Selected References on Science Education


APPENDIX I: Suggestions for Maximizing the Use of Learner-Designed Activities

We planned this guide to facilitate the move from teacher-centered learning to more student-centered learning. Here are some suggestions and explanations in using the various sections of each chapter. However, the educator should feel free to determine how these suggestions are best used for students under her/his guidance. Our suggestions should augment, rather than supplant, the creative abilities of teachers.

Think About This!

This section is a type of advanced organizer to be used by the teacher with students to prepare students for in-depth thought and to create a mindset for the activity to follow. Often, this section makes use of events or situations that are common experiences for the learner. At times, the learner is asked to think about next steps to develop further an experience or activity that he/she just completed. The teachers are encouraged to substitute an advanced organizer they feel fits the situation.

Probing Further

This section is intended to facilitate deeper thought about the experience or activity performed. The learner is encouraged to think beyond the simple outcome to which factors might affect the outcomes and make the experience more complex. Further, the learner might need to conduct additional investigations to verify his/her conclusions.

Objectives For The Learner

This section identifies and provides examples of student objectives for the four essentials of inquiry. It is strongly suggested that the four essentials of inquiry be addressed in each activity. In the case of guided and open inquiry, the examples are more hypothetical because the objectives in these types of activities will need to be determined by input from learner and teacher alike.

Preparation

This section provides the educator with some aspects of classroom management. If the activity is confirmation-verification or structured inquiry, assistance is in the form of listing and explaining needed materials and equipment. If the activity is guided or open inquiry, suggestions have more to do with how the teacher anticipates possible activity design and prepares materials and equipment to accommodate the learner’s potential direction. It is important to become more experienced with guided and open inquiry learning.


**Examining The Results**

Important guidance is provided for the teacher to bring effective closure to an activity or experience. This guidance is in the form of questions that can facilitate learners’ rethinking important steps in the activity or experience. The teacher is provided some possible resolutions to the questions. These are italicized and should not be seen by the learner. The educator should feel free to accept suggested solutions put forth by the student that are supported by data gathered in the activity or experience.

**Conclusion**

Examples are provided for the teacher that seem to flow naturally from the questions asked and the data gathered to support the learner’s conclusions. An important consideration is nurturing of the scientific habits of mind, such as careful observations, respect for data, insistence on verification, and conducting experiments safely.

**Going Further**

This section provides the educator suggestions to challenge the learner to go beyond the current activity or experience to further verify results, probe more deeply, or to take the outcome to another level of inquiry.

**Challenge**

This section is designed for the teacher to raise new issues. It is intended to encourage the learner to take a different perspective on the same issue that has been discussed or investigated. This perspective might yield additional insight into the issue.

**Background For The Teacher**

Where concepts are more advanced, in-depth or additional information about subjects under investigation are provided.
Four Levels of Questions

Introduction to the Four Levels of Questions

These questions are a modification and elaboration of the work of Dennis Palmer Wolf, specifically from “The Art of Questioning” Academic Connections: pp. 1-7, Winter 1987 and the work found in the PBS series “Learning Science Through Inquiry.”

The “stem” of questions helps the teacher of learning raise or lower a question’s level. Low level questions are characterized by getting at specific facts or definitions with stems such as, “who did it” and “when did it occur.” As the learner becomes more experienced with inquiry learning, a question’s level can be raised to analysis, interpretation, evaluation and opinion questions. Thus, the learner is challenged by changing the stem, for example, to “if this result occurs, what might be the consequence?” The following stems are designed to help the teacher of learning raise the inquiry level by seeing how the level is changed as the stem is changed.

Level 1. Summarizing/Definition/Fact Questions

What is the definition of ...?
Who did ...?
When did ... occur?
How much/many ...?
What is an example of ...?

Level 2. Analysis/Interpretation Questions

How did ... occur?
Why does ... occur?
What are the reasons for ...?
What are types of ...?
How does ... function?
How does the process occur?
What are my own examples of ...?
What causes ... to occur?
What results when ... occurs?
What is the relationship between ... and ...?
How is ... similar to/different from ...?
How does... affect or apply to...?
What does ... mean?
What conclusions can be drawn from ... information?
What is (are) the problem(s), conflict(s), issue(s)?
What are possible solutions/resolutions to these problems, conflicts, issues?
What is the main argument or thesis of an author?
How is this argument developed?
What evidence, proof, support is offered?
What are other theories, arguments from other authors?
Level 3. Hypothesis/Prediction Questions

If ... occurs, then what would happen?
If ... changed, then what would change?
What does theory x predict will happen?
What hypothesis or theory explains this data or given information?


Is ... good/bad? Why?
Correct or incorrect? Why?
Effective or ineffective? Why?
Relevant or irrelevant? Why?
Logical or illogical? Why?
Applicable or not applicable? Why?
Proven or not proven? Why?
Ethical or unethical? Why?
What are the advantages or disadvantages of ...? Why?
What is the best solution to the problem, conflict, issue?
Why is it the best?
What should or should not happen? Why?
Do I agree or disagree? Why?
What is my opinion? What is my support for my opinion?

How Can You Use These Questions?

To determine a question’s level, take any concept or statement and put one of the appropriate stems in front of it. Read the example categories given above to assess the level.

Questions such as the examples above might be found in different resources. Changing the stem can change the level of thought process from low thinking to more critical thinking.
APPENDIX II: Selected Weather Adages

The higher the clouds the better the weather. High clouds indicate fine weather will prevail. Lower clouds mean rain.

If all stars are out at night, it will be a nice day tomorrow.

When dew is on the grass, no rain will come to pass.

A setting red sun means it’ll be hot tomorrow. If the sun goes pale to bed ’twill rain tomorrow.

Red sky at night, sailors’ delight. Red sky in morning, sailors take warning.

The evening red and morning gray are sure signs of a fine day, but evening gray and morning red will bring rain upon your head.

If red the sun begin his race, be sure the rain will fall apace. If red the sun set in gray, the next will be a rainy day.

When clouds look like rocks and towers, the Earth will be refreshed by showers.

If clouds look as if scratched by a hen, get ready to reef your topsails then.

Herringbone sky, neither too wet nor too dry. (Cirrus clouds have a herringbone pattern.)
Mackerel sky, not 24 hours dry.
Mackerel sky, storm is nigh.
Mackerel sky, mackerel sky — never long wet, never long dry.
Mackerel skies and mares’ tails make ships carry shortened sails.
Mares’ tails mean storms and gales.
Horses’ manes and mares’ tails, sailors soon shall shorten sails.
If clouds are gathering thick and fast, keep sharp look out for sail and mast, but if they slowly onward crawl, shoot your lines, nets and trawl.
When the wind is blowing in the North
No fisherman should set forth,
When the wind is blowing in the East,
’Tis not fit for man nor beast,
When the wind is blowing in the South
It brings the food over the fish’s mouth,
When the wind is blowing in the West,
That is when the fishing is best!

