S. Public Health  
Years of Experience: 5

PEIS Contributor		Constellation PEIS Chapter												
		Exec Sum	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	App A	App B
<b>JSC</b>	Jennifer Rhatigan	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	John Connolly			√										
	Lara Kearny			√										
	Michael See	√		√		√								
	Richard Mrozinski	√		√		√								√
	Perri Fox				√	√								
<b>KSC</b>	Ruth Gardner			√		√								
	Charles Kilgore	√		√		√								
	Mario Busacca	√		√	√	√	√		√					√
	Barbara Naylor				√	√								
	Bruce Vu					√								
	Burt Summerfield			√		√								
	Ravi Margasahayam					√								
<b>SSC</b>	Carolyn Kennedy	√		√	√	√	√		√					
<b>MAF</b>	Francis Celino				√	√								
<b>MSFC</b>	Lewis Wooten	√		√										
	Donna Holland	√		√	√	√	√		√					
<b>GRC</b>	Trudy Kortes			√	√									
	Christie Myers				√	√			√					
	Robert Lallier, Jr.				√	√								
<b>LaRC</b>	Roger Ferguson				√	√			√				√	
<b>ARC</b>	Ann Clarke				√	√			√					
<b>DRFC</b>	Dan Morgan				√	√			√					
<b>GSFC</b>	Lizabeth Montgomery				√	√			√					
<b>WSTF</b>	Tim Davis				√	√			√				√	

PEIS Contributor		Constellation PEIS Chapter												
		Exec Sum	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	App A	App B
<b>HQ</b>	David Stewart	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	Mark Batkin	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
	Richard Wickman	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	Frank Bellinger	√	√	√		√	√							
	Tina Norwood	√			√	√								
	Kathleen Callister	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	Kenneth Kumor		√	√		√	√		√					
<b>JPL</b>	Victoria Ryan	√	√	√		√			√					
<b>LMC</b>	Timothy Mueller			√		√								
	Daniel Swords				√	√								
<b>ATK</b>	Dave Gosen				√	√								
	Glen Curtis				√	√								
<b>USA</b>	Richard Smith		√	√	√	√								
	David Hughes			√										
<b>UTC</b>	David Williamson	√		√		√								
<b>SAIC</b>	Lawrence DeFillipo	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
	Lasantha Wedande	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	Suzanne Crede		√	√										√
	Daniel Gallagher	√	√	√										
	Daniel Czelusniak	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	Douglas Outlaw	√		√		√	√							√
	Dennis Ford	√	√	√	√	√								
	Kenneth Walsh					√								
	Lorraine Gross					√								
	Jennifer O'Donnell					√								
	James Johnson				√	√	√	√	√	√	√		√	√
	Jorge McPherson					√							√	
	Charlotte Hadley		√		√									
Richard Kalynchuk				√	√									

This page intentionally left blank.

## 7. AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDIVIDUALS CONSULTED

In preparing this *Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Statement* (Final PEIS), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has actively solicited and/or received comments from the following list of potentially interested Federal, state, and local agencies; organizations; and individuals:

### FEDERAL AGENCIES

#### Executive Office of the President

*Council on Environmental Quality*  
*Office of Management and Budget*  
*Office of Science and Technology Policy*

#### National Science Foundation

#### U.S. Department of Agriculture

#### U.S. Department of Commerce

*National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration*

#### U.S. Department of Defense

*Department of the Air Force*  
AFFTC Technical Library  
Edwards Air Force Base Library  
*Department of the Army*  
Fort Irwin (National Training Center-Headquarters) Director of Public Works  
*Department of the Navy*

#### U.S. Department of Homeland Security

*Office of the Undersecretary of Management*  
*U.S. Coast Guard*

#### U.S. Department of the Interior

*Bureau of Land Management*  
*U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service*  
*National Park Service*

#### U.S. Department of State

#### U.S. Department of Transportation

*Federal Aviation Administration*

#### U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

*Office of Federal Activities*  
*Region 3 Office*  
*Region 4 Office*  
*Region 5 Office*  
*Region 6 Office*  
*Region 8 Office*  
*Region 9 Office*

**STATE AGENCIES**

**State of Alabama**

*Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs*  
*Alabama Department of Environmental Management*  
*Alabama Historical Commission*  
*State of Alabama, House of Representatives*  
*State of Alabama, Office of Governor*  
*State of Alabama, Senate*

**State of California**

*California Department of Fish and Game*  
*California Department of Transportation*  
*California State Clearinghouse*  
*Native American Heritage Commission*  
*State of California, Office of Governor*

**State of Florida**

*Florida Department of Environmental Protection*  
*Florida State Clearinghouse*  
*State of Florida, House of Representatives*  
*State of Florida, Office of Governor*  
*State of Florida, Senate*

**State of Louisiana**

*Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality*  
*State of Louisiana, Office of Governor*

**State of Maryland**

*Maryland State Clearinghouse for Intergovernmental Assistance*  
*Maryland Department of the Environment*  
*Maryland Department of Planning*  
*State of Maryland, Office of Governor*

**State of Mississippi**

*Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality*  
*State of Mississippi, Office of Governor*

**State of New Mexico**

*New Mexico Department of Cultural Affairs*  
*New Mexico Environment Department*  
*New Mexico Game and Fish*  
*New Mexico State Land Office*  
*State of New Mexico, Office of Governor*

**State of Ohio**

*Ohio Environmental Protection Agency*  
*State of Ohio, Office of Governor*

**State of Texas**

*Governor's Office of Budget, Planning, and Policy*  
*State of Texas, Office of Governor*

**State of Utah**

*Public Lands and Coordination Office  
State of Utah, Office of Governor*

**State of Virginia**

*State of Virginia, Office of Governor  
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality  
Virginia Department of Historic Resources*

**COUNTY AGENCIES**

**State of Alabama**

*Madison County  
County Commissioner*

**State of California**

*Inyo County  
Inyo County Free Library, Central Library  
Inyo County Free Library, Lone Pine Branch  
Inyo County Planning Department*

*Kern County  
County Administrative Officer  
Department of Planning and Development Services  
Kern County Air Pollution Control District  
Kern County Library, Beale Memorial Library  
Kern County Library, Boron Branch  
Kern County Library, California City Branch  
Kern County Library, Mojave Branch  
Kern County Library, Tehachapi Branch  
Kern County Library, Wanda Kirk Branch*

*Los Angeles County  
Chief Executive Officer  
Los Angeles County Library, Lancaster Branch  
Los Angeles County Library, Quartz Hill Branch  
Los Angeles County Planning Department*

*San Bernardino County  
Land Use Services Department, Planning Division*

*Santa Clara County  
County Executive*

**State of Florida**

*Brevard County  
County Manager  
Development and Environmental Services  
Emergency Operations Center  
Natural Resources Management Office  
Planning and Zoning Office  
Public Safety Department*

*Lake County  
County Manager*

*Orange County*  
County Administrator  
*Osceola County*  
County Manager  
*Seminole County*  
County Manager  
*Volusia County*  
County Manager

**State of Louisiana**

*St. Tammany Parish*  
Parish President

**State of Maryland**

*Prince George's County*  
Office of the County Executive

**State of Mississippi**

*Hancock County*  
Board of Supervisors  
Port and Harbor Commission  
*Pearl River County*  
Board of Supervisors

**State of New Mexico**

*Doña Ana County*  
County Manager

**State of Ohio**

*Cuyahoga County*  
County Administrator  
*Erie County*  
County Administrator

**State of Texas**

*Harris County*  
Office of the Commissioner

**State of Utah**

*Box Elder County*  
County Commissioner

**State of Virginia**

*Accomack County*  
County Administrator  
*York County*  
County Administrator

**LOCAL AGENCIES**

**State of Alabama**

*City of Huntsville*  
Office of the Mayor

*City of Madison*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Triana*

Office of the Mayor

**State of California**

*California City*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Lake Isabella*

Kern River Valley Library

*City of Lancaster*

Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District

Office of the Mayor

Planning Commission

*City of Los Angeles*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Mountain View*

Office of the Mayor

City Manager's Office

*City of Palmdale*

Office of the Mayor

Palmdale City Library

Planning Department

*City of Pasadena*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Sunnyvale*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Trona*

Trona Library

*City of Victorville*

Lahonton Regional Water Quality Control Board

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

**State of Florida**

*City of Cape Canaveral*

Canaveral Port Authority, Chief Executive Officer

Office of the Mayor

*City of Cocoa*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Cocoa Beach*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Melbourne*

Office of the Mayor

*City of New Smyrna Beach*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Orlando*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Rockledge*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Titusville*

Office of the Mayor  
Planning Department

*City of West Melbourne*

Office of the Mayor

*Merritt Island*

Commissioner's Office

**State of Louisiana**

*City of New Orleans*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Slidell*

Office of the Mayor

**State of Maryland**

*City of Greenbelt*

Office of the Mayor

**State of Mississippi**

*City of Bay St. Louis*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Waveland*

Office of the Mayor

*City of Picayune*

Office of the Mayor

**State of New Mexico**

*City of Las Cruces*

Bureau of Land Management, Las Cruces District Office  
Office of the Mayor

*White Sands Missile Range*

Office of the Garrison Commander

**State of Ohio**

*City of Brook Park*

Brook Park Fire Department

*City of Cleveland*

Cleveland Hopkins International Airport  
Department of Public Health, Division of Air Pollution Control  
Office of the Mayor

*City of Sandusky*

City Manager

**State of Texas**

*City of Houston*

Office of the Mayor

**State of Utah**

*City of Brigham*

Office of the Mayor

**State of Virginia**

*City of Hampton*

City Manager

Office of the Mayor

*City of Poquoson*

City Manager

*Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

*Town of Chincoteague*

Office of the Mayor

**ORGANIZATIONS**

Aerospace Industries Association

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Diamondhead Property Owners Association

Economic Development Commission of Florida's

Space Coast

Environmental Defense

Federation of American Scientists

Florida Coalition for Peace and Justice

Friends of the Earth

Global Network Against Weapons and Nuclear

Power in Space

GlobalSecurity.org

Greenpeace International

National Audubon Society

National Congress of American Indians

National Fish and Wildlife Foundation

National Hispanic Environmental Council

National Society of Black Engineers

National Tribal Environmental Council

National Wildlife Federation

Natural Resources Defense Council

Partnership for a Sustainable Future, Inc.

Physicians for Social Responsibility

Sierra Club National Headquarters

Southwest Network for Environmental and

Economic Justice

Space Florida

Space Frontier Foundation

The American Association for the Advancement of

Science

The Mars Society

The National Space Society

The Nature Conservancy

The Planetary Society

The Space Foundation

The Wilderness Society

Union of Concerned Scientists

**INDIVIDUALS**

Allen, Corinne

Barbero, Gilberto

Beckerman, George

Benjamin, Olga

Bramble, Harriet

Callister, Paul

Cepeda, Joseph

Chambers III, George

Citron, Bob

Cooper, Richard

Daum, Gerhard

DeCarlo, Michelle

DeJaeger, Erik

Drake, Larry

Felsher, Dr. Murray

Gann, E. Ray

Gidlow, Ken

Halvorson, Todd

Hellman, Robert

Hildebrand, James E.

Hockstra, Daniel

Karlen, Rosetta M.

Lavine, Greg

Lear, Robert

Lee, Alex

Lieber Sr., Wilford

Long, David G.

May, Jonathan

McColloch, Craig

McKenney, Brent

Murphy, Carl

Nagrabski, Steve

New, Jeremy

Pawlowski, Vincent

Pieper, John

Sakala, Gregory

Saunders, Michael

Schleifstein, Mark

Shehata, Pete

Showalter, Keith

Simpson Jr., Cecil C.

Skinner, Scott

Smith, Rebecca

Stribley, Todd

Super, Greg

Szewc, Lt. Col. Joseph A.

Vergee

Winn, Oliver

Wittenberg, John W.

Young, Kelly

Young, Sallie

Yanagitani, Brian

This page intentionally left blank.

## 8. REFERENCES

- 29 CFR 1910.95. *Occupational Noise Exposure*. Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Pt. 1910.95 (2006).
- 29 CFR 1926.52. *Occupational Noise Exposure*. Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Pt. 1926.52 (2006).
- Aerospace 2001. The Aerospace Corporation. *Assessment of Perchlorate Releases in Launch Operations*. Aerospace Report No. TR-2001(1306)-3. The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA. October 25, 2001.
- Aerospace 2002. The Aerospace Corporation. *Assessment of Perchlorate Releases in Launch Operations II*. Aerospace Report No. TR-2003(1306)-1. The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA. December 1, 2002.
- AFRL 1998. Air Force Research Laboratory. *Sea Water Immersion of Gem II Propellant*. Air Force Research Laboratory, Edwards Air Force Base, CA. March 23, 1998.
- AIAA 1991. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. *Atmospheric Effects of Chemical Rocket Propulsion: Report of an AIAA Workshop, Sacramento, CA, June 28-29 1991*. AIAA, Washington, DC. October 1, 1991.
- AIAA 1993. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. *Environmental Monitoring of Space Shuttle Launches at the Kennedy Space Center: The First Ten Years. 31st Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit, January 11-14, 1993, Reno, NV*. Document number: AIAA93-303. AIAA, Washington, DC. January, 1993.
- ARC 2002a. Joseph S. Ames Research Center. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for NASA Ames Development Plan*. NASA ARC, Moffett Field, CA. July 2002.
- ARC 2002b. Joseph S. Ames Research Center. *NASA Ames Development Plan*. NASA ARC, Moffett Field, CA. December 2002.
- ARC 2005. Joseph S. Ames Research Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA ARC, Moffett Field, CA. January 2005.
- ARC 2006. Joseph S. Ames Research Center. *Ames Research Center Overview*. Available at: <http://www.nasa.gov/centers/ames/about/aboutames-centerOverview.html>. Page updated: March 22, 2006. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- ARL 1993. U.S. Army Research Laboratory. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Relocation of the Woodbridge Research Facility Electromagnetic Pulse Simulators*. U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Woodbridge, VA. November 1993.
- ATK 2006. Alliant Techsystems-Launch Systems Group. Personal communication between G. Curtis (ATK) and D. Holland (NASA MSFC) regarding ATK response to Data Call #1. August 2006.

- BAAQMD 2005. Bay Area Air Quality Management District. *Permit to Operate*. San Francisco, CA. December 1, 2005.
- BAHEP 2007. Bay Area Houston Economic Partnership. *Economic Impact NASA JSC*. Available at: <http://www.bayareahouston.com/Home/NASA-JohnsonSpaceCente/EconomicImpact/>. Accessed: February 2007.
- BCBCC 2003. Brevard County Board of County Commissioners. *Threatened and Endangered Plants in Brevard*. Available at: [http://www.brevardcounty.us/environmental\\_management/plants\\_threatened\\_endangered.cfm](http://www.brevardcounty.us/environmental_management/plants_threatened_endangered.cfm). Page updated: June 2003. Accessed: July 15, 2007.
- BLS 2007. Bureau of Labor Statistics. *Overview of BLS Statistics on Employment and Unemployment*. U.S. Department of Labor – Bureau of Labor Statistics. Available at: <http://www.bls.gov/bls/employment.htm>. Accessed: February 2007.
- CARB 2007. California Air Resources Board. *Area Designation Maps/State and National*. Available at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/degis/adm/adm.htm#state>. Page updated: June 28, 2007. Accessed: July 16, 2007.
- CCAFS 1998. Cape Canaveral Air Force Station. *Monitoring Direct Effects of Delta, Atlas, and Titan Launches from Cape Canaveral Air Station*. Technical Memorandum 207912. June 1998.
- DFRC 2003. Dryden Flight Research Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA DFRC, Edwards, CA. June 2003.
- DFRC 2006. Dryden Flight Research Center. *NASA Dryden Fact Sheet – Sonic Booms*. Available at: <http://www.nasa.gov/centers/dryden/news/FactSheets/FS-016-DFRC.html>. Page updated: July 21, 2006. Accessed: December 2006.
- DOE 2006. U.S. Department of Energy. *Annual Report to Congress on Federal Government Energy Management and Conservation Programs Fiscal Year 2005*. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC. Published: September 26, 2006. Available at: <http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/annrep05.pdf>.
- DOI 2006. U.S. Department of the Interior. *Nationwide Rivers Inventory Program*. National Park Service, Washington, DC. Available at: <http://www.nps.gov/ncrc/programs/rtca/nri/>. Page updated: January 11, 2006. Accessed: January 2007.
- DOI 2007a. U.S. Department of the Interior. *National Register of Historic Places*. National Park Service, Washington, DC. Available at: <http://www.nr.nps.gov/>. Data updated: January 9, 2007. Accessed: January 2007.
- DOI 2007b. U.S. Department of the Interior. *National Historic Landmarks Program*. National Park Service, Washington, DC. Available at: <http://tps.cr.nps.gov/nhl/default.cfm>. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- EPA 2003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *National Air Quality and Emissions Trends Report, 2003 Special Studies Edition*. Document number: EPA 454/R-03-005. U.S.

- EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC. Published: September 2003. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/airtrends/reports.html>.
- EPA 2005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *2005 National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/br05/index.htm>. Page updated: April 6, 2007. Accessed: May 9, 2007.
- EPA 2006a. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2004*. Document number: EPA 430-R-06-002. U.S. EPA, Washington, DC. 2006.
- EPA 2006b. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *RCRA Orientation Manual 2006 – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act*. U.S. EPA, Washington, DC. 2006.
- EPA 2006c. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *National Priorities List Sites in the United States*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/npl.htm>. Page updated: February 24, 2006. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- EPA 2006d. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Class II Ozone-Depleting Substances*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/ods2.html>. Page updated on: March 8, 2006. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- EPA 2006e. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Fact Sheet – Final Revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Pollution (Particulate Matter)*. Available at: [http://www.epa.gov/air/particles/pdfs/20060921\\_factsheet.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/air/particles/pdfs/20060921_factsheet.pdf). September 21, 2006.
- EPA 2006f. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *National Ambient Air Quality Standards*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html>. Page updated: October 13, 2006. Accessed: January 2007.
- EPA 2007a. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *National Estuary Program*. Available at: <http://epa.gov/owow/estuaries/>. Page updated: January 22, 2007. Accessed: January 2007.
- EPA 2007b. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Personal Communication between R. Brennen (Chief, Stratospheric Program Implementation Branch, U.S. EPA Office of Atmospheric Programs) and S. Scroggins (NASA MSFC) regarding NASA's use of stockpiled methyl chloroform (TCA). January 25, 2007.
- EPA 2007c. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Welcome to the Green Book, Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/oar/oaqps/greenbk/index.html>. Page updated: April 9, 2007. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- EPA 2007d. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Envirofacts Data Warehouse*. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/enviro/index.html>. Page updated: March 22, 2007. Accessed: July 16, 2007.

- FAA 1999. Federal Aviation Administration. *Final Environmental Assessment for the Sea Launch Project*. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, DC. February 12, 1999.
- FAA 2001. Federal Aviation Administration. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Licensing Launches*. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration. May 24, 2001.
- FDACS 2007. Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services. *Florida Statewide Endangered and Threatened Plant Conservation Program*. Available at: [http://www.fl-dof.com/forest\\_management/plant\\_conservation\\_index.html](http://www.fl-dof.com/forest_management/plant_conservation_index.html). Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- FDEP 2004. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. *Florida Air Monitoring Report 2004*. Published in 2004. Available at: <http://www.dep.state.fl.us/Air/publications/techrpt/amr.htm>. Page updated: January 19, 2007. Accessed: January 2007.
- FFWCC 2007. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. *Florida's Imperiled Species*. Available at: <http://myfwc.com/imperiledspecies/>. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- Freeport 2007. Freeport Center Utah. *About Us*. Available at: <http://freeportcenter.com/aboutUs.php>. Accessed: July 18, 2007.
- FWS 2007. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. *Species Information – Threatened and Endangered Animals and Plants*. Available at: <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/wildlife.html>. Page updated on: March 23, 2007. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- GRC 2003. John H. Glenn Research Center. *Economic Impact Highlights FY 2003*. NASA GRC, Cleveland, OH. 2003.
- GRC 2005. John H. Glenn Research Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA GRC, Cleveland, OH. May 2005.
- GRC 2006a. John H. Glenn Research Center. *PSL 1 & 2 Complex Site Characterization Report for NASA Glenn Research Center*. NASA GRC, Cleveland, OH. February 3, 2006.
- GRC 2006b. John H. Glenn Research Center. *Altitude Wind Tunnel, Section 106 Check Sheets/Recordation of the Glenn Research Center – Section 106 Process*. NASA GRC, Cleveland, OH. July 19, 2006.
- GRC 2006c. John H. Glenn Research Center. *Propulsion Systems Laboratory Cells 1 & 2, Section 106 Check Sheets/Recordation of the Glenn Research Center – Section 106 Process*. NASA GRC, Cleveland, OH. July 19, 2006.
- GSFC 2005. Goddard Space Flight Center. *Environmental Resources Document – Working Draft*. NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD. 2005.
- Jackman 1998. Jackman, C.H., D.B. Considine, and E.L. Fleming. *A Global Modeling Study of Solid Rocket Aluminum Oxide Emission Effects on Stratospheric Ozone*. Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol. 25, no. 6, 907-910. 1998.

- JPL 2002. Jet Propulsion Laboratory. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA JPL, Pasadena, CA. December 2002.
- JPL 2006. Jet Propulsion Laboratory. *Jet Propulsion Laboratory Economic Impact Report*. NASA JPL, Pasadena, CA. October 2006.
- JSC 2004. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. December 2004.
- JSC 2005a. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Standard Manned Spacecraft Requirements for Materials and Processes*. Engineering Directorate, Structural Engineering Division. Document number: JSC 49774A. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. February 2005.
- JSC 2005b. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Visitor's Guide NASA JSC RGO – Aircraft Operations Division*. Document number: AOD 33895 Rev B. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. August 2005.
- JSC 2005c. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between D. Chowning (NASA JSC) and M. Busacca (NASA KSC) regarding CEV specifications. December 7, 2005.
- JSC 2006a. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *EVA 101 Presentation*. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. 2006.
- JSC 2006b. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Crew Launch Vehicle (CLV) Operational Concepts Document – Draft*. Document number: CxP 72032. Constellation Systems Launch Vehicles (CSLV) Project. June 28, 2006.
- JSC 2006c. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Constellation Design Reference Missions and Operational Concepts Document*. Document number: CxP 70007. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. July 2006.
- JSC 2006d. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between R. Mrozinski (NASA JSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding Mission Operations response to Data Call #1. August 2006.
- JSC 2006e. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Crew Exploration Vehicle Operation Concept Document – Draft J*. Document number: CxP 72093. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. November 10, 2006.
- JSC 2006f. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Environmental Resources of Ellington Field*. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. December 2006.
- JSC 2006g. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Environmental Resources of the Sonny Carter Training Facility*. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. December 2006.
- JSC 2007a. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *CEV Entry Overview Presentation*. NASA JSC Houston, TX. January 2007.
- JSC 2007b. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *NASA Project Orion Flight Test Office Abort Flight Test – Concept of Operations Revision A*. Document number: FTO-AFT-OPS-001. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. January 19, 2007.

- JSC 2007c. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between L. Monareng (NASA JSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding socio-economic data for the Constellation Program. January 24, 2007.
- JSC 2007d. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between M. See (NASA JSC) and L. Wedande regarding Project Orion response to Data Call #2. December 2006.
- JSC 2007e. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *Crew Exploration Vehicle Sonic Boom Assessment for the Constellation Environmental Impact Statement*. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. February 21, 2007.
- JSC 2007f. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between M. See (NASA JSC) and D. Gallagher (SAIC) regarding encapsulated service module update. April 24, 2007.
- JSC 2007g. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between M. See (NASA JSC) and R. Wickman (NASA HQ) regarding updated CEV 606 configuration graphics. April 29, 2007.
- JSC 2007h. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between J. Connolly (NASA JSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding comments on the alternatives considered by the ESAS report. May 10, 2007.
- JSC 2007i. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. *JSC Facility Map*. Available at: <http://newemployee.jsc.nasa.gov/jsc/map.htm>. NASA JSC, Houston, TX. Page updated on: June 29, 2007. Accessed: July 16, 2007.
- JSC 2007j. Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center. Personal communication between R. Mrozinski (NASA JSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding overpressures during Space Shuttle entry. October 9, 2007.
- KSC 1985. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Effects of Space Shuttle Launches STS-1 through STS-9 on Terrestrial Vegetation of John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida*. NASA Technical Memorandum 83109. NASA KSC, FL. September, 1985.
- KSC 2003. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. Document Number KSC-DF-3080. NASA KSC, FL. August 2003.
- KSC 2005. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Economic Impact of NASA in Florida, FY 2005*. NASA KSC, FL. 2005.
- KSC 2006a. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Final Environmental Assessment for the Development of the Crew Exploration Vehicle*. NASA KSC, FL. August 2006.
- KSC 2006b. John F. Kennedy Space Center. Personal communication between C. Kilgore (NASA KSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding KSC response to Data Call #2. December 2006.

- KSC 2006c. John F. Kennedy Space Center. Personal communication between B. Naylor (NASA KSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding current employment numbers at KSC. August 2, 2006.
- KSC 2006d. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *KSC Hazardous Waste Reduction Metric 0006 – Table*. NASA KSC, FL. November 10, 2006.
- KSC 2007a. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Validation of Ares Launch Comparison to Space Shuttle Operation*. NASA KSC, FL. 2007.
- KSC 2007b. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Kennedy Space Center Response to Data Call #2*. NASA KSC, FL. January 25-26, 2007.
- KSC 2007c. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Constellation Ground Operations Project Environmental Launch Noise Assessment*. NASA KSC, FL. January 31, 2007.
- KSC 2007d. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Request for Informal Consultation Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act Regarding Potential Impacts from the Proposed NASA Constellation Program*. NASA KSC, FL. April 13, 2007.
- KSC 2007e. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Consultation Regarding Potential Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat from Implementation of NASA’s Proposed Constellation Program*. NASA KSC, FL. March 16, 2007.
- KSC 2007f. John F. Kennedy Space Center. *Final Environmental Assessment for the Construction, Modification, and Operation of Three Facilities in Support of the Constellation Program*. NASA KSC, FL. May 2007.
- LaRC 2005. Langley Research Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA LaRC, Hampton, VA. June 2005.
- LaRC 2006. Langley Research Center. *Making Space for the Future*. NASA LaRC, Hampton, VA. 2006.
- LDDED 2006. Louisiana Department of Economic Development. *Louisiana Economic Development to Sponsor Two-State Economic Summit at Michoud Assembly Facility*. Released: October 4, 2006. Available at: <http://lded.state.la.us/press-archive/2006/october-2006/20061004-louisiana-economic-development-to-sponsor-two-state-economic-summit-at-michoud-assembly-facility-.aspx>. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- LDOL 2007. Louisiana Department of Labor. *Labor Market Analysis*. Louisiana Works – Department of Labor. Available at: <http://www.voshost.com/analyzer/startanalyzer.asp>. Accessed: February 2007.
- MAF 2001. Michoud Assembly Facility. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA MAF, New Orleans, LA. September 2001.
- MAF 2006a. Michoud Assembly Facility. *Michoud Assembly Facility Master Plan Study*. NASA MAF, New Orleans, LA. 2006.
- MAF 2006b. Michoud Assembly Facility. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA MAF, New Orleans, LA. September 2006.

- MAF 2007. Michoud Assembly Facility. Personal communication between F. Celino (NASA MAF) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding follow-up questions from Data Call #2. February 1, 2007.
- MDES 2006. Mississippi Department of Employment Security. *Labor Market Data*. Available at: <http://www.mdes.ms.gov/wps/portal#null>. Accessed: December 2006.
- MPA 2006. National Marine Protected Areas Center. Inventory Atlas (Federal Sites of the U.S. West Coast Region). Available at: [http://mpa.gov/helpful\\_resources/archives/atlas/pac/pacific.html](http://mpa.gov/helpful_resources/archives/atlas/pac/pacific.html). Page updated: October 11, 2006. Accessed: May 10, 2007.
- MSFC 1989. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Space Shuttle Advanced Solid Rocket Motor Program*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL and NASA SSC, Hancock County, MS. March 1989.
- MSFC 1997a. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Final Environmental Impact Statement of Engine Technology Support for NASA Advanced Space Transportation Program*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. 1997.
- MSFC 1997b. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *X-33 Advanced Technology Demonstrator Vehicle Program Final Environmental Impact Statement – Volume 1*. Document number: NP-1997-09-02-MSFC. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL and NASA KSC, FL. September 1997.
- MSFC 1998. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Record of Decision, Final Environmental Impact Statement of Engine Technology Support for NASA Advanced Space Transportation Program*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. February 2, 1998.
- MSFC 2002a. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. January 2002.
- MSFC 2002b. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Final Environmental Assessment for Propulsion Research Laboratory*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. February 2002.
- MSFC 2006a. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Pollution Prevention Plan Update*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. April 2006.
- MSFC 2006b. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Exploration Launch Projects Plan – Final Draft*. Document number: CxP 70057. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. May 19, 2006.
- MSFC 2006c. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Ares-I/Ares-V Ground Track and Mission Profiles Presentation*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. August 2006.
- MSFC 2006d. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. Personal communication between D. Holland (NASA MSFC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding Launch Vehicles response to Data Call #1. August 2006.