No weather is ill, if the wind be still.

Every wind has its weather.

Yellow sky at sunset, wind in the morrow.

Rainbow in the morning,
travelers take warning;
rainbow at night,
traveler's delight.

Rainbow in the eastern sky,
the morrow will be dry.
Rainbow in the west that gleams,
rain falls in streams.

Grasshoppers chirp louder and louder
the hotter it gets.

If wooly fleeces deck the heavenly way,
be sure no rain will mar a summer's day.

When bees are out flying, there will be fair weather.
When they stay close to the hive, rain is coming.
(Bees do not swarm before a storm.)

March comes in like a lion, goes out like a lamb.

March winds bring April showers.

April showers bring May flowers.

Find more adages at these Web sites:
http://www.moonsmuses.com/weatherlore.html
APPENDIX III: The Scientific Habits of Mind* and Conceptual Themes Addressed in This Publication

Scientific Habits of Mind

The work of the 1966 Education Policies Commission serves as the foundation for addressing the “scientific habits of mind” found in this publication. “In 1966, the Education Policies Commission, recognizing the key role that could be played by science education in developing the ability to think, published a document, *Education and the Spirit of Science*, which emphasized science not so much as a body of accumulated knowledge but as a way of thinking, a spirit of rational inquiry driven by a belief in its efficiency and by a restless curiosity to know and understand.”

While the commission recognized that no scientist may fully exemplify the spirit of science and no work can be completely objective, it is clear that the following key values underlie science as an enterprise:

- Longing to know and understand
- Questioning all things
- Searching for data and their meanings
- Demanding verification
- Respecting logic
- Considering the premises
- Considering the consequences

It is believed that the more objective nature of these listed scientific habits of mind will encourage and enable educators to better nurture their development in learners.

Conceptual Themes

Conceptual themes used effectively can set specific content in a broad context to enable learners to better understand how the natural and human-designed worlds are organized, change and interrelate, thus enabling a better transfer and application of knowledge. In this publication, the first-level conceptual themes are interdisciplinary so as to provide curriculum developers appropriate links across disciplines.

Three broad first-level conceptual themes used in this publication are:

- Organization
- Change
- Interrelationships

APPENDIX IV: Specific National Science Education Standards Addressed In This Publication

Unifying Concepts and Processes Standard

“Conceptual and procedural schemes unify science disciplines and provide students with powerful ideas to help them understand the natural world. Because of the underlying principles embodied in this standard, the understandings and abilities described here are repeated in the other content standards. Unifying concepts and processes include:

- Systems, order, and organization.
- Evidence, models, and explanation.
- Change, constancy, and measurement.
- Evolution and equilibrium.
- Form and function.”

Science As Inquiry Standards

“In the vision presented by the Standards, inquiry is a step beyond “science as a process,” in which students learn skills, such as observation, inference, and experimentation. The new vision includes the “processes of science” and requires that students combine processes and scientific knowledge as they use scientific reasoning and critical thinking to develop their understanding of science. Engaging students in inquiry helps students develop:

- Understanding of scientific concepts.
- An appreciation of “how we know” what we know in science.
- Understanding of the nature of science.
- Skills necessary to become independent inquirers about the natural world.
- The dispositions to use the skills, abilities, and attitudes associated with science.”

Science As Content Standards

As a result of their activities in grades 5-8 all students should develop an understanding of

- Structure of the Earth system.
- Water evaporates from the Earth’s surface, rises, cools as it rises to higher elevations, condenses as rain or snow and falls to the surface.
- The atmosphere is a mixture of nitrogen, oxygen, and trace gases that include water vapor.
- Clouds, formed by condensation of water vapor, affect weather and climate.
- Global patterns of atmospheric movement influence local weather.
- The sun is the major source of energy for phenomena on the Earth’s surface, such as the movement of air masses and winds.
- As the number of parts of a system increases, the number of possible interactions between pairs of parts increases much more rapidly.
The Nature of Technology

Standard 1: Students will develop an understanding of the characteristics and scope of technology.

Standard 2: Students will develop an understanding of the core concepts of technology.

Standard 3: Students will develop an understanding of the relationships among technologies and the connections between technology and other fields of study.

Technology and Society

Standard 4: Students will develop an understanding of the cultural, social, economic, and political effects of technology.

Standard 5: Students will develop an understanding of the effects of technology on the environment.

Standard 6: Students will develop an understanding of the role of society in the development and use of technology.

Standard 7: Students will develop an understanding of the influence of technology on history.

Design

Standard 8: Students will develop an understanding of the attributes of design.

Standard 9: Students will develop an understanding of engineering design.

Standard 10: Students will develop an understanding of the role of troubleshooting, research and development, invention and innovation, and experimentation in problem solving.

Abilities for a Technological World

Standard 11: Students will develop abilities to apply the design process.

Standard 12: Students will develop abilities to use and maintain technological products and systems.

Standard 13: Students will develop abilities to assess the impact of products and systems.

The Designed World

Standard 16: Students will develop an understanding of and be able to select and use energy and power technologies.

Standard 17: Students will develop an understanding of and be able to select and use information and communication technologies.

Standard 20: Students will develop an understanding of and be able to select and use construction technologies.
APPENDIX V: Web Sites for Enhancing the Understanding of Weather

The following Web sites have been selected to enhance understanding of the activities presented in this booklet. Some are selected because they give more specific information about the essential knowledge and context for meteorology. Others provide additional insight into the tools and apparatus for measuring aspects of meteorological factors. You should feel free to add to this list of Web sites.