- MSFC 2007a. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *From ESAS to Ares – A Chronology. NASA Program Manager’s Challenge presentation by Steve Cook*. Exploration Launch Projects Office, NASA MSFC Huntsville, AL. February 6, 2007.
- MSFC 2007b. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. Personal communication between D. Holland (NASA MSFC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding distribution of total workforce. February 13, 2007.
- MSFC 2007c. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. Personal communication between D. Holland (NASA MSFC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding total economic and employment breakdown table for MSFC. February 26, 2007.
- MSFC 2007d. George C. Marshall Space Center. Personal communication between S. Glover (NASA MSFC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding center-wide Space Shuttle Program socioeconomic data summary. February 28, 2007.
- MSFC 2007e. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Expanded Views of Ares-I and Ares-V*. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/pdf/146764main\\_CLV\\_CaLV\\_Description.pdf](http://www.nasa.gov/pdf/146764main_CLV_CaLV_Description.pdf). Accessed: March 5, 2007.
- MSFC 2007f. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. Personal communication between D. Williamson (NASA MSFC) and D. Czelusniak (SAIC) regarding updated Ares graphics. April 24, 2007.
- MSFC 2007g. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Proposed New Engine (J-2X) – Environmental Justice Review of 1997 NASA Engine EIS*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. May 29, 2007.
- MSFC 2007h. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. *Final Environmental Assessment Modification and Operation of Test Stand 4550 in Support of Integrated Vehicle Ground Vibration Testing for the Constellation Program, Marshall Space Flight Center*. NASA MSFC, Huntsville, AL. November 2007.
- MSFC 2007i. George C. Marshall Space Flight Center. Personal communication between D. Williamson (MSFC/UTC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding potential modifications to the Ares V Core Stage. October 12, 2007.
- NASA 1978. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Space Shuttle Program*. NASA, Washington, DC. April 1, 1978.
- NASA 1989. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Programmatic Agreement among the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation*. NASA, Washington, DC. 1989.
- NASA 1995a. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Environmental Justice Strategy*. NASA, Washington, DC. 1995.
- NASA 1995b. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Cassini Mission*. NASA, Washington, DC. June 1995.

- NASA 1995c. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA Safety Standard 1740.14 "Guidelines and Assessment Procedures for Limiting Orbital Debris."* NASA, Washington, DC. August 1995.
- NASA 1996. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Final Tier 2 Environmental Impact Statement for International Space Station.* NASA, Washington, DC. May 1996.
- NASA 2003. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Columbia Accident Investigation Board Report Volume I.* Published in 2003. NASA, Washington, DC. Available at: <http://caib.nasa.gov/news/report/default.html>. Accessed: May 8, 2007.
- NASA 2005a. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Aeronautics and Space Report of the President – Fiscal Year 2005 Activities.* Published in 2005. Available at: <http://history.nasa.gov/presrep.htm>. Page updated on: April 30, 2007. Accessed: May 2, 2007.
- NASA 2005b. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Mars Exploration Program.* NASA, Washington, DC. March 2005.
- NASA 2005c. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA Procedural Requirement 8715.5 "Range Safety Program."* NASA, Washington, DC. July 8, 2005.
- NASA 2005d. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *What is the Crew Exploration Vehicle? Frequently Asked Questions.* Released September 19, 2005. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/missions/solarsystem/cev\\_faq.html](http://www.nasa.gov/missions/solarsystem/cev_faq.html). Page updated: February 25, 2006. Accessed: March 5, 2007.
- NASA 2005e. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA's Exploration Systems Architecture Study – Final Report.* Document number: NASA-TM-2005-214062. Published: November 2005. NASA, Washington, DC. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/exploration/news/index.html](http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/exploration/news/index.html). Page updated: April 20, 2007. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- NASA 2005f. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Mockup Provides Early Glimpse of New Exploration Vehicle.* Released November 17, 2005. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/constellation/main/cev\\_mockup.html](http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/constellation/main/cev_mockup.html). Page updated: August 28, 2006. Accessed: March 5, 2007.
- NASA 2006a. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA FY 2007 Budget Request Summary.* Released in 2006. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/about/budget/FY\\_2007/index.html](http://www.nasa.gov/about/budget/FY_2007/index.html). Page updated on: March 5, 2007. Accessed: May 2, 2007.
- NASA 2006b. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA Selects Orion Crew Exploration Vehicle Prime Contractor.* Release: 06-305. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/home/hqnews/2006/aug/HQ\\_06305\\_Orion\\_contract.html](http://www.nasa.gov/home/hqnews/2006/aug/HQ_06305_Orion_contract.html). Page Updated: August 31, 2006. Accessed: March 5, 2007.

- NASA 2006c. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Scoping Meeting Presentation: Constellation Program Overview*. October 2006.
- NASA 2006d. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Mars Science Laboratory Mission*. NASA Science Mission Directorate, Washington, DC. November 2006.
- NASA 2007a. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *Space Shuttle Program Site Environmental Summaries*. NASA, Washington, DC. February 2007.
- NASA 2007b. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA FY 2008 Budget Estimates*. Available at: <http://www.nasa.gov/about/budget/index.html>. Page updated on: March 5, 2007. Accessed: May 2, 2007.
- NASA 2007c. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA Supports Train-Derailment Recovery in Alabama*. News Release: 07-97. Available at: [http://www.nasa.gov/home/hqnews/2007/may/HQ\\_07097\\_Train\\_Derailment\\_prt.htm](http://www.nasa.gov/home/hqnews/2007/may/HQ_07097_Train_Derailment_prt.htm). May 2, 2007.
- NASA 2007d. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *NASA Procedural Requirement 8715.6 "NASA Procedural Requirements for Limiting Orbital Debris."* NASA, Washington, DC. August 17, 2007.
- NIOSH 2005. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. *NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards*. Publication No. 2005-149. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. September 2005.
- NMED 2006a. New Mexico Environmental Department. *NM Air Quality Regulations*. Available at: <http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb/regs/index.html>. Page updated: September 18, 2006. Accessed: January 2007.
- NMED 2006b. New Mexico Environmental Department. *Air Quality Bureau – Permitting Section*. Available at: <http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb/permit/index.html>. Page updated: October 10, 2006. Accessed: January 2007.
- NOAA 1980. National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration. *Delta Atlas – Eastern United States Coastal and Ocean Zones*. NOAA, Washington, DC. August 1980.
- NOAA 2007. National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration. *FAQ: Hurricanes, Typhoons, and Tropical Cyclones*. National Hurricane Center/Tropical Prediction Center. Available at: <http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/tcfaq/G1.html>. Accessed May 24, 2007.
- Ogden 2007. City of Ogden, Utah. *Ogden Area Climate*. Available at: <http://www.ogdencity.com/index.php?module=ibcms&fxn=location.climate>. Accessed: May 24, 2007.
- Pub. L. 109-155. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2005, Pub. L. no. 109-155, 119 Stat 2895 (2005).

- SECOR 2001. SECOR International, Inc. *1.4 Million Pound Solid Rocket Motor Static Testing PSD Permit Air Quality Impact Analysis*. SECOR International, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT. October 2001.
- SSC 2005. John C. Stennis Space Center. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA SSC, Hancock County, MS. April 2005.
- SSC 2006. John C. Stennis Space Center. Personal Communication between D. Holland (NASA MSFC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding SSC response to Data Call #1. August 2006.
- SSC 2007a. John C. Stennis Space Center. *NASA Facts – Stennis Space Center 2006 Economic Impact*. NASA SSC, Hancock County, MS. 2007.
- SSC 2007b. John C. Stennis Space Center. *Final Environmental Assessment for the Construction and Operation of the Constellation Program A-3 Test Stand*. NASA SSC, Hancock County, MS. June 2007.
- SSC 2007c. John C. Stennis Space Center. Personal communication between C. Kennedy (NASA SSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding transmittal of updated SSC facility map. NASA SSC, Hancock County, MS. June 2007.
- SSC 2007d. John C. Stennis Space Center. Personal communication between C. Kennedy (NASA SSC) and L. Wedande (SAIC) regarding permits for the A-3 Test Stand. October 24, 2007.
- TCEQ 2007. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. *Air Permits Search Database (Title V and New Source Review)*. Available at: <http://www2.tceq.state.tx.us/airperm/>. Accessed: May, 24 2007.
- TPS 2004. The Planetary Society. *Extending Human Presence into the Solar System – An Independent Study for the Planetary Society on Strategy for the Proposed U.S. Space Exploration Policy*. Published: July 2004. Available at: [http://www.planetary.org/programs/projects/aim\\_for\\_mars/](http://www.planetary.org/programs/projects/aim_for_mars/). Accessed: May 9, 2007.
- TRW 1999. TRW Incorporated. *Rocket Exhaust Impact on Stratospheric Ozone*. TRW Space & Electronics Group, Redondo Beach, CA. September 30, 1999.
- TRW 2002. TRW Incorporated. *Biological Effects of Inadvertent Perchlorate Releases During Launch Operations*. TRW Space & Electronics Group, Redondo Beach, CA. September 30, 2002.
- TWH 2004. The White House. *A Renewed Spirit of Discovery – The President’s Vision for Space Exploration*. Available at: [http://www.whitehouse.gov/space/renewed\\_spirit.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/space/renewed_spirit.html). Accessed: February 26, 2007.
- UDAQ 2006a. Utah Division of Air Quality. *Metropolitan Statistical Areas likely to violate a 35µg/m<sup>3</sup> PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS*. Available at: <http://www.airquality.utah.gov/images/Maps/pmGT35.png>. Page updated: September 2006. Accessed: January 2007.

- UDAQ 2006b. Utah Division of Air Quality. *Title V Operating Permit*. State of Utah Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Air Quality. September 6, 2006.
- USAF 1996. United States Air Force. *Final Environmental Assessment for the Delta III Launch Vehicle Program*. CCAFS, FL. April 1996.
- USAF 1998. United States Air Force. *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program*. HQ USAF/ILEVP, 1260 Air Force Pentagon, Washington DC. April 1998.
- USAF 2000. U.S. Air Force. *Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program*. HQ USAF/ILEVQ, 1260 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC. March 2000.
- USAF 2002. U.S. Air Force. *General Plan, Cape Canaveral Air Force Station*. 45th Space Wing, CCAFS, FL. 2002.
- USAF 2006. United States Air Force. *Toxic Dispersion Modeling Products: STS-116 0.5 Hour Forecast*. 45th Space Wing, CCAFS, FL. December 2006.
- USBC 2000. United States Bureau of the Census. *U.S. Census Bureau – United States Census 2000*. Washington, DC. Available at: <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. Page updated: January 5, 2007. Accessed: January 2007.
- USBC 2005. United States Bureau of the Census. *State-Based Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas Maps November, 2004*. Available at: [http://www.census.gov/geo/www/maps/stcbsa\\_pg/stBased\\_200411\\_nov.htm](http://www.census.gov/geo/www/maps/stcbsa_pg/stBased_200411_nov.htm). Page updated: July 8, 2005. Accessed: February 2007.
- USBC 2006a. United States Bureau of the Census. LandView<sup>®</sup>6 software containing Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation. Washington, DC. Issued December 2003. Available on CD-ROM at: <http://www.census.gov>.
- USBC 2006b. United States Bureau of the Census. *Special Population Estimates for Impacted Counties in the Gulf Coast Area*. Available at: [http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/emergencies/impacted\\_gulf\\_estimates.html](http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/emergencies/impacted_gulf_estimates.html). Page updated: May 25, 2006. Accessed: February 2007.
- VDEQ 2004. Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. *Air Regulations – Chapter 30 (amended August 1, 2007)*. Available at: <http://www.deq.state.va.us/air/regulations/air30.html>. Page updated: August 9, 2007. Accessed: September 24, 2007.
- VDMR 2001. Virginia Division of Mineral Resources. *Geology of Virginia*. Available at: <http://www.mme.state.va.us/Dmr/DOCS/Geol/vageo.html>. Page updated: December 5, 2001. Accessed: December 2006.
- WSMR 1998. White Sands Missile Range. *White Sands Missile Range Range-Wide Environmental Impact Statement*. Directorate of Environment and Safety – Environmental Services Department. WSMR, Las Cruces, NM. January 1998.

- WSMR 2000. White Sands Missile Range. *Memorandum of Agreement between Commander, White Sands Missile Range and Cabinet Secretary, New Mexico Environment Department*. WSMR, Las Cruces, NM. December 22, 2000.
- WSMR 2001. White Sands Missile Range. *Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan*. New Mexico Natural Heritage Program, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM and Environment and Safety Directorate, WSMR, Las Cruces, NM. November 2001.
- WSMR 2006. White Sands Missile Range. *Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for DTRA Activities on White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico – Volume 1*. Prepared by Defense Threat Reduction Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA. January 2006.
- WSMR 2007. White Sands Missile Range. Personal communication between K. Drexler (WSMR) and D. Czelusniak (SAIC) regarding Data Call #2. February 27, 2007.
- WSTF 2001. White Sands Test Facility. *Environmental Resources Document*. NASA JSC WSTF, Las Cruces, NM. February 16, 2001.
- WSTF 2006. White Sands Test Facility. *Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives – NASA Launch Abort System (LAS) Flight Test Program*. NASA JSC WSTF, Las Cruces, NM. December 7, 2006.
- WSTF 2007a. White Sands Test Facility. Personal communication between T. Davis (NASA WSTF) and D. Czelusniak (SAIC) regarding wetland areas within WSTF. February 27, 2007.
- WSTF 2007b. White Sands Test Facility. *Final Environmental Assessment for NASA Launch Abort System (LAS) Test Program at the U.S. Army White Sands Missile Range*. NASA JSC WSTF, Las Cruces, NM. August 2007.

## 9. GLOSSARY OF TERMS

**Abort** – Action taken to terminate an anomalous launch. There are three different abort scenarios: pad abort, mid-ascent abort, and late-ascent abort. With respect to crewed missions, each scenario uses a different method to propel the **Crew Module** free from the launch vehicle and safely return the crew to the Earth.

**Advanced Projects Office** – NASA **Constellation Program** organization responsible for defining the requirements of future systems that would be needed for extended lunar missions and missions to Mars.

**adverse effect** – When used specifically with respect to the effects of an action upon historic properties listed or eligible for listing on the **National Register of Historic Places**. As defined by 36 CFR 800.5 “*Protection of Historic Properties*,” an “adverse effect” is evident when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the **National Register** in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property’s location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property’s eligibility for the **National Register**. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.

**affected environment** – A description of the existing environment that could be affected by the proposed action or alternatives.

**air emissions** – Gases or particles that are deposited in the atmosphere by various sources (e.g., point sources, mobile sources, and biogenic sources).

**ambient air** – The surrounding atmosphere, usually the outside air, as it exists around people, plants, and structures.

**ambient noise** – Noise level measured under normal, everyday conditions.

**anomalous launch** – A rocket launch that deviates from predetermined conditions.

**aquifer(s)** – A geologic formation which contains and/or conducts groundwater.

**Ares I** – The name of the Crew Launch Vehicle (**CLV**), which would have a five-segment reusable solid rocket motor First Stage with a liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen Upper Stage powered by a J-2X engine.

**Ares V** – The name of the Cargo Launch Vehicle (**CaLV**), which in its current planning configuration would have two five-segment reusable solid rocket boosters, a liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen **Core Stage** powered by five RS-68 engines, and a liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen Upper Stage (also called the **Earth Departure Stage**) powered by a J-2X engine.

**artesian pressure** – Pressure exerted on an underground aquifer that forces ground water to flow freely to the surface.

**Atlas** – A family of launch vehicles formerly manufactured by the Lockheed Martin Corporation. Currently, United Launch Alliance, a cooperative venture between Lockheed Martin Corporation and The Boeing Company, has assumed responsibility for providing Atlas rocket services to U.S. government customers.

**atmospheric pollution** – Pollution which is produced by either natural or man-made sources and disperses into the **ambient air**.

**attainment** – An area is designated as being in attainment by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency if it meets the **National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)** for a given **criteria pollutant**. Nonattainment areas are areas in which any one of the **NAAQS** have been exceeded. Areas previously designated nonattainment and subsequently redesignated as attainment are defined as maintenance areas. Areas that cannot be classified on the basis of available information as meeting or not meeting the **NAAQS** for any one criteria pollutant are defined as unclassifiable areas.

**audiometric testing** – Procedure that measures hearing ability. Could be used to mitigate noise effects on workers due to launches or engine ground tests.

**biconic** – a shape which resembles two cones attached together at the base; the cone sizes are not necessarily exact replicas.

**buffer zone** – A neutral zone which serves to separate one area from another, for any of multiple reasons (*e.g.*, environmental effects, greenways, hazardous areas, *etc.*).

**CaLV** – See **Ares V**.

**carbon-fiber composite** – Engineered material made from one or more constituent substances (one of which must be carbon) that exhibit different physical properties when combined, yet retain their individual chemical properties.

**casualty** – An injury requiring overnight hospitalization or worse, including death.

**Categorical Exclusion (Cat-Ex)** – Documents proposed actions or activities that a Federal agency has designated under 14 CFR 1216.305(d) as normally having no significant impact(s) on the human environment, individually or cumulatively.

**CEV** – Crew Exploration Vehicle. Renamed **Orion** following selection of the prime contractor. See **Orion**.

**Clean Air Act** – The national air pollution prevention standards for the United States. This Federal Regulation was originally passed in 1963 and has since been modified and amended several times (most recently with the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments). Many states and localities have adopted their own air quality regulations which are more stringent than the **Clean Air Act**.

**Clean Water Act** – Similar in scope to the **Clean Air Act**, the **Clean Water Act** is the Federal legislation governing water quality in the United States. Its aim is to reduce toxic

releases into water systems as well as to maintain water quality suitable for human sports and recreation.

**CLV** – See **Ares I**.

**Constellation Program** – The NASA program which would provide the vehicles and the infrastructure to support the **International Space Station** and return humans to explore the Moon and eventually Mars and beyond.

**Core Stage** – As used in the **Ares V**, the launch vehicle stage that carries the majority of a vehicle's propulsive capability and LOX/LH propellants to which supplemental propulsive stages can be attached for added thrust.

**crawler transporter** – A tracked vehicle formerly used to move **Saturn V** and currently used to move Space Shuttle vehicles from the Vehicle Assembly Building to the launch pad at KSC. Currently, the **Mobile Launch Platform** is placed on top of the **crawler transporter** and the Space Shuttle is attached to the **Mobile Launch Platform**. The **crawler transporter** then moves both items to the launch pad.

**Crew Module** – Part of the **Orion** spacecraft; a capsule that would provide habitable volume for crew members or cargo room during uncrewed missions. It contains life support, intra-vehicular docking ability, and atmospheric entry and landing ability. There would be several **Orion Crew Module** configurations, which provide for varying crews/cargos configurations.

**criteria pollutants** – The **Clean Air Act** requires the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to set air quality standards for common and widespread pollutants after preparing criteria documents summarizing scientific knowledge on their health effects. Currently, there are standards in effect for six criteria pollutants: sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>) and particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), and lead (Pb).

**critical habitat** – Areas of habitat, defined under the Endangered Species Act, which are believed to be essential for a threatened or endangered species' conservation.

**cryogenics** – In terms of this document, cryogenics refers to liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen (LOX/LH) which are used as propellants.

**cultural resources** – The prehistoric and historic districts, sites, buildings, objects, or any other physical activity considered important to a culture, subculture, or a community for scientific, traditional, religious, or any other reason.

**cumulative impact** – The impact on the environment that results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes other such actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor, but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

**decibel (dB)** – A logarithmic measurement unit that describes a particular sound pressure level compared to a standard reference value. The threshold of human hearing is approximately 0 dB, and the threshold of discomfort or pain is around 120 dB.

A-weighted decibels (dBA) refer to measured decibels whose frequencies have been adjusted to correspond to the highest sensitivity of human hearing, which is typically in the frequency range of 1,000 to 4,000 Hertz.

**Delta** – A family of launch vehicles formerly manufactured by The Boeing Corporation. Currently, United Launch Alliance, a cooperative venture between Lockheed Martin Corporation and The Boeing Company, has assumed responsibility for providing Delta rocket services to U.S. government customers.

**deluge water** – Water used during the launch of spacecraft to suppress vibrations, fire, and sound from igniting rocket engines and boosters.

**deflagrate** – To burn suddenly and/or violently.

**Design Reference Mission** – Fixed combinations of elements (launch vehicles, capsule sizes, rendezvous locations, number of launches, *etc.*) to deliver crew and/or cargo to a specific destination for a specific duration, used to define the requirements of each mission architecture element during the **ESAS** study.

**Earth Departure Stage** – The Upper Stage of the **Ares V** launch vehicle for lunar missions. It would be used to achieve Earth orbit and subsequently trans-lunar injection once docking with the **Orion** spacecraft is completed (also called Mars Transfer Stage for Mars missions).

**endangered species** – The classification provided under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to an animal or plant in danger of extinction within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

**environmental impacts** – Adverse or beneficial effects that the proposed action or alternatives would have on both the human and natural environment. This includes direct, indirect and cumulative impacts.

**essential fish habitat** – Those waters and substrate necessary for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity for federally managed fish species. Promulgated under the Magnuson-Stevens Act of 1976 (and subsequent amendments) to protect and conserve domestic fisheries within U.S. territorial waters.

**estuary** – A semi-closed body of water characterized by an open mouth (usually leading to the ocean) and one or more tributaries. Usually, these areas are sites of high biologic activity. They may be known as bays, sounds and/or fjords.

**exhaust cloud** – Emissions from the launch or testing of a rocket.

**exhaust velocity** – The speed of emissions from a rocket engine nozzle.

**Exploration Systems Architecture Study (ESAS)** – A document prepared by NASA, which was used as a starting point for the Constellation Program. It is the result of an Agency-wide team activity to define the requirements for a new space transportation and

exploration infrastructure which meets the objectives of President George W. Bush's *Vision for Space Exploration*.

**extravehicular activity(ies) (EVA)** – Actions which include assembly, repair, or exploration outside of the pressurized environment of a space vehicle.

**Extravehicular Activities Project** – The NASA Project under the **Constellation Program** designated for modifying and/or developing new hardware to support EVAs.

**Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) Program** – A Department of Defense program to develop and build a family of launch vehicles for long-term military, civil, and commercial use.

**Fairing/Payload Shroud** – An ellipsoid shaped structure which covers cargo being launched into space. Principally designed to protect spacecraft from aerodynamic loads during ascent, it is jettisoned late in the ascent, after those loads diminish.

**Federal Register (FR)** – The official United States Government publication for rules, proposed rules, executive orders and other presidential documents, and notices of Federal agencies and organizations.

**Fee Area** – The area designated within the gated boundary of the John C. Stennis Space Center.

**First Stage** – The launch vehicle stage that provides thrust at liftoff.

**fused silica** – A type of glass containing silicon dioxide in a non-crystalline form. It is currently used in the windows on the Space Shuttle, and would likely be used in the windows on the **Orion Crew Module**.

**General Conformity Rule** – The General Conformity Rule is applicable to nonattainment or maintenance areas (see **attainment**) as designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and ensures that Federal actions conform to each State Implementation Plan for air quality. These plans, approved by the EPA, are each State's individual plan to achieve the NAAQS as required by the **Clean Air Act**. The EPA is required to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan if a State defaults on its implementation plan. A conformity requirement determination for a Federal action is made from influencing factors, including, but not limited to, nonattainment or maintenance status of the area, types of emissions and emission levels resulting from the action, and local impacts on air quality.

**Gimbal** – a mechanical device which allows a nozzle of a rocket engine to be moved in different axes

**Ground Operations Project** – The NASA Project under the **Constellation Program** responsible for ground processing and testing of the integrated launch vehicles, providing launch logistics and services, and post-landing recovery operations of the **Orion Crew Module** as well as the **Ares I First Stage** and the **Ares V solid rocket boosters**.

**ground processing** – Readyng the **Orion** spacecraft and the **Ares** launch vehicles for stacking on the mobile launcher and later launch operations. This includes checking battery power, fueling operations, flight and environmental systems checks, loading of any

cargo, *etc.* It also refers to actions which involve refurbishing the **Crew Module** and **SRBs** after post-landing and recovery operations.

**ground support equipment** – Any piece of hardware necessary to support launch or recovery operations which is not to be launched itself.

**ground track** – An imaginary pathway on the surface of Earth that corresponds to the location of an in-flight object.

**Halon** – Compounds used as fire extinguishing agents which contain bromine, fluorine, and carbon. Some halon compounds cause ozone depletion and are banned under the *Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*. See **Montreal Protocol**.

**hazardous material (Hazmat)** – Generally, a substance or a mixture of substances that has the capability of either causing or significantly contributing to an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating reversible illness; or posing a substantial present or potential risk to human health or the environment. Use of these materials is regulated by several statutes (*e.g.*, the Resource Conservation Recovery Act).

**Human-rated** – A space system that incorporates those design features, operational procedures, and requirements necessary to transport humans.

**Hypergolic fuel** – Rocket fuel which spontaneously ignites when its two components are combined.

**integrated launch vehicle(s)** – The combination of all components in a launch system.

**International Space Station** – A multi-national research installation which is currently being assembled in Earth orbit.

**in situ** – A Latin phrase meaning *in the place*; under the **Constellation Program**, it refers to the use of prevalent existing resources on lunar missions which will be used to provide fuel, power, *etc.* for sustained human presence.

**Ionosphere** – The Earth's upper atmospheric region where ionization of atmospheric gases occurs

**Launch Abort System** – A propulsive stage of the **Orion** spacecraft which would provide a means of escape for crew members prior to **Ares I** ascent. The Launch Abort System will be similar in design to the Apollo Launch Escape System. It would be mounted on top of the **Crew Module**, and when ignited, would propel the **Crew Module** free of the **Ares I First Stage**.

**launch azimuth** – The initial angle, measured clockwise from North, which a launch vehicle's **ground track** makes as the vehicle begins to ascend.

**lifting body** – An aircraft that obtains lift from the airfoil shape of its fuselage.

**liquefaction** – The process of making or becoming a liquid; in geology, it is the process in which soil is converted into a suspension during events such as earthquakes. A term used to describe potential soil conditions in earthquake-prone regions.

- low Earth orbit** – An orbit generally between 200 to 2000 km (124 to 1,240 mi) above the Earth’s surface.
- Lunar Architecture Study** – A NASA study which utilized inputs from government, academic, and private sources to determine a blueprint for a return of human presence on the lunar surface as well as the establishment of a lunar outpost. Robotic precursors to human missions were also integrated into the study.
- Lunar Lander** – The vehicle that would be used to transport crew and cargo from the **Orion** spacecraft in lunar orbit to the lunar surface and back.
- Lunar Lander Project** – The NASA Project under the **Constellation Program** responsible for the design, development, and construction of **Lunar Landers**.
- Mach** – The speed of sound. In general, it is approximately 1,238 km/h (769 mph) at sea level at a temperature of 70°F (21°C).
- Main Propulsion Test Article (MPTA)** – A full-scale, working prototype of an **Ares I** Upper Stage used for multiple ground tests including firing the J-2X engine at MSFC.
- major source** – A pollution source that emits more than a defined threshold level defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. These sources are required to put in place monitoring plans and obtain applicable state and Federal permits. See **Title V**.
- meteorology** – The scientific study of atmospheric phenomenon.
- mitigation** – A method or action to reduce or eliminate adverse impacts.
- Mission Operations Project** – The NASA Project under the **Constellation Program** that is responsible for astronaut training and planning for, and executing missions. They are also responsible for managing launch and entry Range Safety.
- Mobile Launch Platform** – A two story structure that rides on the **crawler transporter**, and has provided a mobile launch base for both the **Saturn V** and the Space Shuttle vehicles. The structure contains umbilicals which service the orbiter as well as attach posts which hold the boosters and orbiter in place, keeping the entire structure upright before launch.
- Montreal Protocol** – Otherwise known as the *Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*, this international treaty was originally enacted in 1987, and most recently amended in 1999, to protect the ozone layer through immediately banning some and ultimately phasing-out all ozone depleting substances.
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)** – Section 109 of the **Clean Air Act** requires the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to set nationwide standards, the **NAAQS**, for widespread air pollutants. Currently, six pollutants are regulated by primary and secondary **NAAQS** (see **criteria pollutants**).
- National Estuary Program** – A program, directed by Section 320 of the **Clean Water Act**, which is responsible for improving and protecting the quality of estuaries of national importance.