Inquiry Learning

Networking for Leadership, Inquiry and Systemic Thinking (NLIST)
http://www.nlistinquiryscience.com

NASA Weather Materials

On-line Lessons and Resources
http://nasaexplores.nasa.gov

General Weather Information
http://www.nasa.gov/audience/foreducators/topnav/materials/listbytype/By_Type_Guides_landingpage.html

The Case of the Phenomenal Weather (Release Date: April 10, 2002)
http://scifiles.larc.nasa.gov/episodes.html

Wild Weather Adventure Game
http://spaceplace.nasa.gov/en/kids/goes/wwa

El Niño

The Earth Observing System
http://eospso.gsfc.nasa.gov

Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM)
http://trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov/education_dir/education.html

TRMM Data Products
http://trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov/data_dir/data.html

The CERES S’COOL Project
http://asd-www.larc.nasa.gov/SCOOL

NASA/NOAA Cloud Chart
http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/cloud_chart

NASA’s CloudSat Mission
Weather Resources

USA Today Education Online: Science Of Weather
http://www.usatoday.com/educate/scienceofweather/index.htm

Weather and Climate Information
http://www.globe.gov/cgi-bin/resourceroom.cgi?parentid=25&lang=en&nav=1

NOAA Education Resources
http://www.education.noaa.gov

NOAA Jetstream— an Online School for Weather
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/srh/jetstream

The Weather Channel
http://www.weather.com/index.html

The Weather Channel Kids
http://www.theweatherchannelkids.com

National Severe Storms Laboratory
http://www.nssl.noaa.gov/edu

Skywatchers
http://www.on.ec.gc.ca/skywatchers/index_e.html

Science of Weather
http://www.usatoday.com/educate/scienceofweather/index.htm

Optics for Kids
http://www.opticalres.com/kidoptx_f.html#LightBasics

Converting Between Fahrenheit and Celsius Temperature Scales
http://www.usatoday.com/weather/wtempcf.htm

Folklore

Folklore Weather Forecasting
http://tww.id.au/wea/weather.html

Weather Lore & Why
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weather_lore
**Eardrums and Changes In Pressure**

Cabin Pressurization  
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cabin_pressurization

Gas Collections Within the Body  
http://www.pilotfriend.com/aeromed/medical/ascent_descent.htm

Traveling in the Mountains and Ear Drum Pressure  
http://answers.google.com/answers/threadview?id=764673

**The Boiling Point of Water**

Under Pressure  

Boiling Water  
http://astro.uchicago.edu/cara/southpole.edu/boil.html

Boiling Point Chart  
http://www.apo.nmsu.edu/site/directory/kloomis/bpH2O.html

The International Boiling Point Project  
http://www.k12science.org/curriculum/boilproj/index.html

Patterns, Change, and Expressions: Part C, Graphing Change  

Chapter 2 Answers: Patterns, Change, and Expressions: Part C, Graphing Change  
http://www.phschool.com/math/awsm/algebra/alg02ans.html

**Humidity and Moisture in the Air**

Water Science for Schools: The Water Cycle  
http://ga.water.usgs.gov/edu/watercycle.html

Aviation Weather  
http://virtualskies.arc.nasa.gov/weather/tutorial/tutorial4.html

Observing Moisture  
http://www.miamisci.org/hurricane/moisture.html

Water Vapor, Humidity, Dewpoint and Relationship to Precipitation  
http://www.crh.noaa.gov/lmk/soo/docu/humidity.php

Snow and Winter Storms  
http://www.oswego.edu/wscp/SNOW.htm
Weather Prediction and Meteorological Instruments

Make Your Own Weather Station
http://sln.fi.edu/weather/todo/todo.html

Meteorological Instruments
http://www.infoplease.com/encyclopedia/1metinst.html?mail-10-28

Setting Up Your Weather Station
http://www.weatheroffice.pyr.ec.gc.ca/skywatchers/teachersGuide/tg_chap01_e.html

Wind in Your Socks—Construct a Wind Sock
http://nasaexplores.nasa.gov/show_k4_teacher_st.php?id=030106102836

Building a Psychrometer
http://school.discovery.com/lessonplans/activities/weatherstation/airwaterboth.html

Using a Psychrometric Chart to Describe Air Properties

Dry Bulb, Wet Bulb and Dew Point Temperature
http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/dry-wet-bulb-dew-point-air-d_682.html

Wet-Bulb Temperature
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wet-bulb_temperature

Hygrometer
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hygrometer

Data Analysis and Measurement: a Head, Above the Clouds

STORM-E Weather Simulator
http://storme.cet.edu/

Suggestions About Weather Modification
http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/051031_mystery_monday.html

Severe Weather

Severe Weather Primer
http://www.nssl.noaa.gov/primer

Beaufort Scale
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beaufort_scale

Beaufort Wind Scale
http://www.spc.noaa.gov/faq/tornado/beaufort.html
Hurricanes

What is a Hurricane?

Mystery of Hurricane Formation
http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Newsroom/NasaNews/2005/2005092320505.html

How Do Hurricanes Form?

How Hurricanes Work
http://www.miamisci.org/hurricane/howhurrwork.html

Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saffir-Simpson_Hurricane_Scale

Make Your Own Hurricane

Hurricane Tracking
http://www.hurricanetrack.com

NASA’s Hurricane Resource Page

Looking At Hurricanes
http://learners.gsfc.nasa.gov/mediaviewer/sat_super

Hurricane Birth Through Death Animation and Story
http://learners.gsfc.nasa.gov/mediaviewer/birth_hurr

Hurricane Education
http://www.nasa.gov/vision/earth/lookingatearth/hurricane_educ_links.html

NOAA Costly Hurricanes
http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/pastcost.shtml

The 2006 Hurricane Season Was Near Normal
**Tornadoes**

**All About Tornadoes**
http://www.livescience.com/tornadoes

**Tornadoes In the Southern United States**
http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards/natural_hazards_v2.php3?img_id=13506

**Tornado Information for Kids**
http://www.fema.gov/kids/tornado.htm

**Twister: The Tornado Story**
http://whyfiles.org/013tornado/index.html

**Tornadoes Are Earth’s Most Violent Storms**
http://www.usatoday.com/weather/resources/2006-04-03-tornado-basics_x.htm

**Severe Weather and Natural Disasters**

**Tornadoes On The Sun**

**Spotting Tornadoes From Space**
http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2000/ast01may_1m.htm

**The Fujita Pearson Tornado Scale**
http://www.fema.gov/kids/fscale.htm

**The Fujita Scale**
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fujita_scale

**The Fujita Scale**
http://www.tornadoproject.com/fscale/fscale.htm

**The Fujita Scale as It Relates to the Beaufort and Saffir-Simpson Scales**
http://www.windows.ucar.edu/tour/link=/earth/Atmosphere/tornado/fujita.html
Severe Weather Preparedness

National Hurricane Center

Hurricane Warning
http://www.hurricanewarning.org

American Red Cross
http://www.redcross.org

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
http://www.fema.gov

Pacific Disaster Center
http://www.pdc.org

Clouds

Head In The Clouds (Cloudspotter Wheel)
http://www.srh.weather.gov/srh/jetstream/synoptic/ll_clouds1.htm

Cloud Classifications (Sky Watcher Chart)
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/srh/jetstream/synoptic/clouds_max.htm

NASA/NOAA Cloud Chart
http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/cloud_chart

One-page Cloud ID Chart

Cloud Appreciation Society Cloud Gallery

An Illustration of Cloud Types
http://forspaciousskies.com

U.S. Postal Service Postage Stamps Illustrating Cloud Types
http://www.lightwatcher.com/chemtrails/Cloudscapes.html
**Careers in Meteorology**