**National Historic Landmark** – A building site, structure, district, or object that is deemed to be of rich historic or cultural value to the United States of America. See **National Historic Preservation Act**.

**National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)** – Legislation which created the **National Register of Historic Places** and the list of **National Historic Landmarks** in order to preserve historical and archaeological sites in the United States. Section 106 of the NHPA pertains to the “*Protection of Historic Properties.*”

**National Register of Historic Places (National Register)** – A register of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects important in American history, architecture, archaeology, and culture, maintained by the Secretary of the Interior under authority of Section 2(b) of the Historic Sites Act of 1935 and Section 101(a)(1) of the **National Historic Preservation Act** of 1966, as amended.

**Notice of Intent (NOI)** – The first formal step in the environmental impact statement process, consisting of a notice in the **Federal Register** with the following information: a description of the proposed Federal action and alternatives; a description of the agency’s proposed scoping process, including scoping meetings; and the name and address of the person(s) to contact within the lead agency regarding the environmental impact statement.

**ordnance** – Military materials; explosives, ammunition, or other combat vehicles and equipment.

**Orion** – Formerly the **Crew Exploration Vehicle (CEV)**; refers to the vehicle which would incorporate a **Crew Module, Service Module, Launch Abort System, and spacecraft adapter**. This vehicle would be used (along with the **Ares I** launch vehicle) as a replacement for the Space Shuttle to transport crew and cargo between the Earth and the International Space Station, provide crew transport (along with the **Ares I** and **Ares V** launch vehicles) for lunar and Martian exploration missions, and return crew and cargo to the surface of the Earth. See also **CEV**.

**overall sound pressure level** – A sound level averaged over the entire audio spectrum; used to measure rocket launch noise and engine testing noise propagation from the source.

**oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>)** – Gases formed primarily by fuel combustion, which contribute to the formation of acid rain. Hydrocarbons and oxides of nitrogen combine in the presence of sunlight to form ground-level ozone, a major constituent of smog.

**oxides of sulfur (SO<sub>x</sub>)** – A family of gasses which result from the burning of fuels that contain sulfur. These gasses are precursors of sulfuric acid which may precipitate out of the atmosphere in the form of acid rain.

**ozone layer** – A portion of the Earth’s **stratosphere** which contains a high concentration of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). It is very important in filtering out ultra-violet rays produced by the sun.

**ozone hole(s)** – Areas in the **ozone layer** noted for significant seasonal depletion of stratospheric ozone.

**payload(s)** – The element(s) that a launch vehicle or spacecraft carries over and above what is necessary for its operation. For a launch vehicle, the spacecraft being launched is the payload; for a scientific spacecraft, the suite of science instruments is the payload.

**Phenolic impregnated carbon ablation (PICA)** – A candidate material for use in the heat shield of the **Orion Crew Module**.

**polybutadiene acrylonitrile (PBAN)** – An elastomer used to bind the constituents of solid rocket fuel together; also known as Polybutadiene – Acrylic acid – Acrylonitrile.

**Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)** – A standard that applies to new **major sources** or major modifications at existing sources for pollutants where the source is located in an area defined as in attainment or unclassifiable for the **NAAQS**.

**Project Ares** – The program that is responsible for the development of the **Ares I** and **Ares V** launch vehicles. It is also responsible for the testing of the launch vehicles as well as their delivery to John F. Kennedy Space Center for use in missions.

**Project Orion** – Is responsible for building and delivering the **Orion** spacecraft to the **Ground Operations Project** at John F. Kennedy Space Center. **Project Orion** would lead the development of the **Orion** spacecraft.

**range** – Permanent or temporary area or volume of land, sea, or airspace within or over which orbital, suborbital, or atmospheric vehicles are tested or flown. This includes the operation of launch vehicles from a launch site to the point where orbit is achieved or final landing or impact of suborbital vehicle components. This also includes the atmospheric entry of space vehicles from the point that the commit to de-orbit is initiated to (for normal atmospheric entries) the point of intact vehicle impact, landing, or the impact of all associated debris.

**remediation** – The long term, permanent clean up of CERCLA or other environmentally contaminated sites.

**restrictive easement** – A condition placed on land by its owner or by Federal, state, or local government that in some way limits its use, usually regarding the types of structures which may be built there or what may be done with the ground itself.

**risk** – The combination of (1) the probability (qualitative or quantitative), including associated uncertainty, that a system will experience an undesired event (or sequences of events) such as internal system or component failure and (2) the magnitude of the consequences (to the public, personnel, mission, and vehicle) and associated uncertainties given that the undesired event(s) occur(s).

**rookery** – Colony of breeding birds.

**safing activities** – Refers to venting excessive fuels or disarming ordnance.

**Saturn V** – A member of the Saturn family of rockets designed to launch heavy payloads into space. It was an expendable, liquid-fueled launch vehicle was used to launch the Apollo and Skylab missions.

**seismology** – The study of earthquakes, their sources and after-effects, and the propagation of elastic waves through the Earth.

**Service Module** – A cylindrical structure that would attach to the bottom of the **Crew Module** and provide propulsion and power for the **Orion** spacecraft. The **Service Module** includes radiator panels to dissipate heat, solar arrays to contribute electric power, as well as a platform to attach communications devices such as antennas. It is also used for the final injection burn to get into low Earth orbit, as well as for the deorbit burn during missions to the **International Space Station**.

**Solid Rocket Booster (SRB)** – A rocket that provides additional boost to the main propulsion system used to launch a spacecraft. It consists of a solid rocket motor plus additional assemblies, attach rings, and other electronic avionic systems. It may be expendable (*e.g.*, Atlas and Delta) or reusable (*e.g.*, Space Shuttle).

**Solid Rocket Motor (SRM)** – A rocket motor with a solid propellant consisting of fuel and oxidizer combined in compact grain. The SRM used for the Space Shuttle is a multi-segmented, reusable motor.

**spacecraft adapter** – The connecting structural hardware between the launch vehicle and the **Orion** spacecraft.

**Specific Impulse (Isp)** – describes the efficiency of a rocket engine in terms of the relationship between the change in momentum and the amount of propellant. An engine with a higher specific impulse is considered to be more efficient

**Species of Concern** – Species that are declining or might be in need of conservation actions.

**Superfund site** – Any land in the United States which has been contaminated by hazardous waste and identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as a candidate for cleanup because it poses a risk to human health and/or the environment.

**stratigraphy** – A branch of geology which studies rock layers and layering.

**stratosphere** – An upper portion of the Earth's atmosphere above the **troposphere**, reaching a maximum height of 50 km (31 mi) above the Earth's surface. The temperature is relatively constant in the lower **stratosphere** and gradually increases with altitude. The stratosphere is the Earth's main ozone producing region.

**superalloy** – A ductile metal alloy able to maintain excellent mechanical strength at extreme temperatures. Superalloys are also able to withstand corrosion and oxidation; typically the base element is nickel, cobalt, or nickel-iron.

**tackifying** – To make sticky. The Ares solid rocket motors would use a solvent to emulsify or partially dissolve the surface of the rubber insulation making it sticky so that layers of rubber can be bonded together.

**Threatened Species** – The classification assigned to an animal or plant likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

**Title V** – Section of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments which require permit programs for large sources of air pollution. Permits are regulated under the **Clean Air Act**, but are issued by the state in which a source is located. Permits are available for viewing by all interests (government, general public, and industry).

**topography** – The study of Earth’s physical features (natural and man made) as well as the physical features of other planets and moons.

**total maximum daily load (TMDL)** – Calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a water body can receive and still meet water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources.

**tropopause** – The boundary between the **troposphere** and **stratosphere**, usually characterized by an abrupt change of the relationship between temperature and altitude; the change is in the direction of increased atmospheric stability from regions below to regions above the tropopause; its height varies seasonally, from 15 to 17 km (9 to 11 mi) in the tropics to approximately 10 km (6 mi) in polar regions.

**troposphere** – The portion of the atmosphere next to the Earth’s surface in which the temperature rapidly decreases with altitude, clouds form, and convection is active. The **troposphere** begins at ground level and extends to an altitude of 10 to 12 km (6 to 8 mi) above the Earth’s surface.

**umbilicals** – Connections that supply necessary support material to a launch vehicle while on the launch pad. They can supply, but are not limited to electricity, air, water and fuels.

**vadose** – Found or located above the water table.

**Warmwater Habitats** – A phrase designated by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency to describe an aquatic life use categorization.

**wetlands** – Areas that are inundated or saturated with surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil. This classification includes swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

**Wetland Mitigation Bank** – A site where wetlands and/or other aquatic resources are restored, created, enhanced, or in exceptional circumstances, preserved expressly for the purpose of providing compensatory mitigation in advance of authorized impacts to similar resources.

**Wild and Scenic Rivers Act** – Legislation enacted in 1968 which created the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System and Nationwide Rivers Inventory. The purpose of the act is to preserve certain rivers which exhibit outstandingly remarkable scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural or other similar values from damming or other alteration.

**Wildlife Management Area(s)** – Designated areas which allow for a wide range of public use such as hunting, fishing, camping, and other outdoor recreation activities.

This page intentionally left blank.

## 10. INDEX

### A

- A-1 Rocket Propulsion Test Stand, 2-21, 2-45, 3-13, 3-21, 4-31, 4-32, 4-35, 4-41
- A-2 Rocket Propulsion Test Stand, 2-21, 2-45, 3-13, 3-21, 4-31, 4-35, 4-41
- A-3 Test Stand, 1-10, 2-7, 2-21, 2-45, 2-46, 2-64, 2-65, 2-71, 4-31 to 4-35, 4-38, 4-40 to 4-42, 4-112, 4-124, 4-127, 5-2
- Acceptance and Preparation Building, 2-21, 2-44, 3-28, 4-46
- acid deposition, 1-8, 2-66, 2-68, 2-69 to 2-71, 2-75, 2-76, 4-4, 4-5, 4-25, 4-28, 4-40, 4-85, 4-115 to 4-118, 5-3
- Advanced Engine Test Facility, 2-45, 3-45, 4-54, 4-57, 4-59
- Advanced Projects Office
  - Lunar Surface Systems, 2-5, 2-38
  - Mars Systems, 2-5, 2-38
  - responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9, 2-38
- adverse effect, 4-17, 4-41, 4-46, 4-50, 4-60, 4-65, 4-68, 4-70, 4-73, 4-74, 4-81, 4-111, 4-113, 4-125
- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 4-69, 4-125
- affected environment, 3-1
- air resources impacts, 2-66, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-3, 4-32, 4-44, 4-49, 4-53, 4-61, 4-67, 4-72, 4-76, 4-77, 4-85
- Alliant Techsystems-Launch Systems Group
  - accidents, 4-90
  - affected environment, 3-88 to 3-97
  - air resources impacts, 2-74, 4-85
  - biological resources impacts, 2-74, 4-88
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-74, 4-87
  - ground testing, 2-39
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-74, 4-88
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-74, 4-88
  - land resources impacts, 2-74, 4-84
  - mitigation, 5-4
  - noise impacts, 2-74, 4-86
  - refurbishment activities, 2-23, 2-28
  - responsibilities, 3-88
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-74, 4-88
  - testing impacts, 2-64
  - transportation impacts, 2-74, 4-90
  - water resources impacts, 2-74, 4-86
- Alternatives
  - No Action Alternative, 2-1, 2-54, 2-74, 4-111
  - Proposed Action, 1-6, 2-1 to 2-5
  - summary comparison, 2-61, 2-70
- aluminum oxide, 2-66, 2-69, 2-70, 2-75, 2-76, 4-4, 4-15, 4-25, 4-86, 4-106 to 4-109, 4-114 to 4-119
- American Indian Religious Freedom Act, 4-125
- American Industrial Hygiene Association, 4-21, 4-26, 4-27
- American Institute for Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2-76, 4-117
- Ames Research Center
  - affected environment, 3-69 to 3-76
  - air resources impacts, 2-73, 4-72
  - biological resources impacts, 2-73, 4-73
  - environmental justice impacts, 2-73, 4-74
  - facility modifications, 2-40
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-73, 4-73
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-73
  - hazardous materials and wastes impacts, 4-74
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-73, 4-74
  - land resources impacts, 2-73, 4-72
  - noise impacts, 2-73, 4-72
  - potential impacts, 4-71 to 4-74
  - responsibilities, 3-69
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-73, 4-73
  - transportation impacts, 2-73, 4-74
  - water resources impacts, 2-73, 4-72
- anomalous launch, 4-21, 4-96, 5-3, 9-1
- Apollo Program, 1-1, 1-3, 2-2, 2-10, 2-12, 2-20, 2-41, 2-55, 3-36, 4-10, 4-50, 4-51, 4-116
- Arc Jet Laboratory, 2-40, 3-76, 4-73
- Archaeological Resources Protection Act, 4-125
- archeological resources, 3-12, 3-28, 3-36, 3-45, 3-60, 3-68, 3-76, 4-17, 4-50, 4-58, 4-65, 4-69, 4-74, 4-81
- Ares I
  - design, 2-15 to 2-21, 2-56 to 2-58
  - development, test, and manufacture locations, 2-21
  - facility modifications, 2-21
  - First Stage. See First Stage
  - flight tests, 2-47
  - ground processing, 2-24
  - ground testing, 2-39
  - ground tests, 2-47
  - hazardous materials, 2-31
  - hazardous processing, 2-27
  - landing sites. See jettisoned components
  - launch operations, 2-27
  - launch profile, 2-18
  - mission impacts, 2-66
  - recovery, 2-16, 2-23, 3-99, 4-96
  - refurbishment, 2-16
  - testing impacts, 2-64
  - Upper Stage. See Upper Stage
- Ares V
  - assembly locations, 2-25
  - Core Stage. See Core Stage
  - design

Earth Departure Stage. See Earth Departure Stage  
flight tests, 2-47  
ground processing, 2-28  
ground tests, 2-47  
hazardous materials, 2-31  
hazardous processing, 2-29  
landing sites, 2-23 to 2-25  
launch operations, 2-29  
launch profile, 2-24  
mission impacts, 2-66  
payload shroud. See payload shroud  
recovery, 2-23, 2-24, 3-99, 4-95  
Solid Rocket Boosters. See Solid Rocket Boosters  
testing impacts, 2-64  
testing locations, 2-24  
ascent abort, 2-7, 2-13, 2-48, 3-77, 3-99, 4-66, 4-96, 4-97  
ascent development flight tests, 2-48  
Atlantic Ocean, 2-14, 2-16, 2-23, 2-28, 2-68, 2-70, 3-2, 3-6, 3-99, 4-6, 4-13, 4-17, 4-22, 4-94, 4-95, 4-96, 4-104, 4-118  
Atlas, 1-3, 2-57, 2-58, 2-60, 4-8, 4-108  
atmospheric entry impacts, 4-98  
attainment, 3-5, 3-15, 3-24, 3-32, 3-40, 3-50, 3-81, 3-91, 4-33, 4-49, 4-85, 4-122  
Avionics Systems Testbed, 2-44, 3-45, 4-58

## B

B-1 Test Stand, 2-21, 2-24, 2-45, 3-21, 4-31, 4-35, 4-41  
B-2 Facility, 2-40, 3-49, 3-58, 4-62, 4-63 to 4-65, 5-5  
B-2 Test Stand, 2-21, 2-24, 2-46, 3-21, 3-49, 3-58, 4-31, 4-35, 4-41, 4-62, 4-63, 4-64, 4-65, 5-5  
Banana Creek, 2-67, 4-6  
Banana River, 2-67, 3-2, 3-6, 3-7, 4-6, 4-29  
Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 3-71  
biconic, 2-55  
biological resources impacts, 2-67, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-16, 4-40, 4-46, 4-50, 4-57, 4-63, 4-68, 4-73, 4-79, 4-88  
blast overpressure, 2-51, 2-68, 4-22  
Breton National Wildlife Refuge, 3-15, 4-33  
buffer zone, 2-65, 2-71, 2-73, 3-13, 3-29, 3-37, 3-77, 4-60, 4-78, 4-82  
Bureau of Land Management, 3-77  
burrowing animals, 2-66, 4-4, 4-28

## C

cadmium, 3-46  
California Ambient Air Quality Standards, 3-71  
California Institute of Technology, 3-88  
Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, 3-2, 4-4  
Cape Canaveral National Seashore, 3-2, 3-8, 3-9, 4-3

carbon dioxide, 2-69, 2-76, 4-71, 4-81, 4-85, 4-86, 4-109, 4-110, 4-111, 4-115, 4-117, 4-118  
carbon monoxide, 2-65, 2-69, 3-50, 3-72, 4-33, 4-44, 4-85, 4-86, 4-109, 4-110, 4-111, 4-115, 4-117, 4-118, 4-123  
Cargo Launch Vehicle. See Ares V  
casualty, 2-70, 2-73, 4-22, 4-102, 5-4  
CF4 Tunnel, 2-43, 4-70  
chlorine, 3-42, 3-51, 3-99, 4-106 to 4-109  
chlorofluorocarbon, 4-109  
chromium, 2-20, 3-29, 3-46, 4-121  
Class I air quality area, 3-15  
Clean Air Act, 2-21, 2-65, 2-72, 3-5, 3-13, 3-24, 3-32, 3-40, 3-50, 3-59, 3-71, 3-80, 3-90, 3-91, 4-33, 4-53, 4-86, 4-122, 4-127  
Clean Water Act, 3-40, 4-123  
Clear Lake City Water Authority, 3-32, 4-49  
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District, 3-46, 3-50  
Code of Federal Regulations, 1-1, 3-5, 3-12, 4-3, 4-10, 4-17, 4-39, 4-46, 4-56, 4-73, 4-122  
COLTS Thermal Lab, 2-43, 4-70  
Columbia Accident Investigation Board, 1-1, 2-54  
commercial space transportation, 1-12, 2-1, 2-53, 2-66  
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 2-45, 3-41, 3-46, 3-64, 3-65, 3-68, 3-73, 4-57, 4-68, 4-73, 4-124  
computer-aided tomography, 1-7  
Constellation Program  
background, 1-1  
initiation, 1-3  
NEPA elements, 1-10 to 1-13  
Notice of Intent, 1-7  
organizational structure, 2-2  
Project summaries, 2-4 to 2-9  
schedule, 1-10, 1-13, 2-2, 2-3  
scoping comments, 1-7  
consultations, 1-9, 2-64, 4-64, 4-81, 4-112, 4-123, 4-125, 4-126, 7-1  
contractors, 2-4, 2-6, 2-9, 3-1, 3-96, 4-94  
Core Stage  
design, 2-15, 2-22  
development and testing schedule, 2-24  
ground processing, 2-29  
ground tests, 2-47  
landing sites. See jettisoned components  
materials, 2-23  
testing impacts, 2-64  
Council on Environmental Quality, 1-1  
Crawlerway, 2-41, 3-12, 4-18, 4-119  
Crew Exploration Vehicle. See Orion  
Crew Launch Vehicle. See Ares I  
Crew Module  
design, 2-10 to 2-12  
fabrication and assembly location, 2-14

landing sites. See landing sites  
materials, 2-13 to 2-14  
Reaction Control System, 2-11  
recovery, 2-33, 3-99,  
solar arrays, 2-11  
test locations, 2-14  
Thermal Protection System, 2-11  
Crew Systems Laboratory, 2-40, 3-36, 4-51  
criteria pollutants, 3-5, 3-13, 3-15, 3-22, 3-24, 3-32,  
3-38, 3-50, 3-59, 3-63, 3-71, 3-80, 3-90, 4-33  
critical habitat, 3-26, 3-74, 3-93, 4-40, 4-43, 4-46  
cryogenics, 2-27, 2-30, 4-65  
cryoinsulation, 2-69, 4-44, 4-45, 4-111, 5-4  
cultural resources. See historic and cultural resources  
cultural resources impacts. See historic and cultural  
resources impacts  
Cultural Resources Management Plan, 2-64, 2-70, 4-  
17, 4-41, 4-50, 4-60, 4-65, 4-74  
cultural resources mitigation, 5-5  
cumulative impacts, 2-74 to 2-76, 4-1, 4-113  
Cryogenic Structural Test Facility, 2-45

## D

debris, 2-13, 2-50 to 2-52, 2-61, 2-68 to 2-70, 2-73,  
2-74, 3-12, 3-46, 3-53, 3-65, 4-22 to 4-24, 4-28, 4-  
29, 4-80, 4-82, 4-83, 4-96, 4-97, 4-98, 4-102, 4-  
103, 4-104, 5-1, 5-4, 5-5  
deflagrate, 4-25  
Delta, 1-3, 2-16, 2-57, 2-59, 3-88, 4-9, 4-23, 4-29, 4-  
84, 4-108  
deluge water, 3-16, 3-17  
Design Reference Missions, 1-3, 2-1  
dichloroethene, 3-41, 3-73  
diesel fuel, 3-26, 3-82  
dioxin, 3-17  
Dog Site, 2-48, 4-80  
Dryden Flight Research Center  
affected environment, 3-87  
impacts, 2-73, 4-83  
responsibilities, 3-87

## E

early-ascent aborts, 4-96  
Earth Departure Stage  
design, 2-16, 2-19, 2-22  
ground processing, 2-29  
ground tests, 2-47  
Ellington Field, 3-29, 4-48  
Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know  
Act, 3-12, 3-46, 3-76, 4-124  
Emergency Response Planning Guides, 4-27  
endangered species, 1-9, 3-9, 3-16, 3-19, 3-26, 3-34,  
3-42, 3-54, 3-65, 3-66, 3-74, 3-83, 3-84, 3-93, 4-  
15, 4-16, 4-29, 4-40, 4-46, 4-57, 4-79, 4-122

Endangered Species Act, 4-125  
engine ground tests, 2-39, 2-47, 2-62  
Engineering and Development Laboratory, 2-45, 3-  
45, 4-59  
environmental compliance, 4-121 to 4-127  
environmental impacts that cannot be avoided, 4-118  
environmental justice impacts, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-20, 4-  
42, 4-47, 4-52, 4-60, 4-65, 4-71, 4-74, 4-82  
Erie County Sewage Treatment Works, 3-52  
essential fish habitat, 1-9, 3-9, 4-17, 4-29, 4-32, 4-53,  
4-73, 4-125  
estuary, 3-6, 3-32, 3-63  
Estuary of National Significance, 3-6, 3-7  
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program, 2-16  
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles, 1-8, 2-56, 2-  
59  
exhaust cloud, 2-66, 2-69, 2-75, 4-3, 4-6, 4-15, 4-25,  
4-28, 4-85, 4-112, 4-114, 4-115, 4-118, 5-1  
Exploration Systems Architecture Study, 1-2 to 1-3,  
2-1  
Extravehicular Activities Systems Project  
responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9  
Extravehicular Activities Systems Project  
responsibilities, 2-37, 2-38  
Extravehicular Mobility Unit, 2-37

## F

Fabrication and Metals Technology Development  
Lab, 2-43, 4-70  
facility modifications, 1-10, 2-32, 2-33, 2-38, 2-62 to  
2-64, 4-30, 4-43, 4-46, 4-48, 4-52, 4-61, 4-66, 5-5  
Federal Coastal Zone Management Act, 4-125  
Federal Emergency Management Agency, 4-123  
Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, 4-126  
Federal Register, 1-7, 1-9 to 1-11, 2-55, 3-3  
Finding of No Significant Impact, 1-11, 1-12, 2-55,  
4-2  
First Stage  
design, 2-16 to 2-18  
development, test, and manufacture locations, 2-  
21  
ground processing, 2-27  
ground tests, 2-47  
landing sites. See jettisoned components  
materials, 2-17 to 2-18  
recovery, 2-16, 2-28, 3-99, 4-19, 4-96  
refurbishment, 2-16  
fish kills, 2-67, 2-70, 4-4, 4-16, 4-28  
flight tests, 1-12, 2-7, 2-15, 2-16, 2-39, 2-47 to 2-49,  
2-62, 2-64, 2-65, 3-77, 4-61, 4-66, 4-75, 4-119  
foam, 2-18, 2-21, 2-44, 2-69, 4-44, 4-45, 4-46, 4-47,  
4-53, 4-89, 4-111, 4-119, 5-4  
foreign partners, 2-1, 2-54, 2-55, 2-66, 3-77  
Freeport Center, 3-90

future activities, 1-13  
lunar outpost, 1-3, 1-13, 2-2, 2-56  
Mars missions, 1-13, 2-2, 2-6, 2-61  
nuclear systems, 1-8, 1-13  
future projects. See Advanced Project Office

## G

Gantry, 2-43, 2-63, 2-72, 3-68, 4-66, 4-69, 4-70, 4-112, 5-5  
Gas Dynamics Complex, 2-43, 4-70  
geology and soils impacts, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-15, 4-40, 4-45, 4-49, 4-57, 4-63, 4-68, 4-73, 4-78, 4-87  
George C. Marshall Space Flight Center  
affected environment, 3-37 to 3-46  
air resources impacts, 2-72, 4-53  
biological resources impacts, 2-72, 4-57  
environmental justice impacts, 2-72, 4-61  
facility modifications, 1-12, 2-21, 2-44, 4-52  
geology and soils impacts, 2-72, 4-57  
ground testing, 2-39  
hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-72, 4-60  
historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-72, 4-58  
land resources impacts, 2-72, 4-53  
mitigation, 5-3  
noise impacts, 2-72, 4-54 to 4-57  
potential impacts, 4-52 to 4-60  
responsibilities, 2-15, 2-19, 3-37  
socioeconomic impacts, 2-72, 4-58  
testing impacts, 2-64  
transportation impacts, 2-72, 4-60  
water resources impacts, 2-72, 4-54  
global environment, 3-97  
global impacts, 2-69, 4-106, 4-117  
global warming, 2-69, 2-76, 4-71, 4-106, 4-109, 4-111, 4-117, 4-118  
Goddard Space Flight Center  
affected environment, 3-87  
impacts, 2-73, 4-83  
responsibilities, 3-87  
greenhouse gases, 2-69, 4-109, 4-111  
Ground Operations Project  
facility modifications, 2-33  
responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9, 2-24 to 2-33, 4-2, 4-30, 4-48  
ground processing, 2-4, 2-25, 2-27, 2-29, 2-31, 3-2, 4-2, 4-17  
ground testing, 2-16, 4-85, 4-86  
Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, 3-21, 3-22

## H

halon, 2-12, 2-31  
Hampton Roads Intrastate Air Quality Control Region, 3-59  
Hampton Roads Sanitation District, 3-63

Hangar, 2-28, 2-32, 2-43, 3-12, 4-70  
Hardware Simulation Laboratory, 2-44, 3-45, 4-58  
hazardous air pollutants, 3-5, 3-58, 4-33, 4-44, 4-122  
hazardous materials and waste, 2-25 to 2-32, 2-42, 2-67, 2-70 to 2-74, 3-1, 3-5, 3-12, 3-21, 3-29, 3-37, 3-46, 3-58, 3-68, 3-76, 3-86, 3-87, 3-97, 4-19, 4-29, 4-42, 4-47, 4-50, 4-60, 4-65, 4-69, 4-74, 4-81, 4-88, 4-119, 4-122, 4-124, 4-126, 5-3  
hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-19, 4-42, 4-47, 4-50, 4-60, 4-65, 4-74, 4-81, 4-88  
hazardous materials and waste processing, 2-67  
Hazardous Processing Facility, 2-32, 2-42  
High Bay, 2-44, 2-45, 3-12, 3-28, 3-45, 4-46, 4-59  
historic and cultural resources, 1-9, 2-38, 2-64, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-2, 4-17, 4-30, 4-41, 4-43, 4-46, 4-48, 4-50, 4-52, 4-58, 4-61, 4-64, 4-69, 4-73, 4-80, 4-112, 4-125, 5-5  
historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-17, 4-41, 4-46, 4-50, 4-58, 4-64, 4-69, 4-73, 4-80, 4-88  
Holloman AFB, 3-79, 3-82  
Hot Gas Test Facility, 2-44, 3-45, 4-58  
human health and safety, 2-70 to 2-74  
Huntsville Operations Support Center, 2-45, 3-45, 4-59  
hydraulic fluid, 2-18, 4-95  
hydrazine, 2-17, 2-18, 4-104  
hydrochloric acid, 2-66, 2-68 to 2-70, 2-74, 2-76, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 4-16, 4-22, 4-25, 4-76, 4-86, 4-106, 4-114, 4-118, 5-4  
hydrochlorofluorocarbon, 2-69, 4-44, 4-89, 4-111, 4-119, 5-4  
Hydrogen Test Facility, 2-45, 4-59  
hypergolic propellants, 2-25, 2-27, 4-25, 4-95

## I

Impact Dynamics Facility, 2-43, 4-70  
incomplete or unavailable information, 4-119  
Indian Ocean, 2-17, 2-24, 3-99, 4-95  
Indian River, 2-67, 3-2, 3-6, 3-7, 4-6, 4-29  
Instrument Research Laboratory, 2-40, 3-58, 4-64  
International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 4-127  
International Space Station  
commitment, 1-13, 2-2  
mission impacts, 2-66  
missions, 1-2, 2-2  
irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources, 4-121