Careers in Atmospheric Research and Applied Meteorology  

Careers In Meteorology  

National Weather Service Careers  
http://www.weather.gov/careers.php

About Meteorology Career Options  
http://www.nssl.noaa.gov/faq/faq_careers.php

Meteorology Graduate School Programs  
http://www.gradschools.com/listings/menus/meteorology_menu.html

American Meteorological Society Career Center  
http://www.ametsoc.org/careercenter/index.html

Careers In Meteorology  
http://www.black-collegian.com/career/career-reports/meteorology2006-2nd.shtml

Careers In Weather  
http://www.usatoday.com/weather/resources/askjack/wacareer.htm
APPENDIX VI: Constructing Equipment

Construction Materials Needed to Generate Data for Specific Activities

One of the most challenging tasks research scientists face is, “How do I construct a means or device to generate the data needed to answer my particular research question?” In schools, students seldom have an opportunity to experience the challenge of pondering and constructing the needed apparatus to generate data relevant to a research question. This task can be most rewarding when accomplished. CAUTION: the safety of the student must be a primary consideration for this task, so much consideration must be given to ensure that the student activity is safe. It is strongly recommended that hand tools be used rather than power tools. This is especially important when working with younger students.

How to Build a Flashlight Holder

The following instructions describe one method of building an angle-adjustable flashlight holder using wood and screws as shown in Figure VI-1.

Materials

NOTE: For safety purposes, young learners should be given the pieces of wood with the holes pre-drilled. Older learners can drill the holes but should use a manual drill and not an electric drill. For assembling the holder, learners of all ages will need a screwdriver and hammer.

1 base holder — piece of wood 1 cm (0.5 in.) thick × 25 cm (10 in.) × 30 cm (12 in.)
1 vertical upright — piece of wood 2 cm (0.75 in.) thick × 4 cm (1.5 in.) wide × 30 cm (12 in.) long
1 horizontal extension — piece of wood 2 cm (0.75 in.) thick × 4 cm (1.5 in.) wide × 20 cm (8 in.) long
1 angle adjuster — piece of wood 2.5 cm (1 in.) thick × 5 cm (2 in.) wide × 15 cm (6 in.) long
4 wood screws 4 cm (1.5 in.) long
1 wood screw 2.5 cm (1 in.) long
1 nail with wide head 4 cm (1.5 in.) long
Protractor
1 piece of double-stick adhesive or clear tape 5 cm (2 in.) long (used to attach the protractor)
1 set of self-adhesive hook-and-loop fasteners 5 cm (2 in.) long (used to attach a flashlight to the angle adjuster) NOTE: Two strong rubber bands may be used instead of hook-and-loop fasteners to attach heavier flashlights.

Figure VI-1. Flashlight holder materials.
Assembling the Holder

On the base, draw a line perpendicular to the edge at the halfway point of the narrower edge. Along that line, mark two points 1.5 cm (0.5 in.) and 3 cm (1.25 in.) from the edge of the board. Drill holes at these two points. The locations of all holes are shown in Figure VI-1.

Drill two holes 1.5 cm (0.75 in.) apart into one end (the bottom) of the vertical upright. At the other end, on the flat side, drill two holes, one 1.5 cm (0.5 in.) and another 3 cm (1 in.) in from both the end and side.

Drill one hole 2 cm (0.75 in.) in from one end and centered along the centerline of the angle adjuster. This hole should be slightly larger that the other holes to allow the angle adjuster to move freely but snugly on the screw. Using the self-adhesive backing, attach one part the hook-and-loop fastener to the other end of the angle adjuster. Attach the other part of the hook-and-loop fastener to a flashlight.

Lay the horizontal extension flat on a table. Place the top end of the vertical upright on top of the horizontal extension, with the vertical upright perpendicular to the horizontal extension and with the corners aligned. Using two of the 4 cm (1.5 in.) screws, screw the vertical upright to the horizontal extension.

At the other end of the horizontal extension, lay the angle adjuster perpendicular to the horizontal extension, placing the end with the hole approximately 3 cm (1.25 in.) from the end of the horizontal extension. Using the 2.5 cm (1 in.) screw, screw the angle adjuster to the horizontal extension. Make sure that the angle adjuster is loose enough to be rotated on the screw.

Using two 4 cm (1.5 in.) screws, attach the vertical upright to the base. The completed flashlight holder is shown in Figure VI-2.

Using double-stick tape, attach the protractor to the vertical angle adjuster with the 0° line lined up with the centerline of the angle adjuster and the point where the 90° line aligns with the 0° line centered over the screw (Figure VI-3). Adjust the vertical angle adjuster so that the 90° mark on the protractor aligns with the centerline of the horizontal extension. Hammer the nail into the horizontal extension so that it touches the protractor at that point.

Attach a flashlight to the vertical angle adjuster.

Figure VI-2. Completed flashlight holder.

Figure VI-3. Completed flashlight holder.
How to Build a Tornado in a Box (TIB) or Cyclone in a Box (CIB)

A cardboard Tornado In a Box (vortex device) can be constructed using the materials shown in Figure VI-4. Plywood may also be used to construct a TIB/CIB as shown in Chapter 13.

Materials

1 cardboard box 40 cm (16 in.) wide
× 40 cm (16 in.) long × 60 cm (24 in.) high
(size can vary but sides must be of equal dimensions)

3 sheets of clear acrylic (or heavy clear plastic)
35 cm (14 in.) × 35 cm (14 in.) × 50 cm (20 in.)
(or that fit the size of the cardboard box)

1 metal or cardboard cylinder
7.5 cm (3 in.) in diameter × 30 cm (12 in.) length
(such as a metal or cardboard food can with the top and bottom cut out)

Black paint

Masking tape and staples

Metal pan 20 cm (8 in.) in diameter
× 5 cm (2 in.) deep (such as a cake pan)

Assembling the TIB/CIB

With the long axis of the box in a vertical position, cut out windows in three sides of the box, leaving about 5 cm (2 in.) of cardboard at each edge. On the fourth side, cut a door, that can be opened and taped shut. Paint the inside of the door and the cardboard that surrounds it black.

Cut a slit from top to bottom 2 cm (0.75 in.) wide and approximately 1.5 cm (0.5 in.) from the right edge of the box on each of the four sides.

Tape or staple the plastic sheets over the windows making certain not to block the vertical slits.

On the top center of the box, cut a hole 7.5 cm (3 in.) in diameter to fit the metal or cardboard cylinder.
cylinder. Position the cylinder over the hole and tape it securely into the hole leaving approximately 25 cm (10 in.) of length extending above the top of the box to form a chimney.

After the box is constructed, place the 8-inch pan on the floor of the box so that heated water can be poured into it.