## J

Jake Garn Simulator and Training Facility, 2-34, 2-41, 4-51

---

## Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

---

- Jet Propulsion Laboratory
  - affected environment, 3-87, 3-88
  - impacts, 2-73, 4-83
  - responsibilities, 3-88
- jettisoned components, 2-10, 2-12 to 2-16, 2-23, 2-24, 2-27, 2-37, 2-50 to 2-52, 2-67, 2-69, 3-2, 3-99, 4-10, 4-94, 4-98, 4-103, 4-118, 4-126, 5-4
- JJ Railroad Bridge, 2-33, 2-43
- John C. Stennis Space Center
  - affected environment, 3-12 to 3-22
  - air resources impacts, 2-71, 4-32
  - biological resources impacts, 2-71, 4-40
  - environmental justice impacts, 2-71, 4-42
  - facility modifications, 1-10, 2-21, 2-45, 2-64, 4-30
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-72, 4-40
  - ground testing, 2-39
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-72, 4-42
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-72, 4-41
  - land resources impacts, 2-72, 4-32
  - mitigation, 5-2
  - noise impacts, 2-72, 4-35 to 4-39
  - potential impacts, 4-30 to 4-42
  - responsibilities, 2-24, 3-12
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-72, 4-40
  - testing impacts, 2-65
  - transportation impacts, 2-72, 4-42
  - water resources impacts, 2-72, 4-34
- John F. Kennedy Space Center
  - affected environment, 3-2 to 3-12
  - air quality impacts, 2-66
  - air resources impacts, 2-70, 4-3
  - biological resources impacts, 2-67, 2-70, 4-15
  - environmental justice impacts, 2-70, 4-20
  - facility modifications, 1-12, 2-32, 2-41, 2-63, 4-2
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-70, 4-15
  - ground processing, 2-29
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-70, 4-19
  - hazardous processing, 2-25
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-70, 4-17
  - human health and safety, 2-70
  - land resources impacts, 2-70, 4-3
  - mitigation, 5-1
  - noise impacts, 2-66, 2-70, 4-6 to 4-15
  - potential impacts, 4-2 to 4-30
  - recovery operations, 2-24, 2-28
  - responsibilities, 2-14, 2-23, 3-2
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-70, 4-17
  - testing impacts, 2-64
  - transportation impacts, 2-70, 4-19
  - water resources impacts, 2-67, 2-70, 4-6
- John H. Glenn Research Center
  - affected environment, 3-46 to 3-58
  - air resources impacts, 2-72, 4-61
  - biological resources impacts, 2-72, 4-63
  - environmental justice impacts, 2-72, 4-65
  - facility modifications, 2-21, 2-40, 4-62
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-72, 4-63
  - ground testing, 2-39
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-72, 4-65
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-72, 4-64
  - land resources impacts, 2-72, 4-61
  - noise impacts, 2-72, 4-62
  - potential impacts, 4-61 to 4-66
  - responsibilities, 2-14, 3-46
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-72, 4-64
  - transportation impacts, 2-72, 4-65
  - water resources impacts, 2-72, 4-62
- Lance Extended Range-4, 2-48, 4-80
- land resources impacts, 2-70 to 2-74, 4-3, 4-32, 4-43, 4-49, 4-53, 4-61, 4-66, 4-72, 4-75, 4-84
- landing sites, 1-12, 2-11, 2-24, 2-29, 2-33, 2-37, 2-52, 2-64, 2-68, 3-99, 4-79, 4-83, 4-98, 4-99, 4-103, 4-113
- Langley Air Force Base, 3-58, 3-64, 3-68, 4-68
- Langley Research Center
  - affected environment, 3-58 to 3-68
  - air resources impacts, 2-72, 4-67
  - biological resources impacts, 2-72, 4-68
  - environmental justice impacts, 2-72
  - facility modifications, 2-43, 4-66
  - geology and soils impacts, 2-72, 4-68
  - hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-72
  - historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-72, 4-69
  - land resources impacts, 2-72, 4-67
  - noise impacts, 2-72, 4-68
  - potential impacts, 4-66 to 4-71
  - responsibilities, 2-14, 3-58
  - socioeconomic impacts, 2-72, 4-69
  - transportation impacts, 2-72
  - water resources impacts, 2-72, 4-68
- large-quantity generator, 3-12, 3-29, 3-46, 3-58, 3-68, 3-76
- late-ascent abort, 4-98
- Launch Abort System
  - assembly, 2-26
  - design, 2-13 to 2-14
  - flight test location, 2-15
  - flight tests, 2-47, 2-48
  - ground tests, 2-47
  - landing sites, 2-48, See jettisoned components
  - testing, 2-9
  - testing impacts, 2-64
- launch accidents, 2-49, 2-68, 3-11, 4-21 to 4-25, 4-29, 4-30, 4-83, 4-96
- Launch Complex-32, 2-46, 2-48, 3-80, 3-82, 4-75, 4-80, 4-81, 4-82
- Launch Complex-33, 2-46, 2-48, 3-86, 4-77, 4-81

Launch Complex-39, 1-10, 2-29, 2-30, 2-32, 2-41, 2-48, 2-63, 2-70, 3-7, 3-12, 4-3, 4-4, 4-6, 4-112, 4-15 to 4-18, 4-119, 5-1, 5-5

Launch Control Center, 2-7, 2-27, 2-32, 2-42, 2-63, 2-70, 3-12, 4-17, 4-18

launch system testing, 2-39

lead, 1-4, 2-9, 2-72, 3-7, 3-12, 3-29, 3-46, 3-54, 3-68, 3-87, 4-42, 4-53, 4-67, 4-80, 4-121

Lewis Field. See John H. Glenn Research Center

Lightning Protection System, 1-10, 2-32, 2-42, 2-71, 4-2, 4-16

liquid hydrogen, 2-16, 2-19, 2-20, 2-22, 2-31, 2-36, 2-39, 2-40, 2-45, 2-59, 2-60, 2-69, 3-12, 3-13, 4-5, 4-13, 4-23, 4-25, 4-30 to 4-33, 4-35, 4-42, 4-44, 4-54, 4-62, 4-64, 4-95, 4-111, 4-121, 5-4

liquid oxygen, 2-16, 2-19, 2-20, 2-22, 2-31, 2-36, 2-39, 2-40, 2-45, 2-59, 2-60, 2-69, 3-12, 3-13, 4-5, 4-13, 4-23, 4-25, 4-30 to 4-33, 4-35, 4-42, 4-44, 4-54, 4-62, 4-64, 4-95, 4-111, 4-121, 5-4

Lockheed Martin Corporation, 2-9

London Dumping Convention, 4-126

Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, 3-18

low Earth orbit, 1-1, 1-13, 2-9, 2-15, 2-16, 2-22, 2-38, 2-57, 2-59, 2-61

Lunar Lander

- Ascent Stage, 2-36
- Descent Stage, 2-36
- design, 2-36

Lunar Lander Project

- responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9, 2-36 to 2-37

lunar outpost. See future activities

Lunar Payload, 2-15, 2-23, 2-28 to 2-30, 2-36, 4-17

- ground processing, 2-28

Lunar Surface Systems. See Advanced Projects Office

Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center

- affected environment, 3-29 to 3-37
- air resources impacts, 2-71, 4-49
- biological resources impacts, 2-71, 4-50
- environmental justice impacts, 2-71, 4-52
- facility modifications, 2-40, 4-49
- geology and soils impacts, 2-71, 4-50
- hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-71, 4-50
- historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-71, 4-50
- land resources impacts, 2-71, 4-49
- mission operations, 2-36
- mission planning activities, 2-35
- noise impacts, 2-71, 4-49
- potential impacts, 4-48 to 4-52
- responsibilities, 2-2, 2-15, 3-29
- socioeconomic impacts, 2-71, 4-50
- training and testing activities, 2-34
- transportation impacts, 2-71, 4-51
- water resources impacts, 2-71, 4-49

## M

31-Inch Mach 10 Tunnel, 2-43, 4-70

Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 1-7

Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act, 3-9

Main Propulsion Test Article, 2-16

- testing impacts, 2-65

manatees, 1-8, 4-29

Manufacturing Building, 2-21, 2-44, 3-12

Marine Mammal Protection Act, 4-125

Mars missions. See future activities

Mars Systems. See Advanced Projects Office

Materials and Processes Laboratory, 2-44, 3-46, 4-59

Materials Research Lab, 2-43, 4-70

Memoranda of Agreement, 4-19, 4-41, 4-47, 4-50, 4-60, 4-65, 4-69, 4-74, 4-125

Merritt Island, 3-2, 3-6

Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge, 3-3, 3-8, 4-3, 4-15

Michoud Assembly Facility

- affected environment, 3-22 to 3-29
- air resources impacts, 2-72, 4-44
- biological resources impacts, 2-71, 4-46
- environmental justice impacts, 2-71, 4-47
- facility modifications, 2-21, 2-44, 4-43
- geology and soils impacts, 2-71, 4-45
- hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-71, 4-47
- historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-71, 4-46
- land resources impacts, 2-71, 4-43
- noise impacts, 2-71, 4-45
- potential impacts, 4-43 to 4-48
- responsibilities, 2-14
- socioeconomic impacts, 2-71, 4-46
- transportation impacts, 2-71, 4-47
- water resources impacts, 2-71, 4-45

mid-ascent aborts, 4-97

Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 4-125

migratory birds, 2-63, 2-73, 3-26, 3-74, 4-79

Minuteman, 4-75, 4-81, 4-84

Missile Crawler Transporter Facilities, 2-41, 3-12, 4-18

Mission Control Center, 2-5, 2-35, 2-36, 2-41, 3-36, 4-48, 4-50, 4-51, 4-112

mission impacts, 2-66 to 2-69

Mission Operations Project

- mission operations, 2-36
- mission planning activities, 2-34
- responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9, 2-33 to 2-36, 4-48, 4-71
- training and test activities, 2-34

Mississippi Ambient Air Quality Standards, 3-13

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit, 2-65

Mississippi Department of Marine Resources, 4-34  
Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries and  
Parks, 3-18  
Mississippi River Gulf Outlet Canal, 4-47  
Mississippi Sound, 4-34  
mitigation, 2-49, 2-64, 3-17, 4-3, 4-16, 4-22, 4-30, 4-  
39, 4-56, 4-69, 4-79, 4-102, 4-120, 4-125, 5-1 to  
5-5, 5-1  
Mobile Launch Platform, 2-7, 2-32, 2-39, 2-41, 4-18  
Mobile Launcher, 1-10, 2-39, 2-41  
monomethylhydrazine, 2-13, 4-76, 4-81  
Montreal Protocol, 3-98  
Moon  
    mission impacts, 2-66  
    missions, 1-13, 2-2  
Mosquito Lagoon, 3-2, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9, 4-6

## N

NASA Ames Development Plan, 3-71  
NASA Authorization Act of 2005, 1-2, 1-4, 2-1, 2-55  
NASA Policy Directive, 2-52, 4-124  
NASA Procedural Requirements, 2-49, 2-51, 2-67, 4-  
22, 4-94, 4-99, 5-5  
National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 3-5, 3-13,  
3-15, 3-22, 3-24, 3-32, 3-40, 3-50, 3-59, 3-63, 3-  
71, 3-80, 3-81, 3-90, 4-33, 4-49, 4-123  
National Center for Advanced Manufacturing, 2-45,  
3-45, 4-59  
National Emissions Inventory, 4-44  
National Estuary Program, 3-32  
National Historic Landmark, 2-46, 2-63, 3-21, 3-28,  
3-36, 3-45, 3-58, 3-68, 3-76, 3-86, 3-97, 4-18, 4-  
41, 4-50, 4-51, 4-59, 4-64, 4-65, 4-69, 4-70, 4-73,  
4-75, 4-112, 5-5  
National Historic Preservation Act, 4-47, 4-125, 5-5  
National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health,  
4-26  
National Marine Fisheries Service, 1-9, 4-17  
National Park Service, 3-3, 4-69, 5-5  
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, 3-  
7, 3-16, 3-41, 3-51, 3-52, 3-91, 3-92, 4-54, 4-57,  
4-72, 4-123, 4-127  
National Register of Historic Places, 2-38, 2-41, 2-  
42, 2-46, 2-71, 2-72, 3-12, 3-21, 3-28, 3-36, 3-45,  
3-58, 3-76, 3-86, 3-97, 4-18, 4-41, 4-46, 4-50, 4-  
51, 4-59, 4-64, 4-65, 4-73, 4-80, 4-88, 4-112, 4-  
125  
Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation  
Act, 4-125  
Native American Traditional Cultural Properties, 3-  
86  
Neutral Buoyancy Simulator Complex, 2-45, 3-45, 4-  
59

new exploration initiative. See Vision for Space  
Exploration  
new facilities, 2-6, 2-9, 2-32, 2-33, 2-38, 2-39, 2-48,  
2-63 to 2-64, 2-70, 4-2, 4-3, 4-62, 4-79, 4-111, 4-  
113, 4-114, 4-116, 4-119, 4-122, 4-123, 5-1  
New Mexico Air Quality Control Standards, 3-80  
New Mexico Environmental Department Discharge  
Permit, 3-81  
nitrogen tetroxide, xxi, 2-13, 2-31, 4-76, 4-81  
No Action Alternative. See Alternatives  
noise impacts, 2-66, 4-6, 4-35 to 4-39, 4-45, 4-49, 4-  
54 to 4-57, 4-62, 4-63, 4-68, 4-72, 4-73, 4-77, 4-  
86, 4-87  
nonattainment, 3-63, 3-71, 3-91, 4-123  
North End Point Natural Preserve, 3-65  
nose cap, 2-18, 2-23  
Notices to Airmen, 2-52, 3-11, 4-96, 5-5  
Notices to Mariners, 2-52, 3-11, 4-96, 4-97, 4-103, 5-  
5  
nuclear systems. See future activities

## O

Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 4-8  
ocean disposal, 4-95, 4-103  
Operations and Checkout Building, 2-28, 2-32, 2-42,  
3-12, 4-18  
orbital flight tests, 2-49, 2-65  
Orbiter Processing Facilities, 2-42, 4-18  
Orion  
    design, 2-9 to 2-10, 2-55  
    development, 1-10, 2-9  
    development and testing locations, 2-14  
    ground processing, 2-24  
    hazardous materials, 2-31  
    hazardous processing, 2-25, 2-27  
    launch operations, 2-27  
Outstanding Florida Waters and Aquatic Preserves,  
3-6  
oxides of nitrogen, 2-66, 2-70, 2-74, 4-4, 4-33, 4-44,  
4-76, 4-86, 4-107, 4-109, 4-118  
ozone, 1-8, 2-69, 2-74, 2-76, 3-6, 3-32, 3-50, 3-59, 3-  
71, 3-80, 3-90, 3-98, 4-4, 4-45, 4-49, 4-107, 4-  
108, 4-109, 4-117 to 4-119, 4-123, 5-4

## P

Pacific Ocean, 2-13, 2-23, 2-51, 2-52, 2-74, 3-99, 3-  
99, 4-13, 4-95, 4-98, 4-99, 4-103, 4-104, 4-118  
pad abort, 2-7, 2-46, 2-47, 2-48, 2-65, 3-77, 4-66, 4-  
75, 4-76  
Parachute Refurbishment Facility, 2-32, 2-42, 3-12,  
4-18  
particulate matter, 2-66, 2-70, 2-75, 3-6, 3-50, 3-71,  
3-80, 3-90, 4-4, 4-44, 4-76, 4-85, 4-106, 4-107, 4-  
109, 4-118, 4-123

---

## Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

---

payload shroud, 2-22, 2-29, 2-61, 4-94, 4-95  
ground processing, 2-29  
perchlorate, 2-18, 3-91, 3-92, 3-93, 3-96, 4-88, 4-95  
phenolic impregnated carbon ablator, 2-11, 2-12  
planetary protection policy, 4-127  
Plum Brook Ordnance Works, 3-49, 3-52, 3-53, 3-54  
Plum Brook Station. See John H. Glenn Research Center  
Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge, 3-65  
Pneumatic Test Facility and Control Building, 2-44, 3-28, 4-46  
Pollution Prevention Act, 4-124  
polybutadiene acrylonitrile, 2-14, 2-16 to 2-18, 2-31, 2-58, 4-76, 4-85, 4-88, 4-110, 4-115, 4-121  
polychlorinated biphenyl, 3-29, 3-42, 3-54, 3-64, 3-65, 3-74  
polychlorinated terphenyl, 3-64, 3-65  
Port Canaveral, 3-2, 3-11  
preparers, 6-1 to 6-7  
Prevention of Significant Deterioration, 2-65, 4-33, 4-122  
Project Ares  
responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-9, 2-15 to 2-24, 4-2, 4-30, 4-43, 4-48, 4-52, 4-61, 4-66, 4-71, 4-75, 4-84  
Project Gemini, 1-1, 4-102  
Project Mercury, 1-1, 1-4, 4-102  
Project Orion  
responsibilities, 2-5 to 2-15, 4-2, 4-43, 4-48, 4-52, 4-61, 4-66, 4-71, 4-75  
propellant, 1-2, 1-3, 2-13, 2-14, 2-16, 2-17, 2-19, 2-21, 2-23, 2-25, 2-27, 2-31, 2-32, 2-36, 2-42, 2-45, 2-48, 2-52, 2-58, 2-61, 2-66, 2-67, 2-69, 2-70, 2-74 to 2-76, 3-13, 3-29, 3-89, 3-96, 3-97, 4-4, 4-5, 4-19 to 4-23, 4-25, 4-28 to 4-31, 4-35, 4-59, 4-76, 4-79, 4-81, 4-84 to 4-86, 4-88, 4-90 to 4-96, 4-104, 4-107, 4-109, 4-110, 4-114, 4-115, 4-118, 4-121, 4-122, 5-1  
Proposed Action, 4-1, See Alternatives  
Propulsion and Structural Test Facility, 2-44, 3-45, 4-59  
Purpose and Need, 1-4 to 1-7  
need, 1-4  
purpose, 1-6

### R

Range Safety, 2-4, 2-6, 2-36, 2-49 to 2-52, 2-50, 2-51, 2-66 to 2-68, 2-70, 2-73, 3-2, 3-11, 3-29, 3-77, 4-5, 4-21 to 4-26, 4-48, 4-79, 4-83, 4-94, 4-98, 4-102, 5-1, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5  
Reaction Control System  
testing activities, 2-48  
testing location, 2-21  
Recommended Exposure Limit, 4-26

Record of Decision, 1-10, 2-63, 4-38, 4-39, 4-53, 4-56, 5-2  
references, 8-1 to 8-14  
remediation, 3-17, 3-18, 3-33, 3-41, 3-51, 3-52, 3-54, 3-68, 3-82, 4-82, 5-3  
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 3-12, 3-21, 3-25, 3-26, 3-29, 3-37, 3-46, 3-58, 3-68, 3-76, 3-86, 3-91, 3-92, 3-97, 4-86, 4-124  
restrictive easement, 3-13, 5-2  
robotic missions, 1-2, 1-4, 1-6, 2-1, 2-11, 2-53, 2-66, 3-88  
Rocky River Reservation, 3-46

### S

Salt Creek, 3-81  
San Andres National Wildlife Refuge, 3-77, 3-82  
Saturn V, 2-16, 2-22, 2-66, 3-17, 4-8, 4-10, 4-38, 4-58  
sea turtles, 1-9, 2-64, 2-71, 3-9, 3-66, 4-16, 5-1  
Service Module  
design, 2-12  
landing sites. See jettisoned components  
materials, 2-13  
Reaction Control System, 2-13  
solar arrays, 2-13  
Thermal Protection System, 2-13  
Small Missile Range, 2-48, 4-80  
socioeconomic impacts, 2-62, 2-71 to 2-75, 4-105 to 4-107  
Solid Rocket Booster Assembly and Refurbishment facilities: Buildings, 2-41  
Solid Rocket Boosters  
design, 2-22 to 2-23  
ground processing, 2-29  
landing sites. See jettisoned components  
recovery, 2-23 to 2-24, 2-28, 3-99, 4-19, 4-96  
Solid Rocket Motors  
testing impacts, 2-65  
sonic booms, 2-68, 4-9, 4-13, 4-78, 4-99 to 4-102  
Sonny Carter Training Facility, 2-34, 2-41, 3-29, 3-37, 4-48, 4-51  
sound suppression system, 4-4 to 4-6, 4-9, 4-112, 4-127  
South Range Launch Complex and Support Areas, 3-77, 3-82, 3-83  
Space Environment Simulation Laboratory, 2-41, 3-36, 4-51  
Space Power Facility, 2-14, 2-40, 3-49, 3-58, 4-63, 4-64, 4-65  
Space Shuttle  
history, 1-1  
modifications, 2-54  
retirement, 1-6, 1-7, 1-13, 2-1, 2-62

Space Shuttle External Tank, 2-22, 2-58, 2-61, 3-22, 3-24, 3-29, 4-8, 4-13, 4-44, 4-45, 4-47  
Space Shuttle Program, 1-1, 1-3, 1-6 to 1-8, 2-16, 2-18, 2-21, 2-32, 2-37, 2-51, 2-58, 2-62, 2-63, 2-69, 2-75, 2-76, 3-96, 3-97, 4-1 to 4-3, 4-8, 4-16, 4-17, 4-19, 4-20, 4-30, 4-33, 4-42 to 4-45, 4-47, 4-48, 4-49, 4-60, 4-84 to 4-90, 4-94, 4-96, 4-102, 4-104, 4-106, 4-110, 4-112, 4-114, 4-116, 4-117, 4-119, 4-120, 4-124, 4-126, 5-1, 5-4  
Space Station Processing Facility, 2-32, 2-42  
Spacecraft Adapter  
  design, 2-14  
Spacecraft Propulsion Research Facility, 2-40, 3-49, 3-58, 4-62, 4-64, 5-5  
spacesuit. See Extravehicular Mobility Unit  
State Historic Preservation Officer, 2-64, 2-71, 2-72, 2-73, 2-74, 4-19, 4-41, 4-47, 4-50, 4-60, 4-64, 4-65, 4-69, 4-74, 4-81, 4-113, 4-125, 5-5  
State Implementation Plan, 4-49, 4-123  
stratosphere, 2-69, 2-76, 3-98, 4-4, 4-107, 4-109, 4-117  
stratospheric ozone, 2-76, 3-98, 4-45, 4-107 to 4-109, 4-117, 4-118  
Structural Dynamic Test Facility, 2-7, 2-21, 2-44, 3-45, 4-58  
Structures & Mechanics Lab, 2-45  
Structures and Materials Lab, 2-43, 4-70  
sulfur dioxide, 3-5, 3-50, 4-44, 4-123  
Supersonic Wind Tunnel, 2-40, 3-58, 4-64  
Systems Integration Facility, 2-34, 2-41, 3-36, 4-51

## T

1,1,1-trichloroethane, 2-74, 3-73, 4-89  
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 3-41  
Test and Data Recording Facility, 2-45, 3-45, 4-58  
Test Facility 116, 2-44, 3-45, 4-58  
testing impacts, 2-65  
tetrachloroethene, 3-41  
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, 3-37  
Thermal Structures Lab, 2-43, 4-70  
threatened species, 1-9, 3-9, 3-16, 3-19, 3-26, 3-34, 3-42, 3-54, 3-65, 3-74, 3-83, 3-93, 4-16, 4-40, 4-46, 4-63, 4-79, 4-80, 4-88, 4-125  
Thrust Vector Control, 2-17  
  testing location, 2-21  
Titan, 4-8, 4-9, 4-13, 4-15, 4-25, 4-108  
total maximum daily load, 3-40  
total suspended particulates, 4-118  
Toxic Release Inventory, 3-12, 3-45, 3-76  
Toxic Substances Control Act, 4-124  
Transonic Dynamics Tunnel, 2-43, 3-65, 4-68, 4-70  
Transonic Tunnel, 2-40, 3-76, 4-73  
transportation impacts, 4-51, 4-82

transportation impacts, 2-71 to 2-75, 4-19, 4-47, 4-60, 4-65, 4-74, 4-90  
trichloroethene, 3-17, 3-41, 4-90  
trinitrotoluene, 3-51, 3-54, 4-91  
Trinity Site National Historic Landmark, 3-77  
tropopause, 3-98  
troposphere, 2-76, 3-98, 4-107, 4-109, 4-115, 4-117

## U

U.S. Air Force, 1-8, 2-16, 3-87, 4-22, 4-25, 4-28, 4-83, 4-120, 5-4  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2-64, 3-17, 3-51, 3-52, 3-54, 4-34, 4-47, 4-123, 5-3  
U.S. Army Redstone Arsenal, 3-38, 3-41 to 3-43, 3-45, 3-46, 4-54, 4-56, 5-3  
U.S. Coast Guard, 4-20, 4-42, 4-60  
U.S. Department of Energy, 4-110  
U.S. Department of the Army, 3-77  
U.S. Department of the Interior, 5-5  
U.S. Department of Transportation, 3-96, 4-19, 4-42, 4-47, 4-51, 4-60, 4-65, 4-74, 4-82, 4-92  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 3-5, 3-68, 4-15, 4-34  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1-9, 3-3, 4-40, 4-123, 4-125  
U.S. Space Act, 1-12  
U.S. Space Program  
  directives, 1-4  
  initiation, 1-6  
  technological advancements, 1-7  
  timeline, 1-5  
Unitary Plan Wind Tunnel, 2-40, 3-76, 4-73  
Unitary Wind Tunnel, 2-43, 4-70  
United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 4-127  
Upper Stage  
  design, 2-16, 2-18 to 2-20  
  ground processing, 2-25  
  ground tests, 2-47  
  landing sites. See jettisoned components  
  materials, 2-20  
  testing impacts, 2-65  
Utah Department of Environmental Quality, 3-91

## V

Vehicle Assembly Building, 2-25, 2-42, 3-2, 4-18  
Vertical Assembly Facility, 2-44, 4-46  
Vertical Spin Tunnel, 2-43, 4-70  
vinyl chloride, 3-17, 3-42, 3-73  
Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, 3-63  
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 3-59, 3-63  
Vision for Space Exploration, 1-1 to 1-2, 1-4, 2-1

---

## Final Constellation Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

---

volatile organic compound, 3-17, 3-26, 3-52, 3-63, 4-44, 4-109

### W

Warmwater Habitats, 3-51

water resources impacts, 2-67, 2-71 to 2-75, 4-6, 4-34, 4-45, 4-49, 4-54, 4-62, 4-67, 4-72, 4-77, 4-86  
wetlands, 2-64, 2-72, 3-2, 3-8, 3-17, 3-18, 3-33, 3-41, 3-43, 3-52, 3-64, 3-72, 3-74, 3-82, 4-3, 4-17, 4-31, 4-35, 4-40, 4-46, 4-50, 4-57, 4-63, 4-69, 4-122, 4-123, 5-2

Wheeler National Wildlife Refuge, 3-37, 4-56, 4-57

White Sands Missile Range

affected environment, 3-77 to 3-87  
air resources impacts, 2-73, 4-76  
biological resources impacts, 2-73, 4-79  
environmental justice impacts, 2-73, 4-82  
facility modifications, 1-10, 2-46, 4-75  
geology and soils impacts, 2-73, 4-78, 4-79  
hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-73, 4-81  
historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-73, 4-80, 4-81  
human health and safety, 2-73  
land resources impacts, 2-73, 4-75  
launch accidents, 4-83  
mitigation, 5-3  
noise impacts, 2-73, 4-77  
potential impacts, 4-73 to 4-84  
responsibilities, 2-9, 2-15, 3-77

socioeconomic impacts, 2-73, 4-80

testing activities, 2-48

testing impacts, 2-65

transportation impacts, 2-73, 4-82

water resources impacts, 2-73, 4-77

White Sands National Monument, 3-77, 3-86

White Sands Test Facility

affected environment, 3-77 to 3-87

air resources impacts, 2-74, 4-76

biological resources impacts, 2-73, 4-79

environmental justice impacts, 2-73, 4-82

facility modifications, 4-75

geology and soils impacts, 2-73, 4-78, 4-79

hazardous materials and waste impacts, 2-73, 4-81

historic and cultural resources impacts, 2-73, 4-80, 4-81

human health and safety, 2-73

land resources impacts, 2-73, 4-75

noise impacts, 2-73, 4-77

potential impacts, 4-73 to 4-84

responsibilities, 3-77

socioeconomic impacts, 2-73, 4-80

testing activities, 2-48

transportation impacts, 2-73, 4-82

water resources impacts, 2-74, 4-77

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 3-16, 3-24, 3-63

Wind Tunnel Facility, 2-45, 3-45, 4-59

World Heritage Site, 3-86