The completed TIB/CIB is shown in Figure VI-5.
APPENDIX VII: Additional Activities

The following activities have been included for use by the teacher in further facilitating interest in the study of meteorology. These activities can be used without modification, modified by learner or teacher or in other ways as best determined by the teacher for best meeting the needs of the learner.

Most of these activities fall within the confirmation-verification and structured-inquiry categories. Since the learner has come to understand the different levels of inquiry, one challenge could be to have them modify the activities to make them more challenging by adding the necessary directions to make them guided and/or open-inquiry types.

NOTE: Some of these activities are modified and credit-cited and others have been used unmodified and credit-cited.
Cloud Wheel

Dennis Cain, NOAA Weather Service, NWS Southern Region HQ, Fort Worth, Texas.

Learning Lesson: Head in the Clouds

OBJECTIVE
The students will become better observers of the sky as they will see different types of clouds over several days.

OVERVIEW
Record the different types of clouds twice daily.

TOTAL TIME
5 minutes for each observation.

SUPPLIES
Scissors
Brass fasteners

PRINTED/AV MATERIAL
Access to NWS Skywatcher Chart (at school) and/or the Cloud Classification page via the Internet.
Cloud observing form.
CloudSpotter wheel.

TEACHER PREPARATION
Print sufficient number of copies of the Cloud Observing Form and CloudSpotter wheel for your students.
If possible, plan this lesson within four days of an upcoming cold front. (For your local forecast, enter your city, St or zip code at www.srh.weather.gov.) This will help maximize the variety of clouds the students will observe.

SAFETY FOCUS
Foggy weather safety

Background
Clouds are divided into four types (Cirro-form, Nimbo-form, Cumulo-form and Strato-form) at three basic levels (low, middle and high) in the atmosphere. Many locations will experience all of these different types of clouds over a period of a week or so.

Procedure
- Show the students how to make their portable CloudSpotter wheel by cutting along all dotted lines.
- Have the student fasten the two wheels together using a brass fastener.
- Show the students how to use the wheel, the NWS Skywatcher Chart and/or the Cloud Classification webpage to determine the types of clouds they observe.
- Have the students record their observation onto the Cloud Observation Form.
- Have the students repeat the cloud observation procedure again at home this evening and to make their observations as close to the same time as possible.
- Repeat for four days.

Discussion
Identifying clouds can be difficult at first. Have the students make their best effort in identifying the predominance cloud(s). Discuss any changes in the cloud type between the daytime observation at school and the evening observation at home. Discuss how the types of clouds change during the week, especially if a cold front passes your location. Discuss how we can look at the clouds to help forecast the weather.
Cut the circle along the dotted lines.
Cut out the white areas along the dotted lines where the word “cutout” appears.

http://www.srh.weather.gov/srh/jetstream/synoptic/ll_clouds1.htm
Cut the circle along the dotted lines. Poke a hole in the center of both circles, push a paper fastener through the holes and bend the ends of the fastener.
**The Mysterious Snake**

Decorate the spiral snake found below. Cut out the circle, and then carefully cut along the spiral line. Poke a small hole in the center of the snake’s head and tie a piece of thread through it. Hang the snake over a lamp that is turned off. Observe what happens. Next turn on the lamp and observe what happens.

What do you observe happening?

*The snake hung over the lamp that is not turned on probably stayed motionless.*
*When the lamp is turned on, the snake will probably spin.*

Can you explain why this happened?

*When the lamp was turned on, it heated the air above it. The hot air, being less dense, rose. This rising air rushed against and flowed over the underside of the snake causing it to spin.*
How Often Should I Measure the Weather?
For Middle School Students
John Pickle
Science Education Consultant
picklejohnmr@gmail.com
March 6, 2006

Goal: Explore how trends observed in weather data changes with several sampling rates and strategies.

Activity: Calculate and compare differences in daily weather statistics when using different sampling rates of the same data

Math Topics: Statistics to Describe Patterns in Temperature, Moisture and Pressure
- Interpretation of tables and graphs
- Average over day, maximum, minimum, and range

Introduction
Many of today’s weather instruments can be run automatically by a computer. Data can be measured and saved at very short time intervals and at any time of day. But what happens when you don’t have fancy electronic weather instruments? Will you still be able to have useful weather records if you don’t measure every 5 minutes?

What is the least number of measurements needed to have accurate details of the weather? If you or a friend have an automated weather station, think of an experiment you can do to measure how often you should measure.

Here is one experiment you could do.

Experiment
For a complete day, 24 hours, measure the temperature, dewpoint temperature, relative humidity, and air pressure as often as your instruments can accomplish. In this case, the weather station could make measurements every 15 minutes.

Question: If measurements were made every 15 minutes for a complete day, how many measurements will be made?

Procedure: Using a copy of the complete set data, eliminate every other measurement so you have one measurement every 30 minutes. Make a copy of this new data and eliminate every other measurement, resulting in one measurement per hour. Repeat this so you have data sets ranging from 96 to 3 measurements per day. The amount of time between a series of measurements should be the same throughout the day.

Question: By halving the number of observations for each data set, how many data sets will you have if you start with 96 measurements per day in the first data set and the final data set has 3 measurements per day.
**Question:** In order to have 3 equally spaced measurements throughout the day, how much time must occur between each measurement?

**Results of Experiment**
Now that we have the data sets, what do we do with them? Take a look at a graph of the temperature using two data sets: 96 and 3 observations per day. Even though the 3 data points are the same in both data sets, can you see a difference?
**Question:** Even though the 3 observations per day have 8 hours between observations, is there another way to distribute the data so it is spread more equally throughout the day starting and ending at midnight?

The next challenge is to numerically describe each set of data with one number to find out how different they are from each other. What would you use? There is no difference between data points where data exists for both data sets being compared. Rather, the differences occur where one data set has data and the other doesn’t. What single number describes a characteristic of a data set?

First attempt, try comparing daily *averages* of values for the different data sets. The following table is a comparison of the average values for temperature, dew point temperature, relative humidity, and air pressure for the 6 data sets. “Obs” means *observation* or measurement of the weather conditions at a particular time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Spacing of Obs</th>
<th>Number of Obs Per Day</th>
<th>Temperature Average</th>
<th>Dew-Point Average</th>
<th>RH Average</th>
<th>Pressure Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>1017.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>1017.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>1017.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hour</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>1017.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hour</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>1018.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hour</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>1017.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** What is the range of average values of the 4 variables for the 6 data sets?

**Hint:** The range is the maximum value minus the minimum observed value.

The range of average values for the 4 variables is not very much. The average values tend to differ more from the rest of the averages when there are fewer measurements. But is it worth making the extra measurements for an average value that differs by one degree in temperature, one percent relative humidity, or one millibar of pressure?

Try another number that describes a series of numbers to see if this is affected by the spacing of measurements over time. Examine the observed range in values for the different data sets. Do the ranges differ more than the averages when there are fewer points?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Spacing [hour]</th>
<th>Number of Obs Per Day</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Dew-Point Range</th>
<th>RH Range</th>
<th>Pressure Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question:** What is the range of these values for the 6 data sets? Is this a larger difference than observed for the average values?

**Activity:** Plot the range of temperature, dew point temperature, relative humidity, or pressure to examine what happens when fewer measurements are made over time. Describe any observed trends.

Why do relative humidity and temperature have a larger daily range than dew point and pressure? Both temperature and relative humidity are most effected by the heating of the sun; dew point and pressure do not change much during the day because they vary more with the character of air masses (large pools of air that move across the globe slowly changing properties).

**Activity:** But are these values very large? Compare the ranges to those of the largest observed range by calculating the percent range of the values:

$$\text{Percent Range} = 100 \times \left(\frac{\text{Range}}{\text{Maximum Range}}\right)$$

**Activity:** Study the graphs and tables you have generated, and decide what is an adequate rate of sampling the weather.
APPENDIX VIII: Beaufort Scale of Wind Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beaufort Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Wind Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Calm</td>
<td>0 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Light Air</td>
<td>1-3 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slight Breeze</td>
<td>4-7 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gentle Breeze</td>
<td>8-12 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Moderate Breeze</td>
<td>13-18 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fresh Breeze</td>
<td>19-24 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Strong Breeze</td>
<td>25-31 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Moderate Gale</td>
<td>32-38 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fresh Gale</td>
<td>39-46 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Strong Gale</td>
<td>47-54 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Whole Gale</td>
<td>55-63 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Storm</td>
<td>64-73 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hurricane</td>
<td>74+ mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Beaufort Wind Scale**

Mobile Aeronautics Education Laboratory Weather Workstation

The Beaufort Scale or Beaufort Wind Force Scale is a system for estimating wind strengths without the use of instruments, based on the effects wind has on the physical environment. The behavior of smoke, waves, trees, etc., is rated on a 13 point scale of 0 (calm) to 12 (hurricane). The scale was devised in 1805 by the British naval commander, later admiral, Sir Francis Beaufort (1774-1875). A further set of numbers (13-17) for very strong winds was added by the US Weather Bureau in 1955. The Beaufort Scale, as originally drawn up, made no reference to the speed of the wind and various attempts have been made to correlate the two.

The scale is not often used today as more direct methods are used by meteorologists to measure wind speed. However, it is still useful in estimating wind speeds, especially when anemometers are not available.
Beaufort number 0 – Calm

Wind speeds: less than 1 knot (<1 mph; <1 kph; <0.3 mps)
At sea: Sea like a mirror, calm
          Sea disturbance number: 0
          Probable wave height: flat (0 ft; 0 m)
On land: Smoke rises vertically
Notes: Boring, boring, boring...

Beaufort number 1 – Light Air

Wind speeds: 1-3 knots (1-3 mph; 1-5 kph; 0.3-1.5 mps)
At sea: Ripples with the appearance of scales are formed but without foam crests
          Sea disturbance number: 0
          Probable wave height: 5-10 cm (2-4 in) (0 ft; 0 m)
On land: Direction of wind shown by smoke drift, but not by vanes
Notes: Yachts just have steerage way

Beaufort number 2 – Light Breeze

Wind speeds: 4-6 knots (4-7 mph; 6-11 kph; 1.6-3.3 mps)
At sea: Small wavelets, still short but more pronounced;
          crests have a glassy appearance and do not break
          Sea disturbance number: 1
          Probable wave height: 10-15 cm (4-6 in); (0-1 ft; 0.3 m)
On land: Wind felt on face; leaves rustle; ordinary vane moved by wind
Notes: Wind fills sails of yacht, which then may move at 1-2 knots

Beaufort number 3 – Gentle Breeze

Wind speeds: 7-10 knots (8-12 mph; 12-19 kph; 3.4-5.4 mps)
At sea: Large wavelets; crests begin to break; foam of glassy appearance; perhaps scattered white horses
          Sea disturbance number: 2
          Probable wave height: 60 cm (2 ft); (1-2 ft; 0.3-0.6 m)
On land: Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; wind extends light flag
Notes: Yachts start to careen and travel at 3-4 knots

Beaufort number 4 – Moderate Breeze

Wind speeds: 11-16 knots (13-18 mph; 20-28 kph; 5.5-7.9 mps)
At sea: Small waves, becoming longer; fairly frequent white crests
          Sea disturbance number: 3
          Probable wave height: 1 m (3.5 ft); (2-4 ft; 0.6-1.2 m)
On land: Raises dust and loose paper; small branches are moved
Notes: Good working breeze for yachts; carry all sail with good list
Beaufort number 5 – Fresh Breeze

**Wind speeds:** 17-21 knots (19-24 mph; 29-38 kph; 8.0-10.7 mps)

**At sea:** Moderate waves taking a more pronounced long form; many white caps are formed; chance of some spray

- Sea disturbance number: 4
- Probable wave height: 2 m (6-7 ft); (4-8 ft; 1.2-2.4 m)

**On land:** Small trees in leaf begin to sway; crested wavelets form on inland waters

**Notes:** Yachts shorten sail

Beaufort number 6 – Strong Breeze

**Wind speeds:** 22-27 knots (25-31 mph; 39-49 kph; 10.8-13.8 mps)

**At sea:** Large waves begin to form; the white foam crests are more extensive everywhere; probably some spray

- Sea disturbance number: 5
- Probable wave height: 3 m (9-10 ft); (8-13 ft; 2.4-4 m)

**On land:** Large branches in motion; whistling heard in telegraph wires; umbrellas used with difficulty

**Notes:** Yachts with double reef in mainsail; care required when fishing

Beaufort number 7 – Near Gale / Moderate Gale

**Wind speeds:** 28-33 knots (32-38 mph; 50-61 kph; 13.9-17.1 mps)

**At sea:** Sea heaps up and white foam from the breaking waves begins to be blown in streaks along the direction of the wind

- Sea disturbance number: 6
- Probable wave height: 4 m (13-14 ft); (13-20 ft; 4-6 m)

**On land:** Whole trees in motion; inconvenience felt when walking against wind

**Notes:** Yachts remain in harbor, those at sea “lie to”

Beaufort number 8 – Gale / Fresh Gale

**Wind speeds:** 34-40 knots (39-46 mph; 62-74 kph; 17.2-20.7 mps)

**At sea:** Moderately high waves of greater length; edges crests begin to break into spindrift; the foam is blown in well-marked streaks along the direction of the wind

- Sea disturbance number: 6
- Probable wave height: 5.5 m (18 ft); (13-20 ft; 4-6 m)

**On land:** Breaks twigs off trees; generally impedes progress

**Notes:** All yachts make for harbor if possible

Beaufort number 9 – Strong Gale

**Wind speeds:** 41-47 knots (47-54 mph; 75-88 kph; 20.8-24.4 mps)

**At sea:** High waves; dense streaks of foam along the direction of wind; crests of waves begin to topple, tumble and roll over; spray may affect visibility

- Sea disturbance number: 6
- Probable wave height: 7 m (23 ft); (13-20 ft; 4-6 m)

**On land:** Slight structural damage occurs (chimney post and slates removed)
Beaufort number 10 – Storm / Whole Gale

**Wind speeds:** 48-55 knots (55-63 mph; 89-102 kph; 24.5-28.4 mps)

**At sea:** Very high waves with long overhanging crests; resulting foam in great patches is blown in dense white streaks along the direction of the wind; on the whole, the surface of the sea takes a white appearance; tumbling of the sea becomes heavy and shock-like; visibility affected

Sea disturbance number: 7

Probable wave height: 9 m (29 ft); (20-30 ft; 6-9 m)

**On land:** Seldom experienced inland; trees uprooted; considerable structural damage occurs

Beaufort number 11 – Violent Storm / Storm

**Wind speeds:** 56-63 knots (64-75 mph; 103-117 kph; 28.5-32.6 mps)

**At sea:** Exceptionally high waves (small and medium size ships might be for a time lost from view behind waves); sea is completely covered with long white patches of foam lying along the direction of wind; everywhere the edges are blown into froth; visibility affected

Sea disturbance number: 8

Probable wave height: 11 m (37 ft); (30-45 ft; 9-14 m)

**On land:** Very rarely experienced; accompanied by widespread damage

Beaufort number 12 (-17) – Hurricane

**Wind speeds:** 64 knots and greater (> 75 mph; >117 kph; >32.7 mps)

**At sea:** The air is filled with foam and spray; sea completely white with driving spray; visibility very seriously affected

Sea disturbance number: 9

Probable wave height: 11 m and more (> 37 ft); (>45 ft; >14 m)

**On land:** Very rarely experienced; accompanied by widespread damage

### APPENDIX IX: The Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Number (category)</th>
<th>Sustained Winds (mph)</th>
<th>Types of Damage</th>
<th>Hurricanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74-95</td>
<td><em>Minimal:</em> Damage primarily to shrubbery, trees, foliage and unanchored mobile homes. No real damage to other structures.</td>
<td>Irene, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>96-110</td>
<td><em>Moderate:</em> Some trees blown down. Major damage to exposed mobile homes. Some damage to roofing materials, window and doors.</td>
<td>Georges, 1998; Floyd, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;155</td>
<td><em>Catastrophic:</em> Complete failure of roofs on many residences and industrial buildings. Extensive damage to windows and doors. Some complete building failure.</td>
<td>Camille, 1969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale

The Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale is a 1-5 rating based on the hurricane’s present intensity. This is used to give an estimate of the potential property damage and flooding expected along the coast from a hurricane landfall. Wind speed is the determining factor in the scale, as storm surge values are highly dependent on the slope of the continental shelf and the shape of the coastline, in the landfall region. Note that all winds are using the U.S. 1-minute average.

**Category One Hurricane**

Winds 74-95 mph (64-82 kt or 119-153 km/hr).

Storm surge generally 4-5 ft above normal. No real damage to building structures.

Damage primarily to unanchored mobile homes, shrubbery, and trees. Some damage to poorly constructed signs. Also, some coastal road flooding and minor pier damage. Hurricane Lili of 2002 made landfall on the Louisiana coast as a Category One hurricane. Hurricane Gaston of 2004 was a Category One hurricane that made landfall along the central South Carolina coast.
Category Two Hurricane
Winds 96-110 mph (83-95 kt or 154-177 km/hr).
Storm surge generally 6-8 feet above normal.
Some roofing material, door, and window damage of buildings. Considerable damage to shrubbery and trees with some trees blown down. Considerable damage to mobile homes, poorly constructed signs, and piers. Coastal and low-lying escape routes flood 2-4 hours before arrival of the hurricane center. Small craft in unprotected anchorages break moorings. Hurricane Frances of 2004 made landfall over the southern end of Hutchinson Island, Florida as a Category Two hurricane. Hurricane Isabel of 2003 made landfall near Drum Inlet on the Outer Banks of North Carolina as a Category 2 hurricane.

Category Three Hurricane
Winds 111-130 mph (96-113 kt or 178-209 km/hr).
Storm surge generally 9-12 ft above normal.
Some structural damage to small residences and utility buildings with a minor amount of curtainwall failures. Damage to shrubbery and trees with foliage blown off trees and large trees blown down. Mobile homes and poorly constructed signs are destroyed. Low-lying escape routes are cut by rising water 3-5 hours before arrival of the center of the hurricane. Flooding near the coast destroys smaller structures with larger structures damaged by battering from floating debris. Terrain continuously lower than 5 ft above mean sea level may be flooded inland 8 miles (13 km) or more. Evacuation of low-lying residences with several blocks of the shoreline may be required. Hurricanes Jeanne and Ivan of 2004 were Category Three hurricanes when they made landfall in Florida and in Alabama, respectively.

Category Four Hurricane
Winds 131-155 mph (114-135 kt or 210-249 km/hr).
Storm surge generally 13-18 ft above normal.
More extensive curtainwall failures with some complete roof structure failures on small residences. Shrubs, trees, and all signs are blown down. Complete destruction of mobile homes. Extensive damage to doors and windows. Low-lying escape routes may be cut by rising water 3-5 hours before arrival of the center of the hurricane. Major damage to lower floors of structures near the shore. Terrain lower than 10 ft above sea level may be flooded requiring massive evacuation of residential areas as far inland as 6 miles (10 km). Hurricane Charley of 2004 was a Category Four hurricane that made landfall in Charlotte County, Florida with winds of 150 mph. Hurricane Dennis of 2005 struck the island of Cuba as a Category Four hurricane.

Category Five Hurricane
Winds greater than 155 mph (135 kt or 249 km/hr).
Storm surge generally greater than 18 ft above normal.
Complete roof failure on many residences and industrial buildings. Some complete building failures with small utility buildings blown over or away. All shrubs, trees, and signs blown down. Complete destruction of mobile homes. Severe and extensive window and door damage. Low-lying escape routes are cut by rising water 3-5 hours before arrival of the center of the hurricane. Major damage to lower floors of all structures located less than 15 ft above sea level and within 500 yards of the shoreline. Massive evacuation of residential areas on low ground within 5-10 miles (8-16 km) of the shoreline may be required. Only three Category Five Hurricanes have made landfall in the United States since records began: The Labor Day Hurricane of 1935, Hurricane Camille (1969), and Hurricane Andrew in August, 1992. The 1935 Labor Day Hurricane struck the Florida Keys with a minimum pressure of 892 mb — the lowest pressure ever observed in the United States.
Hurricane Camille struck the Mississippi Gulf Coast causing a 25-foot storm surge, which inundated Pass Christian. Hurricane Andrew of 1992 made landfall over southern Miami-Dade County, Florida causing 26.5 billion dollars in losses — at that time, the costliest hurricane on record. In addition, Hurricane Wilma of 2005 was a Category Five hurricane at peak intensity and is the strongest Atlantic tropical cyclone on record with a minimum pressure of 882 mb.

Hurricane Katrina was the costliest and one of the deadliest hurricanes in the history of the United States. It was the sixth-strongest Atlantic hurricane ever recorded and the third-strongest hurricane on record that made landfall in the United States. Katrina formed on August 23 during the 2005 Atlantic hurricane season and caused devastation along much of the north-central Gulf Coast of the United States. The most severe loss of life and property damage occurred in New Orleans, which flooded as the levee system failed catastrophically, in many cases hours after the storm had moved inland. The hurricane caused severe destruction across the entire Mississippi coast and into Alabama, as far as 100 miles (160 km) from the storm's center. Katrina was the eleventh tropical storm, fifth hurricane, third major hurricane, and second Category 5 hurricane of the 2005 Atlantic season.³

Hurricane Rita was the fourth-most intense Atlantic hurricane ever recorded and the most intense tropical cyclone ever observed in the Gulf of Mexico. Rita caused $11.3 billion in damage on the U.S. Gulf Coast in September 2005.[1] Rita was the seventeenth named storm, tenth hurricane, fifth major hurricane, and third Category 5 hurricane of the 2005 Atlantic hurricane season.³

The National Hurricane Center will create three and five day forecast cones for tropical systems.¹

This graphic shows a 3-day forecast cone for Hurricane Katrina issued by the National Hurricane Center on August 27, 2005 at 10 p.m. CDT. This display shows an approximate representation of coastal areas under a hurricane warning (red), hurricane watch (pink) and tropical storm warning (blue). The orange circle indicates the current position of the center of Hurricane Katrina. The black line and dots show the National Hurricane Center (NHC) forecast track of the center at the times indicated. The letter inside the dot indicates the

---

¹ Meteorology Activities for Grades 5-9 127 NP-2006-08-97-LaRC
NHC’s forecast intensity for that time. It should be noted not to focus on the skinny black line in the center of the cone and assume that it represents the exact track of the hurricane. Because of a margin of forecast error, the hurricane could be anywhere within the forecast cone within the time periods noted.

NHC forecast tracks of the center can be in error; the average track forecast errors in recent years were used to construct the areas of uncertainty for the 3 days (solid white area). There is also uncertainty in the NHC intensity forecasts.

It is also important to realize that a tropical cyclone is not a point. Their effects can span many hundreds of miles from the center. The area experiencing hurricane force (one-minute average wind speeds of at least 74 mph) and tropical storm force (one-minute average wind speeds of 39-73 mph) winds can extend well beyond the white areas shown enclosing the most likely track area of the center.

1 Erik Salna
Project Coordinator
Meteorologist, AMS, NWA
Disaster Survival House and Hurricane Warning!
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Deerfield Beach, Florida 33441
esalna@hurricanewarning.org
http://www.hurricanewarning.org

2 NOAA/ National Weather Service
National Centers for Environmental Prediction
National Hurricane Center
Tropical Prediction Center
11691 SW 17th Street
Miami, Florida, 33165-2149 USA
http://www.nhc.noaa.gov
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## APPENDIX X: The Fujita Scale for Tornado Damage

### Fujita Tornado Damage Scale

Developed in 1971 by T. Theodore Fujita of the University of Chicago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Wind Estimate* (mph)</th>
<th>Typical Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>&lt; 73</td>
<td>Light damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over; sign boards damaged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>73-112</td>
<td>Moderate damage. Peels surface off roofs; mobile homes pushed off foundations or overturned; moving autos blown off roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>113-157</td>
<td>Considerable damage. Roofs torn off frame houses; mobile homes demolished; boxcars overturned; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>158-206</td>
<td>Severe damage. Roofs and some walls torn off well-constructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in forest uprooted; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>207-260</td>
<td>Devastating damage. Well-constructed houses leveled; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance; cars thrown and large missiles generated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>261-318</td>
<td>Incredible damage. Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters (109 yds); trees debarked; incredible phenomena will occur.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT F-SCALE WINDS: Do not use F-scale winds literally. These precise wind speed numbers are actually guesses and have never been scientifically verified. Different wind speeds may cause similar-looking damage from place to place — even from building to building. Without a thorough engineering analysis of tornado damage in any event, the actual wind speeds needed to cause that damage are unknown.
**Enhanced Fujita Scale (EF) for Tornado Damage**

An update to the original Fujita Scale by a team of meteorologists and wind engineers, to be implemented in the U.S. on February 1, 2007.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fujita Scale</th>
<th>Derived EF Scale</th>
<th>Operational EF Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F Number</td>
<td>Fastest 1/4-mile (mph)</td>
<td>3 Second Gust (mph)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>40-72</td>
<td>45-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>73-112</td>
<td>79-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>113-157</td>
<td>118-161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>158-207</td>
<td>162-209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>208-260</td>
<td>210-261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>261-318</td>
<td>262-317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT ENHANCED F-SCALE WINDS:** The Enhanced F-scale still is a set of wind estimates (not measurements) based on damage. Its uses three-second gusts estimated at the point of damage based on a judgment of 8 levels of damage to the 28 indicators listed below. These estimates vary with height and exposure. Important: The 3 second gust is not the same wind as in standard surface observations. Standard measurements are taken by weather stations in open exposures, using a directly measured, "one minute mile" speed.

www.spc.noaa.gov/faq/tornado/
NOAA/National Weather Service
National Centers for Environmental Prediction
Storm Prediction Center
120 David L. Boren Blvd.
Norman, OK 73072 U.S.A.
APPENDIX XI: Bookmarks

http://asd-www.larc.nasa.gov/new_AtSC/outreach.html
Please feel free to download, print and enjoy these beautiful and educational resources.

- Clouds Bookmark (2MB, pdf)
- Firesat Bookmark (2MB, pdf)
- GTE Bookmark (5MB, pdf)
- Ozone Bookmark (2MB, pdf)
- Volcano Bookmark (2MB, pdf)
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